# BILL AND NATHAN START RECORDING

# **Context Sensitive** Languages

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

- イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト ヨー のへぐ

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

I am supposed to say Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

I am supposed to say Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics. It is more accurate to say

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

I am supposed to say Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

I am supposed to say *Context Sensitive Languages* are *important in Linguistics*. It is more accurate to say *Context Sensitive Languages* were *important in Linguistics*. Our interest in CFL's is:

I am supposed to say Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

Our interest in CFL's is:

1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

Our interest in CFL's is:

1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL (Research Project—no results yet.)

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

Our interest in CFL's is:

1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL (Research Project—no results yet.)

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

2) Closure properties of CSLs.

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

Our interest in CFL's is:

1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL (Research Project—no results yet.)

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

2) Closure properties of CSLs.

3) How does CSL compare to P and other classes?

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

Our interest in CFL's is:

1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL (Research Project—no results yet.)

2) Closure properties of CSLs.

3) How does CSL compare to P and other classes? (Spoiler Alert: We don't know!)

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

Our interest in CFL's is:

1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL (Research Project—no results yet.)

2) Closure properties of CSLs.

3) How does CSL compare to P and other classes? (Spoiler Alert: We don't know!)

4) Which languages are not context sensitive?

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

Our interest in CFL's is:

1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL (Research Project—no results yet.)

2) Closure properties of CSLs.

3) How does CSL compare to P and other classes? (Spoiler Alert: We don't know!)

4) Which languages are **not** context sensitive? (Spoiler Alert: very few natural languages that are not CSL are known.)

I am supposed to say

Context Sensitive Languages are important in Linguistics.

It is more accurate to say

Context Sensitive Languages were important in Linguistics.

Our interest in CFL's is:

1) Languages that require a LARGE CFG but a SMALL CSL (Research Project—no results yet.)

2) Closure properties of CSLs.

3) How does CSL compare to P and other classes? (Spoiler Alert: We don't know!)

4) Which languages are **not** context sensitive? (Spoiler Alert: very few natural languages that are not CSL are known.)

5) Languages that are CSL but not CFL.

## **Historical Note on Linguistics**

One of the motivations for CFL's and CSL's is an attempt to model human language. This was a success and a success.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

## **Historical Note on Linguistics**

One of the motivations for CFL's and CSL's is an attempt to model human language. This was a success and a success.

1. While human language is far more complicated than CFL or CSL; the Mathematical tools these grammars supply were a helpful starting point.

## **Historical Note on Linguistics**

One of the motivations for CFL's and CSL's is an attempt to model human language. This was a success and a success.

- 1. While human language is far more complicated than CFL or CSL; the Mathematical tools these grammars supply were a helpful starting point.
- 2. Computer languages are far easier to understand since we make them ourselves; hence, CFLs and (to a lesser extent) CSL's were useful within Computer Science.

```
\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow ABCS \ | \ e \\ AB \rightarrow BA \ (\mbox{Note-} \ \mbox{We allow two nonterminals on the LHS.}) \\ AC \rightarrow CA \\ BC \rightarrow CB \\ BA \rightarrow AB \\ CA \rightarrow AC \\ CB \rightarrow BC \\ A \rightarrow a \\ B \rightarrow b \end{array}
```

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

 $C \rightarrow c$ 

 $S \rightarrow ABCS \mid e$  $AB \rightarrow BA$  (Note- We allow two nonterminals on the LHS.)  $AC \rightarrow CA$  $BC \rightarrow CB$  $BA \rightarrow AB$  $CA \rightarrow AC$  $CB \rightarrow BC$  $A \rightarrow a$  $B \rightarrow b$  $C \rightarrow c$ 1) What lang does this generate?

 $S \rightarrow ABCS \mid e$  $AB \rightarrow BA$  (Note- We allow two nonterminals on the LHS.)  $AC \rightarrow CA$  $BC \rightarrow CB$  $BA \rightarrow AB$  $CA \rightarrow AC$  $CB \rightarrow BC$  $A \rightarrow a$  $B \rightarrow b$  $C \rightarrow c$ 1) What lang does this generate?  $\{w : \#_a(w) = \#_b(w) = \#_c(w)\}$  which is NOT a CFL.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

 $S \rightarrow ABCS \mid e$  $AB \rightarrow BA$  (Note- We allow two nonterminals on the LHS.)  $AC \rightarrow CA$  $BC \rightarrow CB$  $BA \rightarrow AB$  $CA \rightarrow AC$  $CB \rightarrow BC$  $A \rightarrow a$  $B \rightarrow b$  $C \rightarrow c$ 1) What lang does this generate?  $\{w : \#_a(w) = \#_b(w) = \#_c(w)\}$  which is NOT a CFL. 2) Context-Free means can replace (say) A by (say)  $\alpha$  without looking at the **context** of A.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

 $S \rightarrow ABCS \mid e$  $AB \rightarrow BA$  (Note- We allow two nonterminals on the LHS.)  $AC \rightarrow CA$  $BC \rightarrow CB$  $BA \rightarrow AB$  $CA \rightarrow AC$  $CB \rightarrow BC$  $A \rightarrow a$  $B \rightarrow b$  $C \rightarrow c$ 1) What lang does this generate?  $\{w: \#_a(w) = \#_b(w) = \#_c(w)\}$  which is NOT a CFL. 2) Context-Free means can replace (say) A by (say)  $\alpha$  without looking at the **context** of A. 3) Context-Sensitive means can replace (say) A by (say)  $\alpha$  AND look at what is around A. We actually allow more than that.

(Warning I came up with this so its intuitive but might be wrong.)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

(Warning I came up with this so its intuitive but might be wrong.)  $S \rightarrow$ \$ABCX\$

(Warning I came up with this so its intuitive but might be wrong.)  $S \rightarrow ABCX$  $X \rightarrow ABCX$  | e

(Warning I came up with this so its intuitive but might be wrong.)  $S \rightarrow ABCX$  $X \rightarrow ABCX \mid e$ 

So now have \$ABCABCABCABC ··· ABC\$.

(Warning I came up with this so its intuitive but might be wrong.)  $S \rightarrow ABCX$ 

 $X \rightarrow ABCX \mid e$ 

So now have \$ABCABCABCABC ··· ABC\$.

The next three rules get the *A*'s to the left and the *C*'s to the right:

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

(Warning I came up with this so its intuitive but might be wrong.)  $S \rightarrow ABCX$ 

 $X \rightarrow ABCX \mid e$ 

So now have \$ABCABCABCABC ··· ABC\$.

The next three rules get the A's to the left and the C's to the right:  $BA \rightarrow AB$ 

(Warning I came up with this so its intuitive but might be wrong.)  $S \rightarrow ABCX$   $X \rightarrow ABCX \mid e$ So now have  $ABCABCABCABC \cdots ABC$ .

The next three rules get the A's to the left and the C's to the right:  $BA \rightarrow AB$  $CA \rightarrow AC$ 

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

(Warning I came up with this so its intuitive but might be wrong.)  $S \rightarrow $ABCX$   $X \rightarrow ABCX \mid e$ So now have  $$ABCABCABCABC \cdots ABC$ .

The next three rules get the A's to the left and the C's to the right:  $BA \to AB$  $CA \to AC$  $CB \to BC$ 

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

(Warning I came up with this so its intuitive but might be wrong.)  $S \rightarrow $ABCX$$  $X \rightarrow ABCX \mid e$ 

So now have \$ABCABCABCABC ··· ABC\$.

The next three rules get the A's to the left and the C's to the right:  $BA \rightarrow AB$ 

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

- $CA \rightarrow AC$
- $CB \rightarrow BC$

Can generate  $A^n B^n C^n$  but can also generate other strings. Want to replace A with a, etc, but only if of form  $A^n B^n C^n$ .

(Warning I came up with this so its intuitive but might be wrong.)  $S \rightarrow $ABCX$  $X \rightarrow ABCX \mid e$ 

So now have \$ABCABCABCABC ··· ABC\$.

The next three rules get the A's to the left and the C's to the right:  $BA \rightarrow AB$ 

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

- $CA \rightarrow AC$
- $CB \rightarrow BC$

Can generate  $A^n B^n C^n$  but can also generate other strings. Want to replace A with a, etc, but only if of form  $A^n B^n C^n$ .

 $A \to a$ 

(Warning I came up with this so its intuitive but might be wrong.)  $S \rightarrow $ABCX$  $X \rightarrow ABCX$  | e

So now have \$ABCABCABCABC ··· ABC\$.

The next three rules get the A's to the left and the C's to the right:  $BA \rightarrow AB$ 

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

- $CA \rightarrow AC$
- $CB \rightarrow BC$

Can generate  $A^n B^n C^n$  but can also generate other strings. Want to replace A with a, etc, but only if of form  $A^n B^n C^n$ .

- $A \rightarrow a$
- $C\$ \rightarrow \$c$

(Warning I came up with this so its intuitive but might be wrong.)  $S \rightarrow ABCX$  $X \rightarrow ABCX$  | e

So now have \$ABCABCABCABC ··· ABC\$.

The next three rules get the A's to the left and the C's to the right:  $BA \rightarrow AB$ 

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

- $CA \rightarrow AC$
- $CB \rightarrow BC$

Can generate  $A^n B^n C^n$  but can also generate other strings. Want to replace A with a, etc, but only if of form  $A^n B^n C^n$ .

 $A \rightarrow a$  $C \rightarrow c$  $A \rightarrow c$ 

(Warning I came up with this so its intuitive but might be wrong.)  $S \rightarrow $ABCX$  $X \rightarrow ABCX$  | e

So now have \$ABCABCABCABC ··· ABC\$.

The next three rules get the A's to the left and the C's to the right:  $BA \rightarrow AB$ 

- $CA \rightarrow AC$
- $CB \rightarrow BC$

Can generate  $A^n B^n C^n$  but can also generate other strings. Want to replace A with a, etc, but only if of form  $A^n B^n C^n$ .

 $A \rightarrow a$ 

- $C\$ \rightarrow \$c$
- \$ 
  ightarrow e

Grammar gen  $\{a^n b^n c^n\}$ . Need that it doesn't gen anything else.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

(Warning I came up with this so its intuitive but might be wrong.)  $S \rightarrow ABCX$  $X \rightarrow ABCX$  | e

So now have \$ABCABCABCABC ··· ABC\$.

The next three rules get the A's to the left and the C's to the right:  $BA \rightarrow AB$ 

- $CA \rightarrow AC$
- $CB \rightarrow BC$

Can generate  $A^n B^n C^n$  but can also generate other strings. Want to replace A with a, etc, but only if of form  $A^n B^n C^n$ .

- $A \rightarrow a$  $C \rightarrow c$
- C  $\Rightarrow$
- \$ 
  ightarrow e

Grammar gen  $\{a^n b^n c^n\}$ . Need that it doesn't gen anything else. Don't know so won't prove. Don't care so no extra credit for it.

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later).

I searched through books and the web to find a CSG for it since **students are our future!** and you **deserve** to know this grammar! (I sincerely meant this as a positive thing.)

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later).

I searched through books and the web to find a CSG for it since **students are our future!** and you **deserve** to know this grammar! (I sincerely meant this as a positive thing.)

I found an entry on Computer Science Stack Exchange so I do not know if it is correct, though I suspect it is.

Link: https://cs.stackexchange.com/questions/53530/ grammar-for-square-numbers-in-unary

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later).

I searched through books and the web to find a CSG for it since **students are our future!** and you **deserve** to know this grammar! (I sincerely meant this as a positive thing.)

I found an entry on Computer Science Stack Exchange so I do not know if it is correct, though I suspect it is.

Link: https://cs.stackexchange.com/questions/53530/ grammar-for-square-numbers-in-unary

In case that link goes away (plausible) and you are really eager to see the CSL (less plausible) next slide has the CSG for it (not quite).

 $FD \rightarrow DG$  $AD \rightarrow DaA$  $aD \rightarrow Da$  $Aa \rightarrow aA$  $BD \rightarrow BH$  $Ha \rightarrow aH$  $HA \rightarrow AI$  $IA \rightarrow AI$  $IG \rightarrow AAF$  $FF \rightarrow F$  $B \rightarrow e$  $AE \rightarrow E$  $E \rightarrow e$ (Last four rules not allowed in a CSG but this can be dealt with.)

- ▲ □ ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲

**Def** A **Context Sensitive Grammar** is a tuple  $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$ 

**Def** A **Context Sensitive Grammar** is a tuple  $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$  $\blacktriangleright$  *N* is a finite set of **nonterminals**.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - のへで

**Def** A **Context Sensitive Grammar** is a tuple  $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$ 

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

- ► *N* is a finite set of **nonterminals**.
- $\Sigma$  is a finite **alphabet**. Note  $\Sigma \cap N = \emptyset$ .

**Def** A **Context Sensitive Grammar** is a tuple  $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$ 

- ► *N* is a finite set of **nonterminals**.
- $\Sigma$  is a finite **alphabet**. Note  $\Sigma \cap N = \emptyset$ .
- $R \subseteq (N \cup \Sigma)^* N(N \cup \Sigma)^* \times (N \cup \Sigma)^*$  and are called **Rules**.

**Def** A **Context Sensitive Grammar** is a tuple  $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$ 

- N is a finite set of nonterminals.
- $\Sigma$  is a finite **alphabet**. Note  $\Sigma \cap N = \emptyset$ .
- $R \subseteq (N \cup \Sigma)^* N(N \cup \Sigma)^* \times (N \cup \Sigma)^*$  and are called **Rules**.
- Important For every rule the α → β, |α| ≤ |β|. (CSG's are given that violate this but can be put into this form.)

**Def** A **Context Sensitive Grammar** is a tuple  $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$ 

- N is a finite set of nonterminals.
- $\Sigma$  is a finite **alphabet**. Note  $\Sigma \cap N = \emptyset$ .
- ►  $R \subseteq (N \cup \Sigma)^* N(N \cup \Sigma)^* \times (N \cup \Sigma)^*$  and are called **Rules**.
- Important For every rule the α → β, |α| ≤ |β|. (CSG's are given that violate this but can be put into this form.)

•  $S \in N$ , the start symbol.

**Def** A **Context Sensitive Grammar** is a tuple  $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$ 

- ► *N* is a finite set of **nonterminals**.
- $\Sigma$  is a finite **alphabet**. Note  $\Sigma \cap N = \emptyset$ .
- ►  $R \subseteq (N \cup \Sigma)^* N(N \cup \Sigma)^* \times (N \cup \Sigma)^*$  and are called **Rules**.
- Important For every rule the α → β, |α| ≤ |β|. (CSG's are given that violate this but can be put into this form.)

•  $S \in N$ , the start symbol.

Note

**Def** A **Context Sensitive Grammar** is a tuple  $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$ 

- ► *N* is a finite set of **nonterminals**.
- $\Sigma$  is a finite **alphabet**. Note  $\Sigma \cap N = \emptyset$ .
- ►  $R \subseteq (N \cup \Sigma)^* N(N \cup \Sigma)^* \times (N \cup \Sigma)^*$  and are called **Rules**.
- Important For every rule the α → β, |α| ≤ |β|. (CSG's are given that violate this but can be put into this form.)

•  $S \in N$ , the start symbol.

#### Note

1) The LHS must have at least one nonterminal.

**Def** A **Context Sensitive Grammar** is a tuple  $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$ 

- ► *N* is a finite set of **nonterminals**.
- $\Sigma$  is a finite **alphabet**. Note  $\Sigma \cap N = \emptyset$ .
- ►  $R \subseteq (N \cup \Sigma)^* N(N \cup \Sigma)^* \times (N \cup \Sigma)^*$  and are called **Rules**.
- Important For every rule the α → β, |α| ≤ |β|. (CSG's are given that violate this but can be put into this form.)
- $S \in N$ , the start symbol.

#### Note

1) The LHS must have at least one nonterminal.

2) There are alternative definitions that are equivalent, which I won't get into here.

If A is non-terminal then the CSG gives us gives us rules like:

- $\blacktriangleright \ A \to AB$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $A \rightarrow a$

If A is non-terminal then the CSG gives us gives us rules like:

- $\blacktriangleright A \to AB$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $A \rightarrow a$

 $A \Rightarrow AAaASdD$  is valid when the RHS is a string of **terminals** and non-terminals that can be produced from A (LHS is a single non-terminal).

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

If A is non-terminal then the CSG gives us gives us rules like:

$$\blacktriangleright A \to AB$$

$$\blacktriangleright$$
  $A \rightarrow a$ 

 $A \Rightarrow AAaASdD$  is valid when the RHS is a string of **terminals** and non-terminals that can be produced from A (LHS is a single non-terminal).

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Examples: If we have rules

 $A \rightarrow BCD$  $BC \rightarrow BcA$ 

BcA 
ightarrow Aa

. . .

Then we have  $A \rightarrow BCD \rightarrow BcAD \rightarrow AaD$ So  $A \Rightarrow AaD$ 

If A is non-terminal then the CSG gives us gives us rules like:

$$\blacktriangleright A \to AB$$

$$\blacktriangleright$$
  $A \rightarrow a$ 

 $A \Rightarrow AAaASdD$  is valid when the RHS is a string of **terminals** and non-terminals that can be produced from A (LHS is a single non-terminal).

Examples: If we have rules

 $A \rightarrow BCD$ 

 $BC \rightarrow BcA$ 

BcA 
ightarrow Aa

Then we have  $A \rightarrow BCD \rightarrow BcAD \rightarrow AaD$ So  $A \Rightarrow AaD$ Then, if *w* is string of **non-terminals only**, we define *L*(*G*) by:

・ロト・西・・田・・田・・日・

If A is non-terminal then the CSG gives us gives us rules like:

$$\blacktriangleright$$
  $A \rightarrow AB$ 

$$\blacktriangleright$$
  $A \rightarrow a$ 

 $A \Rightarrow AAaASdD$  is valid when the RHS is a string of **terminals** and non-terminals that can be produced from A (LHS is a single non-terminal).

Examples: If we have rules

 $A \rightarrow BCD$ 

 $BC \rightarrow BcA$ 

BcA 
ightarrow Aa

Then we have  $A \rightarrow BCD \rightarrow BcAD \rightarrow AaD$ So  $A \Rightarrow AaD$ Then, if *w* is string of **non-terminals only**, we define L(G) by:

$$L(G) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid S \Rightarrow w \}$$

### Example of a Lang that is NOT a CSL

We'll come back to this later.



# **CLOSURE PROPERTIES**

If  $L_1, L_2$  are Context Sensitive Languages then 1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang?

If  $L_1, L_2$  are Context Sensitive Languages then

1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.

- If  $L_1, L_2$  are Context Sensitive Languages then
  - 1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.

2. Is  $L_1 \cap L_2$  a context sensitive Lang?

- If  $L_1, L_2$  are Context Sensitive Languages then
  - 1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
  - 2. Is  $L_1 \cap L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.

- If  $L_1, L_2$  are Context Sensitive Languages then
  - 1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
  - 2. Is  $L_1 \cap L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.

3. Is  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  a context sensitive Lang?

- If  $L_1, L_2$  are Context Sensitive Languages then
  - 1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
  - 2. Is  $L_1 \cap L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.

3. Is  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.

- If  $L_1, L_2$  are Context Sensitive Languages then
  - 1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
  - 2. Is  $L_1 \cap L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.

- 3. Is  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
- 4. Is  $\overline{L_1}$  a context sensitive Lang?

- If  $L_1, L_2$  are Context Sensitive Languages then
  - 1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
  - 2. Is  $L_1 \cap L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.

- 3. Is  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
- 4. Is  $\overline{L_1}$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.

- If  $L_1, L_2$  are Context Sensitive Languages then
  - 1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
  - 2. Is  $L_1 \cap L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.
  - 3. Is  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.

- 4. Is  $\overline{L_1}$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.
- 5. IS  $L_1^*$  is a context sensitive Lang?

- If  $L_1, L_2$  are Context Sensitive Languages then
  - 1. Is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
  - 2. Is  $L_1 \cap L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.
  - 3. Is  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.
  - 4. Is  $\overline{L_1}$  a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Hard.
  - 5. IS  $L_1^*$  is a context sensitive Lang? Yes, Easy.





1) There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.



### MISC

There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.
 Recall:

### MISC

1) There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.

2) Recall:

DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

1) There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.

2) Recall:

DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.

PDA's are **Recognizers**, CFG's are **Generators**.

There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.
 Recall:

DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**. PDA's are **Recognizers**, CFG's are **Generators**. CSL's are **Generators**.

1) There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.

2) Recall:

DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.

PDA's are **Recognizers**, CFG's are **Generators**.

CSL's are **Generators**.

There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it:

LBA's

1) There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.

2) Recall:

DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.

PDA's are **Recognizers**, CFG's are **Generators**.

CSL's are **Generators**.

There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it:

#### LBA's

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

LBA stands for Linear Bounded Automata.

They are nondeterministic Turing machines with O(n) space.

1) There is a no pumping theorem for CSL's.

2) Recall:

DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.

PDA's are **Recognizers**, CFG's are **Generators**.

CSL's are **Generators**.

There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it:

#### LBA's

LBA stands for Linear Bounded Automata.

They are nondeterministic Turing machines with O(n) space. The proof that LBA-recognizers and CSG-generators are equivalent is messy so we won't be doing it. We won't deal with LBA's in this course at all.

I said earlier:

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later).

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・ヨー つへぐ

I said earlier:

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later).

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

It is easy to write an LBA for  $\{a^{n^2}: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ 

I said earlier:

I knew that  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a CSL (will say why later).

It is easy to write an LBA for  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ Hence it is easy to show that  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and many other languages are CSL's without using CSG's.

In this slide we only refer to decidable languages.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

In this slide we only refer to decidable languages.

1) Not known how CSL and NP compare. Likely incomparable.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

In this slide we only refer to decidable languages.

1) Not known how CSL and NP compare. Likely incomparable.

2) Proving incompatibility seems hard.

In this slide we only refer to decidable languages.

1) Not known how CSL and NP compare. Likely incomparable.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

- 2) Proving incompatibility seems hard.
- (Proving hard to show inc was part of my PhD Thesis.)

In this slide we only refer to decidable languages.

1) Not known how CSL and NP compare. Likely incomparable.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

2) Proving incompatibility seems hard.

(Proving hard to show inc was part of my PhD Thesis.)

3) Proving a langauge is NOT CSL seems hard.

In this slide we only refer to decidable languages.

1) Not known how CSL and NP compare. Likely incomparable.

2) Proving incompatibility seems hard.

(Proving hard to show inc was part of my PhD Thesis.)

3) Proving a langauge is NOT CSL seems hard.

4) One can construct a (contrived) language that is NOT CSL.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

In this slide we only refer to decidable languages.

1) Not known how CSL and NP compare. Likely incomparable.

2) Proving incompatibility seems hard.

(Proving hard to show inc was part of my PhD Thesis.)

3) Proving a langauge is NOT CSL seems hard.

4) One can construct a (contrived) language that is NOT CSL.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

5) I know of **one** natural language that is provably not CSL:

In this slide we only refer to decidable languages.

1) Not known how CSL and NP compare. Likely incomparable.

2) Proving incompatibility seems hard.

(Proving hard to show inc was part of my PhD Thesis.)

3) Proving a langauge is NOT CSL seems hard.

4) One can construct a (contrived) language that is NOT CSL.

5) I know of **one** natural language that is provably not CSL:

Given two trex  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , is  $L(\alpha) = L(\beta)$ .

In this slide we only refer to decidable languages.

1) Not known how CSL and NP compare. Likely incomparable.

2) Proving incompatibility seems hard.

(Proving hard to show inc was part of my PhD Thesis.)

3) Proving a langauge is NOT CSL seems hard.

4) One can construct a (contrived) language that is NOT CSL.

5) I know of **one** natural language that is provably not CSL:

Given two trex  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , is  $L(\alpha) = L(\beta)$ .

**Open question** Some variants of Chess and Go **might be** provably not CSL.

### Comparing Reg, CFL, CSL

We have a table of Reg, CFL, CSL. Y is YES. N is NO E is Easy. H is Hard.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへの

## Comparing Reg, CFL, CSL

#### We have a table of Reg, CFL, CSL. Y is YES. N is NO E is Easy. H is Hard.

Lang	Rcg	Gen	U	$\cap$	•	*	comp	PL
Reg	DFA	Rgx	Y-E	Y-E	Y-E	Y-E	Y-E	Y
CFG	PDA	CFG	Y-E	N-E	Y-E	Y-E	N-E	Υ
CSG	LBA	CSG	Y-E	Y-H	Y-E	Y-E	Y-E	Ν

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- 1. Proving sets are not Regular is **Easy**.
- 2. Proving sets are not Context-Free is **Easy**.
- 3. Proving sets are not Context-Sensitive is Hard.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへで