

**The Second Anti-Ramsey Theorem**  
**An Exposition by**  
**William Gasarch**

## 1 Introduction

In the last writeup we proved the following:

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $\text{COL}: [3n] \rightarrow [3]$ . Assume that every color appears in the image  $n$  times. Then there exists rainbow  $x, y, z \in [3n]$  such  $x + y = z$*

We give a definition for convenience and restate Theorem 1.1.

**Definition 1.2** *Let  $m, c \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $\text{COL}: [m] \rightarrow [c]$ . A *rainbow solution* is  $x, y, z$  such that (1) they are all different colors, and (2)  $x + y = z$ .*

We restate Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . Let  $\text{COL}: [n] \rightarrow [3]$ . Assume that every color appears in the image  $\frac{n}{3}$  times. Then there exists a rainbow solution.*

In Theorem 1.3 we demanded that R, B, G appear as much as possible. Can this be improved? What if we demanded that  $R, B, G$  each appear  $\geq \frac{n}{4}$  times? Alas, then the theorem fails. The following is folklore. We include the proof for completeness.

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . There exists  $\text{COL}: [n] \rightarrow [3]$  such that (1) every color appears  $\geq \frac{n}{4}$  times, and (2) there is no rainbow solution.*

**Proof:**

$$\text{COL}(w) = \begin{cases} \text{R} & \text{if } 1 \leq w \leq \frac{n}{2} \text{ and } w \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \text{B} & \text{if } w \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \text{G} & \text{if } \frac{n}{2} + 1 \leq w \leq n \text{ and } w \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

There are  $\frac{n}{4}$  red numbers,  $\frac{n}{2}$  blue numbers, and  $\frac{n}{4}$  green numbers.

If  $x, y, z$  is a rainbow solution then  $x + y = z$  where they are different colors. Since all red numbers are even, all blue numbers are even, and all green numbers are odd, this is impossible. ■

Is there some fraction  $\frac{1}{4} < \alpha < \frac{1}{3}$  such that the following holds?

*Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $\text{COL}: [n] \rightarrow [3]$ . Assume that every color appears in the image  $\geq \alpha n$  times. Then there exists rainbow solution.*

Actually any  $\alpha > \frac{1}{4}$  works. In fact, the situation is better than that. Our main theorem states that if all colors appear  $\geq \frac{n}{4} + 1$  times then there is a rainbow solution.

## 2 Main Theorem

Esther and George Szekeres [1] proved the following.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $\text{COL}: [n] \rightarrow [3]$  be such that  $R, B, G$  all appear  $> \frac{n}{4}$  times. Then there exists a rainbow solution.*

**Proof:**

Let  $\text{COL}: [n] \rightarrow [3]$  be such that  $R, B, G$  all appear  $> n/4$  times.

Let

- $R = \{x_1 < \dots < x_a\}$  be the set of RED elements,
- $B = \{y_1 < \dots < y_b\}$  be the set of BLUE elements,
- $G = \{z_1 < \dots < z_c\}$  be the set of GREEN elements,

We will say  $w \in R$  instead of  $\text{COL}(w) = R$ .

We can assume  $x_1 = 1$  and  $x_1 < y_1 < z_1$ .

Note the following.

**Note 2.2**

1. If  $w < y_1$  then  $w \in R$ .
2. If  $w < z_1$  then  $w \in R \cup B$ .
3.  $y_1 \geq 2$ .
4.  $z_1 \geq 4$  (If  $z_1 = 2$  then  $1 < y_1 < 2$ . If  $z_1 = 3$  then  $y_1 = 2$  and  $x_1, y_1, z_1$  is a rainbow solution.)

**Notation 2.3**

1. A pair of elements are *adjacent elements of  $G$*  if they are of the form  $(z_i, z_{i+1})$ .
2. Let  $r$  be the minimum difference between adjacent elements of  $G$ . Formally

$$r = \min_{1 \leq k \leq c-1} z_{k+1} - z_k.$$

3. Let  $k$  be the least  $k$  such that  $z_{k+1} - z_k = r$ .

Informally, we are interested in  $r$  since, if  $r$  is large, then  $G$  is small, perhaps  $\leq n/4$ .

There are five cases. Each case assumes the negation of the prior cases. The cases will have subcases. Every case and subcase concludes either (1) there is a rainbow solution, or (2) the case cannot occur.

**Begin The Five Cases**

**Case 1**  $r \geq 4$ . By Note 2.2.4,  $z_1 \geq 4$ . Since  $r \geq 4$ , inductively,  $(\forall 1 \leq i \leq c)[z_i \geq 4i]$ . Hence  $4c \leq z_c \leq n$ , so  $c \leq \frac{n}{4}$ . This is contrary to hypothesis so cannot occur.

**Case 2**  $r < y_1$ . By Note 2.2.1,  $r \in R$  and  $y_1 - r \in R$ .

We look at the color of  $z_k + r - y_1$  (since  $r < y_1$ ,  $z_k + r - y_1 < z_k$ ). First we need that  $z_k + r - y_1 \geq 1$ .

If  $z_k + r - y_1 \leq 0$  then  $z_k \leq y_1 - r \leq y_1$ , so by Note 2.2.1  $z_k \in R$ , but we know that  $z_k \in G$ . So  $z_k + r - y_1 \geq 1$ .

**Color of  $z_k + r - y_1$**

1.  $z_k + r - y_1 \in R$ . Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (z_k + r - y_1) + & y_1 = & z_k + r = & z_{k+1} \\ R & B & G & \end{array}$$

is a rainbow solution.

2.  $z_k + r - y_1 \in B$ . Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (z_k + r - y_1) + & (y_1 - r) = & z_k \\ B & R & G \end{array}$$

is a rainbow solution.

3.  $z_k + r - y_1 \in G$ . Then there exists  $k'$  such that  $z_k + r - y_1 = z_{k'}$ . Since  $z_k + r - y_1 < z_k$ ,  $k' < k$ . We will use this later.

For now, we look at  $z_k - y_1$ .

- (a)  $z_k - y_1 \in R$ . Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (z_k - y_1) + & y_1 = & z_k \\ R & B & G \end{array}$$

is a rainbow solution.

- (b)  $z_k - y_1 \in B$ . Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (z_k - y_1) + & r = & z_k + r - y_1 \\ B & R & G \end{array}$$

is a rainbow solution.

- (c)  $z_k - y_1 \in G$ . We are not going to get a rainbow solution. We will contradict the definition of  $k$ .

If  $z_k - y_1 \in G$  then there exists  $k''$  such that  $z_k - y_1 = z_{k''}$ . Since  $z_k - y_1 < z_k + r - y_1$ ,  $k'' < k'$ .

$$z_{k'} - z_{k''} = z_k + r - y_1 - (z_k - y_1) = r$$

Recall that  $k$  is the *least* number such that  $z_{k+1} - z_k = r$ . But we have just seen that  $z_{k'} - z_{k''} = r$  and  $k'' < k$ . Hence this subcase cannot occur.

**Recap** We assume the negation of Case 1 and Case 2 which we denote  $\neg C1$  and  $\neg C2$ .

1.  $\neg C1$  implies  $r \leq 3$ .

2.  $\neg C2$  and Note 2.2.3 implies  $2 \leq y_1 \leq r$ .

By the two points above  $r \in \{2, 3\}$ . By  $\neg C2$ ,  $y_1 \leq r$ . Hence

$$(r, y_1) \in \{(3, 3), (3, 2), (2, 2)\}.$$

Therefore there are three more cases.

**Case 3**  $(r, y_1) = (3, 3)$ . Since  $y_1 = 3$ , by Note 2.2.1 we have  $1, 2 \in R$ .

We consider the colors of  $z_t - 1$  and  $z_t - 2$  for all  $1 \leq t \leq c$ .

**Color of  $z_t - 1$**

- If  $z_t - 1 \in B$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (z_t - 1) + & 1 & = z_t \\ B & R & G \end{array}$$

is a rainbow solution.

- If  $z_t - 1 \in G$  then  $z_t - 1 = z_{t-1}$  and  $z_t$  are adjacent elements of  $G$  with difference 1. This contradicts the minimum difference between two adjacent elements of  $G$  being  $r = 3$ . Hence this subcase cannot occur.
- We can assume  $(\forall t)[z_t - 1 \in R]$ .

**Color of  $z_t - 2$**

- If  $z_t - 2 \in B$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (z_t - 2) + & 2 & = z_t \\ B & R & G \end{array}$$

is a rainbow solution.

- If  $z_t - 2 \in G$  then  $z_t - 2 = z_{t-1}$  and  $z_t$  are adjacent elements of  $G$  with difference 2. This contradicts the minimum difference between two adjacent elements of  $G$  being  $r = 3$ . Hence this subcase cannot occur.
- We can assume  $(\forall t)[z_t - 2 \in R]$ .

To recap: for all  $z \in G$ ,  $z - 1, z - 2 \in R$ . Hence

$$a \geq 2c$$

Since  $c > \frac{n}{4}$  we get  $a > \frac{n}{2}$ .

Since  $a + b + c = n$

$$b = n - a - c < n - \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{4} = \frac{n}{4}$$

This is contrary to hypothesis.

**Case 4**  $(r, y_1) = (3, 2)$ . So  $1 \in R$  and  $2 \in B$ .

Note that  $z_{k+1} = z_k + 3$ .

We look at the color of  $z_k + 1$ .

**Color of  $z_k + 1$**

- If  $z_k + 1 \in R$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (z_k + 1) & +2 & = & z_k + 3 & = z_{k+1} \\ R & B & & G & \end{array}$$

is a rainbow solution.

- If  $z_k + 1 \in B$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} z_k & +1 & = & z_k + 1 \\ G & R & & B & \end{array}$$

is a rainbow solution.

- If  $z_k + 1 \in G$  then  $z_k + 1$  and  $z_k$  are adjacent elements of  $G$  with difference 1. This contradicts that minimum different between adjacent elements of  $G$  is  $r = 3$ . Hence this subcase cannot occur.

**Case 5**  $(r, y_1) = (2, 2)$ . So  $1 \in R$  and  $2 \in B$ .

We will look at the colors of  $z_k - 1$ ,  $z_k + 1$ ,  $z_k - 2$ , and 3.

**Color of  $z_k - 1$**

- If  $z_k - 1 \in B$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (z_k - 1) & +1 & = & z_k & \\ B & R & & G & \end{array}$$

is a rainbow solution.

- If  $z_k - 1 \in G$  then  $z_{k-1} = z_k - 1$  and  $z_k$  are adjacent elements of  $G$  that are 1 apart, which contradicts  $r = 2$ . Hence this subcase cannot occur.
- We can assume  $z_k - 1 \in R$ .

**Color of  $z_k + 1$**

- If  $z_k + 1 \in B$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} z_k & +1 & = & (z_k + 1) & \\ G & R & & B & \end{array}$$

is a rainbow solution.

- If  $z_k + 1 \in G$  then  $z_{k+1} = z_k + 1$  and  $z_k$  are two adjacent elements of  $G$  that are 1 apart, which contradicts  $r = 2$ . Hence this cannot occur.
- We can assume  $z_k + 1 \in R$ .

**Color of  $z_k - 2$**

- If  $z_k - 2 \in R$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (z_k - 2) & +2 & = & z_k & \\ R & B & & G & \end{array}$$

is a rainbow solution.

- If  $z_k - 2 \in G$  then note that  $z_k - 2, z_k - 1, z_k$  are three consecutive numbers and that (from the case Color of  $z_k - 1$ ) we know that  $z_k - 1 \in R$ . Hence within  $G$  we have  $z_k - 2, z_k$  are adjacent, so  $z_k - 2 = z_{k-1}$ . This contradicts that  $k$  is the least index with  $z_{k+1} - z_k = r = 2$ . Hence this subcase cannot occur.
- We can assume  $z_k - 2 \in B$ .

### Color of 3

- If  $3 \in B$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (z_k - 1) & +3 & = & z_k + 2 & = z_{k+1} \\ R & B & & G & \end{array}$$

is a rainbow solution.

- If  $3 \in G$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & +2 & = & 3 \\ R & B & & G & \end{array}$$

is a rainbow solution.

- We can assume  $3 \in R$ .

**Claim** There is a rainbow solution or every odd number  $\leq n$  is in  $R$ .

**Proof**

We try to prove that every odd number  $\leq n$  is in  $R$ . We will either succeed or show there is a rainbow solution. The proof is by induction.

**Base Case** Since we are in Case 5,  $1 \in R$ . We have also shown  $3 \in R$ .

**Induction Hypothesis**  $i \geq 1$  and  $2i - 1, 2i + 1 \in R$ .

**Induction Step** We show  $2i + 3 \in R$ .

We consider two cases:  $2i + 1 < z_k$  and  $z_k < 2i + 1$ . Note that  $2i + 1 = z_k$  is impossible since  $2i + 1 \in R$  and  $z_k \in G$ .

**Case 5.1**  $2i + 1 < z_k$ .

**Color of  $z_k - (2i + 1)$**  (This is  $\geq 1$  by the Case we are in.)

- If  $z_k - (2i + 1) \in B$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (2i + 1) & + (z_k - (2i + 1)) & = z_k \\ R & B & G \end{array}$$

which is a rainbow solution.

- If  $z_k - (2i + 1) \in G$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (z_k - (2i + 1)) & + (2i - 1) & = z_k - 2 \\ G & R & B \end{array}$$

which is a rainbow solution

- We can assume  $z_k - (2i + 1) \in R$

**Color of  $2i + 3$**

- If  $2i + 3 \in B$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (z_k - (2i + 1)) & + (2i + 3) & = z_k + 2 = z_{k+1} \\ R & B & G \end{array}$$

which is a rainbow solution

- If  $2i + 3 \in G$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (2i + 1) & + 2 & = 2i + 3 \\ R & B & G \end{array}$$

which is a rainbow solution

- We have  $2i + 3 \in R$  which was our goal.

**Case 5.2**  $z_k < 2i + 1$ .

**Color of  $2i + 1 - z_k$**  (This is  $\geq 1$  by the Case we are in.)

- If  $2i + 1 - z_k \in B$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} z_k & +(2i + 1 - z_k) & = 2i + 1 \\ G & B & R \end{array}$$

is a rainbow solution.

- If  $2i + 1 - z_k \in G$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (z_k - 2) & +(2i + 1 - z_k) & = 2i - 1 \\ B & G & R \end{array}$$

is a rainbow solution.

- We can assume  $2i + 1 - z_k \in R$ .

**Color of  $2i + 3$**

- If  $2i + 3 \in B$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (z_k + 2) & +(2i + 1 - z_k) & = (2i + 3) \\ G & R & B \end{array}$$

which is a rainbow solution

- If  $2i + 3 \in G$  then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (2i + 1) & +2 & = 2i + 3 \\ R & B & G \end{array}$$

- We have  $2i + 3 \in R$  which was our goal.

**End of Proof**

Since every odd number  $\leq n$  is in  $R$ ,  $|R| \geq n/2$ . Hence  $|B| + |G| \leq n/2$ , so either  $|B| \leq n/4$  or  $|G| \leq n/4$ . Either one contradicts the premise of the theorem. Hence this subcase cannot occur.

**End of the Five Cases**

Recap: There were 5 cases and several subcases. Every single case either (1) yields a rainbow solution, or (2) cannot occur. Hence there is a rainbow solution. ■

## References

- [1] E. Szekeres and G. Szekeres. Adding numbers. *James Cook Math Notes*, 35:4073–4075, 1984. [https://webhomes.maths.ed.ac.uk/cook/iss\\_no35.PDF](https://webhomes.maths.ed.ac.uk/cook/iss_no35.PDF).