

1 PUT IN INAPPROX

1.1 Lower Bounds on Approximate Nearest Neighbor

A useful problem in data structures is to store a set of points A (in some space) so that, given a point x (not in A), you can determine the point in A that is closest to x . You may be allowed to preprocess the points.

Problem 1.1 *Online Nearest Neighbor (OnNN_p and γ -OnNN_p):*

INSTANCE : (To Preprocess) A set of points A in \mathbb{R}^d . We will assume there are n points.

INSTANCE : A query point x .

QUESTION : (OnNN_p) Which point $y \in A$ is closest to x in the p -norm?

QUESTION : (γ -OnNN_p where $\gamma > 1$) We will call the distance to the closest point OPT . Obtain a $y \in A$ such that $\|x - y\|_p \leq \gamma OPT$. (We will also allow distances other than p -norms such as edit distance and Hamming distance.)

1. If you do no preprocessing and, given x , compute its distance to every point in A , this takes $O(n)$ time (assuming that distances takes $O(1)$ time). This is considered a lot of time for data structures since (1) n is large and (2) computing a distance is costly even if it $O(1)$.
2. Assume you knew ahead of time the set of query points. You could, in the preprocessing stage, determine for each query point which point of A it is closest to. This would yield query time $O(1)$ but an absurd (1) time for preprocessing, and (2) and space for the data structure.

Is there a way to get both quick preprocessing and quick query times? What if you settle for an approximation? Assuming SETH the answer is no:

Theorem 1.2

1. (Rubinfeld [3]) Let $p \in \{1, 2\}$ Assume SETH. Let $\delta, c > 0$. There exists $\epsilon = \epsilon(\delta, c)$ such that no algorithm for OnNN_p has (1) preprocessing time $O(n^c)$, (2) query time $O(n^{1-\delta})$ and solves $(1 + \epsilon)$ -OnNN. (The result also holds for edit-distance and Hamming-distance.)
2. (Ko & Song [2]) Assume SETH. Let $\delta, c > 0$. There exists $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ such that no algorithm for OnNN_p has (1) preprocessing time polynomial in n , (2) query time $O(n^{1-\delta})$ and solves $(1 + \epsilon)$ -OnNN_p.

2 PUT INTO THE FPT STUFF

We have stated that DOM is $W[2]$ -complete and hence unlikely to be in FPT. However, using ETH and SETH, one can obtain sharper bounds on the parameterized complexity of DOM.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let DOM k be the problem of, given a graph G , is there a Dominating set of size k . Clearly this problem is in time $O(n^{k+1})$. Eisenbrand and Grandoni [1] have obtained slightly better algorithms. We state two known lower bounds. They are probably folklore since our only source is a workshop on fine-grained complexity held by the Max Plank Institute in 2019:

<https://www.cs.umd.edu/~gasarch/BLOGPAPERS/maxplankfinegrained.pdf>

Theorem 2.1

1. Assume ETH. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for large k , DOM k requires time $\Omega(n^{\delta k})$.
2. Assume SETH. Let $k \geq 3$ and $\epsilon > 0$. DOM k requires time $\Omega(n^{k-\epsilon})$.

Those same notes leave the following as an exercise:

Exercise 2.2 Assume ETH. Show that SUBSETSUM cannot be solved in time $2^{o(n)}$.

References

- [1] F. Eisenbrand and F. Grandoni. On the complexity of fixed parameter clique and dominating set. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 326(1-3):57–67, 2004.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2004.05.009>.
- [2] Y. K. Ko and M. J. Song. Hardness of approximate nearest neighbor search under l -infinity, 2020.
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.06135>.
- [3] A. Rubinfeld. Hardness of approximate nearest neighbor search. In I. Diakonikolas, D. Kempe, and M. Henzinger, editors, *Proceedings of the 50th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC*

2018, Los Angeles, CA, USA, June 25-29, 2018, pages 1260–1268. ACM, 2018.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3188745.3188916>.

- [4] Unknown. (strong) Exponential Time Hypothesis, 2019.
<https://www.mpi-inf.mpg.de/fileadmin/inf/d1/teaching/summer19/finegrained/lec2.pdf>.