Code generation

High level languages

- Java
  - stack code
  - allocate registers to top of stack
- object-oriented
  - method invocation
  - member layout
- functional
  - higher order functions
  - function calls

Code generator generators

- tree pattern matching
- tree parsing
- peephole
Compiling Java

Class files

• structure for describing program
• machine-independent stream of bytes
• verified when loaded

Issues

• stack reduces reordering
• virtual methods reduce inlining
• multiple threads limit transformations
• verify bytecodes to ensure safety

Converting into real code

• analyze stack to determine size
• represent stack as temporary variables
• try to avoid excessive copying
• allocate variables to registers
Compiling stack code

General algorithm

• determine local storage
  max locals + max stack + max temps
• form basic blocks
• find stack height for instruction
• translate instructions

Naive approach

• map each local/stack location to a frame location
• translate each instruction
• move locations between memory and registers

Register allocation approach

• map top of stack, first locals to registers
• fixed approach maps registers for entire method
• basic block approach maps registers for basic blocks
Object-oriented (OO) languages

Objects

- a collection of data
- functions (methods) for operating on data

Classes

- collection of objects with same attributes
- organizes space of objects
- allows shared implementation of objects

Implementation

- class record
  - pointers to methods (method table)
  - storage for class data
- object record
  - pointer to class type (tag)
  - storage for local data
- location → offset in object record/method table
Class hierarchy

Inheritance

• class may inherit data/methods from another class
• ancestor class bestows attributes (superclass)
• descendent class inherits attributes (subclass)
• subclass should work wherever superclass is expected
• subclass may override methods from superclass (dynamic methods)
• multiple ancestors → multiple inheritance

Impact

• class of object not completely known at compile-time
  (since object of type subclass is allowed wherever class is allowed)
• need to test tags at runtime
• could result in non-constant data/method pointer offset

Can we eliminate overhead of data/method lookups?
Data layout optimization

Single inheritance

- ensure constant offset for fields through `prefixing`
- when class B inherits from class A
  - lay out fields of A at beginning of B in same order
  - place new fields of B afterwards
- field accessed as constant offset from object record

Multiple inheritance

- ensure constant offset for fields
- assign slots for field via graph coloring
  (may leave gaps between slots)
- descriptor table
  - eliminate gaps through indirection
  - assign unique descriptor slot via coloring
  - descriptor stores offsets for field
- field accessed as constant offset plus indirection
Method lookup optimization

Single inheritance

- arrange method tables entries via prefixing
- override methods by overwriting slot
- ensure constant offset for methods
- method are executed through
  1. fetch pointer to class record from object
  2. get function pointer at offset in method table
  3. invoke method through function pointer

Multiple inheritance

- assign slots via graph coloring
- overwrite slots as needed

Additional optimizations

- type propagation to prove class type - convert method lookup into function call
- inlining - merge code into call site, eliminates call overhead
Inheritance example

class A extends Obj { int a; f1(); }
class B extends A { int b,c; f2(); }
A x;
x.a = x.f1();

Code for random

1. check x’s pointer to class record
2. if (x→class == A)
   (a) call x→method[0]
   (b) assign value to x.field[0]
3. else if (x→class == B)
   (a) call x→method[1]
   (b) assign value to x.field[2]

Code for prefix

1. call x→method[0]
2. assign value to x.field[0]
Multiple inheritance example

class A extends Obj
    { int a; f1(); }

class B extends A
    { int b,c; f2(); }

class C extends A
    { int d; f3(); }

class D extends B
    { int e; f1*(); }

class E extends Obj
    { int f; f4(); }

class F extends C,E
    { int g; f5(); }
Functional programming languages

Functional programming

• tries to avoid side effects (e.g., assignment)
• encourages equational reasoning
• calculate solutions to equations (e.g., \(\lambda\)-calculus)

Features

• emphasis on function calls, recursion
• higher order functions
  (functions used as arguments, result)
• nested functions with lexical scope

Examples

(define FACT
  (lambda (n)
    (cond [(equal? n 1) 1]
          [t (mult n (FACT (sub n 1)))])))

(define ADDN
  (lambda (n)
    (lambda (x)
      (add n x))))
Compilation techniques

Higher order functions

- represent function pointers as *closures*
- record containing pointer to function and method to access nonlocal variables
- simple closure $\rightarrow$ function & static link
- must allocate activation records on heap
- analysis to determine when variables *escape* 
  (may be referred to by inner-nested functions)

Function calls

- tail recursion $\rightarrow$ result of call is the return value of the parent procedure
- convert tail recursion from function call to goto
- can transform all function calls into tail recursion by adding argument for *continuation* (current state represented as closure)
- may also inline functions
Code generator generators

Automating the process

- would like a description-based tool
- machine description + IR description give code generator (cg)
- resulting cg should produce great code
- resulting cg should run quickly

Two major schools

- tree pattern matching
- instruction matching
This scheme should look familiar
Tree pattern matching

Assume that the program is represented as a set of trees.

*Tree rewriting schemes*  
(BURS)

- machine description is
  1. mapping of subtree into single node
  2. associated code (to be emitted)

- example pattern:
  - \( r_i \leftarrow + a \ b \)
  - \{ load r1,a; load r2,b; add r1,r1,r2 \}

- paradigm is
  - find a pattern to match subtree
  - replace \( rhs \) pattern with \( lhs \) node
  - emit the associated code
Tree rewriting schemes

Several basic techniques

- work from a simple tree walk
  depth-first traversal
  simple local choice criterion

- adopt Aho & Corasick string matching (TWIG)
  matches multiple string patterns
  translate to/from linear form

- adopt Aho & Johnson (dynamic programming)
  run rewriting and cost computation concurrently
  choose low-cost alternative at each point

- use a real tree pattern matching algorithm
  generate all subtree matches concurrently
  pick the best overall match
Tree parsing schemes

Use LR parsers

- encode pattern matching into parsing problem
  — use well understood technology
  — write grammar to describe target machine

- reductions emit code
  — attributed-style specification
  — lots of contextual knowledge available

- grammars are *very* ambiguous
  — reduce/reduce ⇒ pick longer reduction
  — shift/reduce ⇒ shift

- linear time scheme!
Instruction matching

Assume program is represented in low-level intermediate representation (IR).

Peephole optimization

- find logically adjacent instructions that can be combined
  - use a very small context (3-10 instructions)
  - combining $i_1$ and $i_2 \Rightarrow$ faster $i_3$

- work at register-transfer language (rtl) level
  - machine description in rtl
  - low-level IR description in rtl

- using pattern matching, synthesize more complex instructions

- useful for implementing many machine-dependent optimizations
Instruction matching

Generating “peephole” code generators

• provide a one-to-one translation for IR
• add patterns to improve code
  (more complex instructions and addressing modes)

Training generator

• feed a set of representative programs to the trainer and let it build a table by exhaustive search
• one time expense (and it is expensive)
• use a linear time pattern matcher run from the tables produced by the trainer

Typical machines

• RT/PC w/o floating point - 70-100 instructions
• MC68020 - millions of possible instructions