

CMSC 330
Homework 2
Due: December 4, 2006

Lambda Calculus Problems

1. Apply β -reduction to each of the following λ -expressions until no more beta reductions can be applied:

- (a) $(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.yx)z$
- (b) $(\lambda x.(\lambda y.(x y)) y) z$
- (c) $((\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.y))(\lambda y.y)$
- (d) $((\lambda x.\lambda y.(x y))(\lambda y.y)) w$

2. Consider the λ -expression $(\lambda x.y)((\lambda y.y y y)(\lambda x.x x x))$

- (a) Show that this expression has multiple reduction sequences, some infinite and some finite.
- (b) What is the reduced value of a finite reduction sequence? (All finite reduction sequences have the same result.)

3. Here are three important *combinators*, which are lambda terms with no free variables:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \lambda x.x, \\ K &= \lambda x.\lambda y.x \\ S &= \lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.(xz(yz)). \end{aligned}$$

I is the identity function, and K is the function of two arguments that ignores its second argument and returns its first. It can be shown that any combinator can be generated from S and K using only function application. Verify that SKK reduces to I.

4. Using the definitions of **true**, **false**, and **and** given in class (see slides), show that **and** behaves correctly as a logical “and” function.

5. We say that f and $\lambda x.fx$ are *extensionally equivalent* if x does not occur free in f . Which of the following λ -expressions are extensionally equivalent, and why or why not?

- (a) $(\lambda x.(\text{square } x))$ and square
- (b) $\lambda x.((\lambda y.(f x y)) x)$ and $\lambda y.(f x y)$