• Our 4th major algorithm design technique (greedy, divide-and-conquer, and dynamic programming are the others).

• A little different than the others: we’ll see an algorithm for one problem (and minor variants) that is so useful that we can apply to many practical problems.

• Called network flow.
Suppose you want to ship natural gas from Alaska to Texas.

There are pipes, each with a capacity.

How can you send as much gas as possible?
A flow network is a connected, directed graph $G = (V, E)$. 

- Each edge $e$ has a non-negative, integer capacity $c_e$.
- A single source $s \in V$.
- A single sink $t \in V$.
- No edge enters the source and no edge leaves the sink.
To repeat, we make these assumptions about the network:

① Capacities are integers.
② Every node has one edge adjacent to it.
③ No edge enters the source and no edge leaves the sink.

These assumptions can all be removed.
**Def.** An s-t flow is a function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ that assigns a real number to each edge.

Intuitively, $f(e)$ is the amount of material carried on the edge $e$. 

---

![Graph with flow values](attachment:image_url)
Flow constraints

**Constraints on $f$:**

1. $0 \leq f(e) \leq c_e$ for each edge $e$. (capacity constraints)

2. For each node $v$ except $s$ and $t$, we have:

$$\sum_{e \text{ into } v} f(e) = \sum_{e \text{ leaving } v} f(e).$$

(balance constraints: whatever flows in, must flow out).

![Graph with labeled edges](image-url)
The value of flow $f$ is:

$$v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$$

This is the amount of material that $s$ is able to send out.

**Notation:**

- $f^{\text{in}}(v) = \sum_{e \text{ into } v} f(e)$
- $f^{\text{out}}(v) = \sum_{e \text{ leaving } v} f(e)$

Balance constraints become: $f^{\text{in}}(v) = f^{\text{out}}(v)$ for all $v \notin \{s, t\}$
### Maximum Flow Problem

**Definition (Value)**

The value $v(f)$ of a flow $f$ is $f^{\text{out}}(s)$.

That is: it is the amount of material that leaves $s$.

### Maximum Flow Problem

Given a flow network $G$, find a flow $f$ of maximum possible value.
A Greedy Start:

1. Suppose we let $f(e) = 0$ for all edges (no flow anywhere).

2. Choose some $s - t$ path and “push” flow along it up to the capacities. Repeat.

3. When we get stuck, we can erase some flow along certain edges.

How do we make this more precise?
After 1 path, we've allocated 20 units

Want to send the blue path
We define a **residual graph** $G_f$. $G_f$ depends on some flow $f$:

1. $G_f$ contains the same nodes as $G$.

2. **Forward edges**: For each edge $e = (u, v)$ of $G$ for which $f(e) < c_e$, include an edge $e' = (u, v)$ in $G_f$ with capacity $c_e - f(e)$.

3. **Backward edges**: For each edge $e = (u, v)$ in $G$ with $f(e) > 0$, we include an edge $e' = (v, u)$ in $G_f$ with capacity $f(e)$. 
Residual Graph, e.g.

- If $f(e) < c_e$, add edge $e$ to $G_f$ with capacity $c_e - f(e)$. (remaining capacity left)
- If $f(u, v) > 0$, add reverse edge $(v, u)$ with capacity $f(e)$. (can erase up to $f(e)$ capacity)
Let $P$ be an $s - t$ path in the residual graph $G_f$.

Let $\text{bottleneck}(P, f)$ be the smallest capacity in $G_f$ on any edge of $P$.

If $\text{bottleneck}(P, f) > 0$ then we can increase the flow by sending $\text{bottleneck}(P, f)$ along the path $P$. 
If \( \text{bottleneck}(P, f) > 0 \) then we can increase the flow by sending \( \text{bottleneck}(P, f) \) along the path \( P \):

\[
\text{augment}(f, P) :
\]

\[
b = \text{bottleneck}(P, f)
\]

\[
\text{For each edge } (u, v) \in P:
\]

\[
\text{If } e = (u, v) \text{ is a forward edge:}
\]

\[
\text{Increase } f(e) \text{ in } G \text{ by } b \quad \text{//add some flow}
\]

\[
\text{Else:}
\]

\[
e' = (v, u)
\]

\[
\text{Decrease } f(e') \text{ in } G \text{ by } b \quad \text{//erase some flow}
\]

\]

\[
\text{EndIf}
\]

\[
\text{EndFor}
\]

\[
\text{Return } f
\]
MaxFlow(G):
    // initialize:
    Set f[e] = 0 for all e in G

    // while there is an s-t path in Gf:
    While P = FindPath(s,t, Residual(G,f)) != None:
        f = augment(f, P)
        UpdateResidual(G, f)
    EndWhile
Return f
After augment, we still have a flow

After \( f' = \text{augment}(P, f) \), we still have a flow:

**Capacity constraints:** Let \( e \) be an edge on \( P \):

- if \( e \) is forward edge, it has capacity \( c_e - f(e) \). Therefore,

  \[
  f'(e) = f(e) + \text{bottleneck}(P, f) \leq f(e) + c_e - f(e) \leq c_e
  \]

- if \( e \) is a backward edge, it has capacity \( f(e) \). Therefore,

  \[
  f'(e) = f(e) - \text{bottleneck}(P, f) \geq f(e) - f(e) = 0
  \]
**Balance constraints:** An s-t path in $G_f$ corresponds to some set of edges in $G$:

In other pictures, 

\[ \begin{align*}
  v & \quad 3 + \text{bottleneck}(P,f) \\
  & \quad 6 \\
  & \quad 7 + \text{bottleneck}(P,f) \\
  & \quad 10 \\
  & \quad 10 - \text{bottleneck}(P,f) \\
\end{align*} \]
Running Time

1. At every step, the flow values $f(e)$ are integers.

2. At every step we increase the amount of flow $\nu(f)$ sent by at least 1 unit.

3. We can never send more than $C := \sum_{e \text{ leaving } s} c_e$.

Theorem

*The Ford-Fulkerson algorithm terminates in $C$ iterations of the While loop.*
Running Time

1. At every step, the flow values $f(e)$ are integers. **Start with ints and always add or subtract ints**

2. At every step we increase the amount of flow $v(f)$ sent by at least 1 unit.

3. We can never send more than $C := \sum_{e \text{ leaving } s} c_e$.

**Theorem**

*The Ford-Fulkerson algorithm terminates in $C$ iterations of the While loop.*
Time in the While loop

1. If $G$ has $m$ edges, $G_f$ has $\leq 2m$ edges.

2. Can find an $s - t$ path in $G_f$ in time $O(m + n)$ time with DFS or BFS.

3. Since $m \geq n/2$ (every node is adjacent to some edge), $O(m + n) = O(m)$.

Theorem

*The Ford-Fulkerson algorithm runs in $O(mC)$ time.*
Note this is **pseudo-polynomial** because it depends on the size of the integers in the input.

You can remove this with slightly different algorithms. E.g.:

- $O(nm^2)$: Edmonds-Karp algorithm (use BFS to find the augmenting path)
- $O(m^2 \log C)$ (see section 7.3), or
- $O(n^2 m)$ or $O(n^3)$ (see section 7.4).
Proof of correctness

How do we know the flow is maximum?
Definition

The **capacity** \((A, B)\) of an s-t cut \((A, B)\) is the sum of the capacities of edges leaving \(A\).
A Cut Theorem

Theorem

Let $f$ be an s-t flow and $(A, B)$ be an s-t cut. Then $\nu(f) = f^{\text{out}}(A) - f^{\text{in}}(A)$.
Another cut theorem

**Theorem**

Let $f$ be any s-t flow and $(A, B)$ be any s-t cut. Then $v(f) \leq \text{capacity}(A, B)$.

**Proof.**

\[
v(f) = f^{\text{out}}(A) - f^{\text{in}}(A)
\]

\[
\leq f^{\text{out}}(A)
\]

\[
= \sum_{e \text{ leaving } A} f(e)
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{e \text{ leaving } A} c_e
\]

\[
= \text{capacity}(A, B)
\]
Cuts Constrain Flows

This theorem:

**Theorem**

Let $f$ be any s-t flow and $(A, B)$ be any s-t cut. Then $v(f) \leq \text{capacity}(A, B)$.

Says that any cut is bigger than any flow.

Therefore, cuts constrain flows. The minimum capacity cut constrains the maximum flow the most.

In fact, the capacity of the minimum cut always equals the maximum flow value.
Max-Flow = Min-Cut

minimum capacity of a cut = maximum value of a flow
Let $f^*$ be the flow returned by our algorithm. Look at $G_{f^*}$ but define a cut in $G$:

$$\text{Cut} = (A^*, B^*)$$

$A^*$ = nodes reachable from $s$ in residual graph $G_{f^*}$

Blue edges must be saturated.
Red edges must have 0 flow $\implies v(f^*) = \text{capacity}(A^*, B^*)$. 
\( \text{Max-Flow} = \text{Min-Cut, 3} \)

- \((A^*, B^*)\) is an s-t cut because there is no path from \(s\) to \(t\) in the residual graph \(G_{f^*}\).

- **Edges** \((u, v)\) from \(A^*\) to \(B^*\) must be saturated — otherwise there would be a forward edge \((u, v)\) in \(G_{f^*}\) and \(v\) would be part of \(A^*\).

- **Edges** \((v, u)\) from \(B^*\) to \(A^*\) must be empty — otherwise there would be a backedge \((u, v)\) in \(G_{f^*}\) and \(v\) would be part of \(A^*\).
Therefore,

- \( \nu(f^*) = \text{capacity}(A^*, B^*) \).
- No flow can have value bigger than \( \text{capacity}(A^*, B^*) \).
- So, \( f^* \) must be an maximum flow.
- And \( (A^*, B^*) \) has to be a minimum-capacity cut.

**Theorem (Max-flow = Min-cut)**

*The value of the maximum flow in any flow graph is equal to the capacity of the minimum cut.*
Finding the Min-capacity Cut

Our proof that maximum flow = minimum cut can be used to actually find the minimum capacity cut:

1. Find the maximum flow $f^*$.
2. Construct the residual graph $G_{f^*}$ for $f^*$.
3. Do a BFS to find the nodes reachable from $s$ in $G_{f^*}$. Let the set of these nodes be called $A^*$.
4. Let $B^*$ be all other nodes.
5. Return $(A^*, B^*)$ as the minimum capacity cut.
To Summarize

Summary:

- Ford-Fulkerson algorithm can find max flow in $O(mC)$ time.

- **Algorithm idea:** Send flow along some path with capacity left, possibly “erasing” some flow we’ve already sent. Use residual graph to keep track of remaining capacities and flow we’ve already sent.

- We can eliminate $C$ to get a true polynomial algorithm by using BFS to find our augmenting paths.

- All cuts have capacity $\geq$ the value of all flows.

- Know the flow is maximum because its value equals the capacity of some cut.