

ASSIGNMENT 5

CMSC 858K (Fall 2015)

Due in class on Thursday, November 12.

1. *Spindles and pancakes.*

- (a) [2 points] Consider a map on density matrices that sends a state with Bloch vector (x, y, z) to one with Bloch vector $(0, 0, z)$. Show that this map is a quantum operation.
- (b) [3 points] Consider a map on density matrices that sends a state with Bloch vector (x, y, z) to one with Bloch vector $(x, y, 0)$. Is this map a quantum operation? Prove that your answer is correct.

2. *Effect of noise on state distinguishability.*

Let $|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle = \cos\theta|0\rangle + \sin\theta|1\rangle$.

- (a) [2 points] Recall that the depolarizing channel with parameter $p \in [0, 1]$ is the quantum operation $\mathcal{D}_p(\rho) = (1-p)\rho + \frac{p}{3}(X\rho X + Y\rho Y + Z\rho Z)$ on a qubit state ρ . Compute the action of the depolarizing channel on the states $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$.
- (b) [4 points] Compute the trace distance between $\mathcal{D}_p(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$ and $\mathcal{D}_p(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)$.
- (c) [1 point] Discuss how the depolarizing channel affects the distinguishability of quantum states.

3. *Properties of (relative) entropy.*

- (a) [3 points] The *relative entropy* (also known as the *Kullback-Leibler divergence*) of two probability distributions p and q is $D(p\|q) = \sum_i p_i \log(p_i/q_i)$. Prove that for any probability distributions p and q , we have $D(p\|q) \geq 0$.
- (b) [3 points] The relative entropy of two quantum states ρ and σ is $D(\rho\|\sigma) = \text{Tr}(\rho(\log \rho - \log \sigma))$. Let $\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$ and $\sigma = \sum_i q_i |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|$ be spectral decompositions of ρ and σ , respectively. Show that

$$D(\rho\|\sigma) = -S(\rho) - \sum_{i,j} p_i |\langle\psi_i|\phi_j\rangle|^2 \log q_j.$$

- (c) [3 points] Let $r_i = \sum_j |\langle\psi_i|\phi_j\rangle|^2 q_j$. Show that $D(\rho\|\sigma) \geq D(p\|r)$, and thereby conclude that $D(\rho\|\sigma) \geq 0$.
- (d) [2 points] Use nonnegativity of the relative entropy to show that if ρ is supported on a space of dimension d , then $S(\rho) \leq \log d$.
- (e) [3 points] Use nonnegativity of the relative entropy to prove the subadditivity of entropy, i.e., $S(\rho_{AB}) \leq S(\rho_A) + S(\rho_B)$. (Hint: consider the relative entropy of ρ_{AB} and $\rho_A \otimes \rho_B$.)

4. *Entanglement concentration.*

- (a) [3 points] Suppose Alice has N qubits. For any $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$, define a projector

$$\Pi_n = \sum_{x: \text{wt}(x)=n} |x\rangle\langle x|$$

onto the subspace of states with Hamming weight n , where the Hamming weight $\text{wt}(x)$ is the number of 1s in the bit string x . Describe a quantum circuit that performs the projective measurement $\{\Pi_n\}$. (Note that Alice should not measure any refinement of this measurement, so superpositions of different x with the same Hamming weight remain coherent.)

- (b) [3 points] Suppose Alice and Bob share N copies of the state $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle$, i.e., they have the state $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes N}$. Show that if Alice and Bob each perform the measurement from part (a), they will get the same outcome n , and show that the remaining state is equivalent, up to local unitary operations, to the maximally entangled state

$$\binom{N}{n}^{-1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\binom{N}{n}-1} |j\rangle_A |j\rangle_B.$$

- (c) [4 points] Let $\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$. Show that for large N , the most likely value of n in the measurement from part (b) satisfies $\log \binom{N}{n} \approx NS(\rho_A)$. (In fact, for large N , the distribution is very highly peaked around this value of n . In other words, using only local operations and classical communication, Alice and Bob can convert N partially entangled states into about $NS(\rho_A)$ maximally entangled states with high probability.)