

Practice Problems – Operational Semantics

1. Recall the language IMP from class:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a & ::= n \mid X \mid a_0 + a_1 \mid a_0 - a_1 \mid a_0 * a_1 \\
 b & ::= bv \mid a_0 = a_1 \mid a_0 \leq a_1 \mid \neg b \mid b_0 \wedge b_1 \mid b_0 \vee b_1 \\
 c & ::= \text{skip} \mid X := a \mid \text{if } b \text{ then } c_0 \text{ else } c_1 \mid \text{while } b \text{ do } c \\
 bv & ::= \text{true} \mid \text{false}
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose we extend the language with a C-style for loop:

$$c ::= \dots \mid \text{for}(c_0; b; c_1) c_2$$

Write down big-step operational semantics for “for.” You may *not* use “while” in the hypotheses of your “for” rules. *Hint:* the “skip” command may come in handy.

2. Here is the lambda calculus, extended with integers, and its semantics:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 e ::= v \mid x \mid e e \\
 v ::= n \mid \lambda x.e
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \text{BETA} \\
 \hline
 (\lambda x.e_1)v_2 \rightarrow e_1[x \mapsto v_2]
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \text{LEFT} \\
 \hline
 \frac{e_1 \rightarrow e'_1}{e_1 e_2 \rightarrow e'_1 e_2}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \text{RIGHT} \\
 \hline
 \frac{e_2 \rightarrow e'_2}{v e_2 \rightarrow v e'_2}
 \end{array}$$

Draw derivations showing that the following reductions hold:

- (a) $(\lambda x.42) 13 \rightarrow 42$
- (b) $((\lambda x.x) (\lambda y.y)) (\lambda z.z) \rightarrow (\lambda y.y) (\lambda z.z)$
- (c) $(\lambda x.x) ((\lambda x.\lambda y.x) 42) \rightarrow (\lambda x.x) (\lambda y.42)$

- 3. Write down big-step semantics for lambda calculus that are equivalent to the rules above (for terminating programs).
- 4. Draw a derivation of the following in your big-step semantics: $(\lambda x.x) ((\lambda x.\lambda y.x) 42) \rightarrow (\lambda y.42)$