CMSC 330: Organization of Programming Languages

Lambda Calculus Encodings

The Power of Lambdas

- Despite its simplicity, the lambda calculus is quite expressive: it is Turing complete!
- Means we can encode any computation we want*
 - If we're sufficiently clever...
- Examples
 - Let bindings
 - Booleans
 - Pairs
 - Natural numbers & arithmetic
- Looping CMSC 330 Fall 2019

*To show Turing completeness we have to map every Turing machine to lambda calculus term. We are not doing that here. Rather, we are showing how typical PL constructs can be represented in lambda calculus, to show what it can do

Let bindings

- Local variable declarations are like defining a function and applying it immediately (once):
 - let x = e1 in $e2 = (\lambda x.e2) e1$
- Example
 - let $x = (\lambda y.y)$ in $x x = (\lambda x.x x) (\lambda y.y)$

where

$$(\lambda x.x x) (\lambda y.y) \rightarrow (\lambda x.x x) (\lambda y.y) \rightarrow (\lambda y.y) (\lambda y.y) \rightarrow (\lambda y.y)$$

Booleans

- Church's encoding of mathematical logic
 - true = $\lambda x.\lambda y.x$
 - false = $\lambda x.\lambda y.y$
 - if a then b else c
 - > Defined to be the expression: a b c
- Examples
 - if true then b else $c = (\lambda x. \lambda y. x) b c \rightarrow (\lambda y. b) c \rightarrow b$
 - if false then b else $c = (\lambda x. \lambda y. y) b c \rightarrow (\lambda y. y) c \rightarrow c$

Booleans (cont.)

- Other Boolean operations
 - not = λx.x false true
 - \rightarrow not x = x false true = if x then false else true
 - > not true \rightarrow (λx .x false true) true \rightarrow (true false true) \rightarrow false
 - and = $\lambda x. \lambda y. x y$ false
 - \rightarrow and x y = if x then y else false
 - or = $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$ true y
 - > or x y = if x then true else y
- Given these operations
 - Can build up a logical inference system

Quiz #1

What is the lambda calculus encoding of xor x y?

```
xor true true = xor false false = false
```

xor true false = xor false true = true

```
A. XX Y
```

- в. x (y true false) y
- c. x (y false true) y
- D. **y x y**

```
true = \lambda x.\lambda y.x

false = \lambda x.\lambda y.y

if a then b else c = a b c

not = \lambda x.x false true
```

Quiz #1

What is the lambda calculus encoding of xor x y?

```
- xor true true = xor false false = false
```

xor true false = xor false true = true

- A. **XX Y**
- в. x (y true false) y
- c. x (y false true) y
- D. **y x y**

```
true = \lambda x.\lambda y.x
false = \lambda x.\lambda y.y
if a then b else c = a b c
not = \lambda x.x false true
```

Pairs

- Encoding of a pair a, b
 - $(a,b) = \lambda x.if x then a else b$
 - fst = λ f.f true
 - snd = λf.f false
- Examples
 - fst (a,b) = (λf.f true) (λx.if x then a else b) →
 (λx.if x then a else b) true →
 if true then a else b → a
 - snd (a,b) = (λf.f false) (λx.if x then a else b) →
 (λx.if x then a else b) false →
 if false then a else b → b

Natural Numbers (Church* Numerals)

- Encoding of non-negative integers
 - $0 = \lambda f.\lambda y.y$
 - $1 = \lambda f.\lambda y.f y$
 - $2 = \lambda f.\lambda y.f(f y)$
 - $3 = \lambda f.\lambda y.f(f(f y))$ i.e., $n = \lambda f.\lambda y. < apply f n times to y>$
 - Formally: $n+1 = \lambda f.\lambda y.f (n f y)$

Quiz #2

 $n = \lambda f. \lambda y. < apply f n times to y >$

What OCaml type could you give to a Churchencoded numeral?

A.
$$(a -> b) -> a -> b$$

D. (int -> int) -> int -> int

Quiz #2

 $n = \lambda f. \lambda y. < apply f n times to y >$

What OCaml type could you give to a Churchencoded numeral?

- A. (a -> b) -> a -> b
- в. ('a -> 'a) -> 'a -> 'a
- c. ('a -> 'a) -> 'b -> int
- D. (int -> int) -> int -> int

Operations On Church Numerals

Successor

• succ = $\lambda z.\lambda f.\lambda y.f(z f y)$

- $0 = \lambda f.\lambda y.y$
- $1 = \lambda f.\lambda y.f y$

Example

```
• succ 0 = (\lambda z.\lambda f.\lambda y.f(z f y)) (\lambda f.\lambda y.y) \rightarrow \lambda f.\lambda y.f((\lambda f.\lambda y.y) f y) \rightarrow \lambda f.\lambda y.f((\lambda y.y) y) \rightarrow Since (\lambda x.y) z \rightarrow y \lambda f.\lambda y.f y = 1
```

Operations On Church Numerals (cont.)

IsZero?

iszero = λz.z (λy.false) true
 This is equivalent to λz.((z (λy.false)) true)

Example

```
• iszero 0 =
(\lambda z.z (\lambda y.false) true) (\lambda f.\lambda y.y) \rightarrow
(\lambda f.\lambda y.y) (\lambda y.false) true \rightarrow
(\lambda y.y) true \rightarrow
Since (\lambda x.y) z \rightarrow y
true
```

Arithmetic Using Church Numerals

- If M and N are numbers (as λ expressions)
 - Can also encode various arithmetic operations
- Addition
 - M + N = λf.λy.M f (N f y)
 Equivalently: + = λM.λN.λf.λy.M f (N f y)
 In prefix notation (+ M N)
- Multiplication
 - M * N = λf.M (N f)
 Equivalently: * = λM.λN.λf.λy.M (N f) y
 In prefix notation (* M N)

Arithmetic (cont.)

- Prove 1+1 = 2
 - $1+1 = \lambda x.\lambda y.(1 x) (1 x y) =$
 - $\lambda x.\lambda y.((\lambda f.\lambda y.f y) x) (1 x y) \rightarrow$
 - $\lambda x.\lambda y.(\lambda y.x y) (1 x y) \rightarrow$
 - $\lambda x.\lambda y.x (1 x y) \rightarrow$
 - $\lambda x.\lambda y.x ((\lambda f.\lambda y.f y) x y) \rightarrow$
 - λx.λy.x ((λy.x y) y) →
 - $\lambda x.\lambda y.x (x y) = 2$
- With these definitions
 - Can build a theory of arithmetic

- $1 = \lambda f.\lambda y.f y$
- $2 = \lambda f.\lambda y.f(fy)$

Looping & Recursion

- ▶ Define $D = \lambda x.x x$, then
 - D D = $(\lambda x.x x) (\lambda x.x x) \rightarrow (\lambda x.x x) (\lambda x.x x) = D D$
- So D D is an infinite loop
 - In general, self application is how we get looping

CMSC 330 Fall 2019

The Fixpoint Combinator

```
Y = \lambda f.(\lambda x.f(x x)) (\lambda x.f(x x))
```

Then

```
YF =
(\lambda f.(\lambda x.f(x x)) (\lambda x.f(x x))) F \rightarrow
(\lambda x.F(x x)) (\lambda x.F(x x)) \rightarrow
F((\lambda x.F(x x)) (\lambda x.F(x x)))
= F(YF)
```



- Y F is a fixed point (aka fixpoint) of F
- Thus Y F = F (Y F) = F (F (Y F)) = ...
 - We can use Y to achieve recursion for F

Example

```
fact = \lambda f.\lambda n.if n = 0 then 1 else n * (f (n-1))
```

- The second argument to fact is the integer
- The first argument is the function to call in the body
 - > We'll use Y to make this recursively call fact

```
(Y fact) 1 = (fact (Y fact)) 1
  → if 1 = 0 then 1 else 1 * ((Y fact) 0)
  → 1 * ((Y fact) 0)
  = 1 * (fact (Y fact) 0)
  → 1 * (if 0 = 0 then 1 else 0 * ((Y fact) (-1))
  → 1 * 1 → 1
```

Call-by-name vs. Call-by-value

- Sometimes we have a choice about where to apply beta reduction. Before call (i.e., argument):
 - $(\lambda z.z) ((\lambda y.y) x) \rightarrow (\lambda z.z) x \rightarrow x$
- Or after the call:
 - $(\lambda z.z) ((\lambda y.y) x) \rightarrow (\lambda y.y) x \rightarrow x$
- The former strategy is called call-by-value (CBV)
 - Evaluate any arguments before calling the function
- The latter is called call-by-name (CBN)
 - Delay evaluating arguments as long as possible

Partial Evaluation

- It is also possible to evaluate within a function (without calling it):
 - $(\lambda y.(\lambda z.z) y x) \rightarrow (\lambda y.y x)$
- Called partial evaluation
 - Can combine with CBN or CBV
 - In practical languages, this evaluation strategy is employed in a limited way, as compiler optimization

```
int foo(int x) {
  return 0+x;
}
  int foo(int x) {
  return x;
}
```

CMSC 330 Fall 2019 20

Confluence

- No matter what evaluation order (or combination) you choose, you get the same answer
 - Assuming the evaluation always terminates
- However, termination behavior differs between call-by-value and call-by-name
 - if true then true else (D D) → true under call-by-name
 true true (D D) = (λx.λy.x) true (D D) → (λy.true) (D D) → true
 - if true then true else (D D) → ... under call-by-value
 - > $(\lambda x.\lambda y.x)$ true $(D D) \rightarrow (\lambda y.true) (D D) \rightarrow (\lambda y.true) (D D) \rightarrow ...$ never terminates

CMSC 330 Fall 2019

Quiz #3

Y is a fixed point combinator under which evaluation order?

- A. Call-by-value
- в. Call-by-name
- c. Both
- D. Neither

```
Y = \lambda f.(\lambda x.f(x x)) (\lambda x.f(x x))
Y F = (\lambda f.(\lambda x.f(x x)) (\lambda x.f(x x))) F \rightarrow (\lambda x.F(x x)) (\lambda x.F(x x)) \rightarrow F ((\lambda x.F(x x)) (\lambda x.F(x x)))
= F (Y F)
```

Quiz #3

Y is a fixed point combinator under which evaluation order?

- A. Call-by-value
- в. Call-by-name
- c. Both
- **Neither**

```
\mathbf{Y} = \lambda f.(\lambda x.f(x x)) (\lambda x.f(x x))
\mathbf{Y} F = (\lambda f.(\lambda x.f(x x)) (\lambda x.f(x x))) F \rightarrow (\lambda x.F(x x)) (\lambda x.F(x x)) \rightarrow F ((\lambda x.F(x x)) (\lambda x.F(x x)))
= F (\mathbf{Y} F)
```

In CBV, we expand
Y F = F (Y F) = F (F (Y F)) ... indefinitely, for any F

The Z Combinator: For CBV languages

```
Z = λf.(λx.f (λv.x x v)) (λx.f (λv.x x v))

Then

Z F x =

(λf.(λx.f (λv.x x v)) (λx.f (λv.x x v))) F →

(λx.F (λv.x x v)) (λx.F (λv.x x v)) →

F (λv. (λx.F (λv.x x v)) (λx.F (λv.x x v)) v)

F ((λx.F (λv.x x v)) (λx.F (λv.x x v)))

= F (Z F)
```

CMSC 330 Fall 2019 24

Discussion

- Lambda calculus is Turing-complete
 - Most powerful language possible
 - Can represent pretty much anything in "real" language
 - > Using clever encodings
- But programs would be
 - Pretty slow (10000 + 1 → thousands of function calls)
 - Pretty large (10000 + 1 → hundreds of lines of code)
 - Pretty hard to understand (recognize 10000 vs. 9999)
- In practice
 - We use richer, more expressive languages
 - That include built-in primitives

The Need For Types

- Consider the untyped lambda calculus
 - false = $\lambda x.\lambda y.y$
 - $0 = \lambda x.\lambda y.y$
- Since everything is encoded as a function...
 - We can easily misuse terms...
 - > false $0 \rightarrow \lambda y.y$
 - > if 0 then ...
 - ...because everything evaluates to some function
- The same thing happens in assembly language
 - Everything is a machine word (a bunch of bits)
 - All operations take machine words to machine words

CMSC 330 Fall 2019 26

Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus (STLC)

- e ::= n | x | λx:t.e | e e
 - Added integers n as primitives
 - Need at least two distinct types (integer & function)...
 - > ...to have type errors
 - Functions now include the type t of their argument
- ▶ $t ::= int \mid t \rightarrow t$
 - int is the type of integers
 - t1 → t2 is the type of a function
 - That takes arguments of type t1 and returns result of type t2

Types are limiting

- STLC will reject some terms as ill-typed, even if they will not produce a run-time error
 - Cannot type check Y in STLC
 - > Or in OCaml, for that matter, at least not as written earlier.
- Surprising theorem: All (well typed) simply-typed lambda calculus terms are strongly normalizing
 - A normal form is one that cannot be reduced further
 - > A value is a kind of normal form
 - Strong normalization means STLC terms always terminate
 - Proof is not by straightforward induction: Applications "increase" term size

CMSC 330 Fall 2019

Summary

- Lambda calculus is a core model of computation
 - We can encode familiar language constructs using only functions
 - These encodings are enlightening make you a better (functional) programmer
- Useful for understanding how languages work
 - Ideas of types, evaluation order, termination, proof systems, etc. can be developed in lambda calculus,
 - then scaled to full languages

CMSC 330 Fall 2019 29

What is a normal form?

- a) The point at which an expression cannot reduce any further
- b) The point at which it is clear that an expression will reduce infinitely
- c) The original form of the lambda expression
- d) The form reached after one reduction

What is a normal form?

- a) The point at which an expression cannot reduce any further
- b) The point at which it is clear that an expression will reduce infinitely
- c) The original form of the lambda expression
- d) The form reached after one reduction

What feature does the (untyped) lambda calculus require to make it Turing complete?

- a) Types
- b) Natural numbers
- c) Fixed point combinator
- d) It already is Turing complete

What feature does the (untyped) lambda calculus require to make it Turing complete?

- a) Types
- b) Natural numbers
- c) Fixed point combinator
- d) It already is Turing complete