

Sequence Labeling II

CMSC 470

Marine Carpuat

Recap: We know how to perform POS tagging with structured perceptron

- An example of sequence labeling tasks
- Requires a predefined set of POS tags
 - Penn Treebank commonly used for English
 - Encodes some distinctions and not others
- Given annotated examples, we can address sequence labeling with multiclass perceptron
 - but computing the argmax naively is expensive
 - constraints on the feature definition make efficient algorithms possible

We can view POS tagging as classification and use the perceptron again!

 $\hat{y} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\hat{y} \in \mathcal{Y}(x)} w \cdot \phi(x, \hat{y})$

Algorithm 40 STRUCTUREDPERCEPTRONTRAIN(**D**, *MaxIter*) // initialize weights $w \leftarrow 0$ $_{2}$ for *iter* = 1 ... *MaxIter* do for all $(x,y) \in D$ do 3: $\hat{y} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{\hat{y} \in \mathcal{Y}(x)} w \cdot \phi(x, \hat{y})$ // compute prediction 4: if $\hat{y} \neq y$ then 5: $w \leftarrow w + \phi(x, y) - \phi(x, \hat{y})$ // update weights 6: end if 7: end for 8: o: end for

10: return w

// return learned weights

Algorithm from CIML chapter 17

Feature functions for sequence labeling

- Standard features of POS tagging
 - Unary features: capture relationship between input x and a single label in the output sequence y
 - e.g., "# times word w has been labeled with tag l for all words w and all tags l"
 - Markov features: capture relationship between adjacent labels in the output sequence y
 - e.g., "# times tag I is adjacent to tag I' in output for all tags I and I'"
- Given these feature types, the size of the feature vector is constant with respect to input length

- x = " monsters eat tasty bunnies "
- y = noun verb adj noun

Decomposability

• If **features decompose over the input sequence**, then we can decompose the perceptron score as follows

$$m{w} \cdot m{\phi}(m{x}, m{y}) = m{w} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^{L} m{\phi}_l(m{x}, m{y})$$

 $= \sum_{l=1}^{L} m{w} \cdot m{\phi}_l(m{x}, m{y})$

• This holds for unary and Markov features

Solving the argmax problem for sequences efficiently with dynamic programming

- Image: Second second
- Possible when features decompose over input
- We can represent the search space as a trellis/lattice
 - Any path represents a labeling of input sentence
 - Each edge receives a weight such that adding weights along the path corresponds to score for input/ouput configuration

Defining the Viterbi lattice for our POS tagger (assuming features from slide 4)



- Each node corresponds to one time step (or position in the input sequence) and one POS tag
- Each edge in the lattice connects from time *I* to *I+1*, and from tag *k'* to *k*

Defining the Viterbi lattice for our POS tagger (assuming features from slide 4)



- When features decompose over input, we can
 - Define the score of the best path in lattice up to and including position / that labels the *l*-th word as k $\alpha_{l,k} = \max_{\hat{y}_{1:l-1}} w \cdot \phi_{1:l}(x, \hat{y} \circ k)$
 - And compute this score recursively $\alpha_{l+1,k} \leftarrow \max_{k'} \left[\alpha_{l,k'} + \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \phi_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \langle \dots, k', k \rangle) \right]$

Best prefix up to I ending in k'

Score contribution of adding k to prefix

$$lpha_{0,k} = 0 \quad \forall k$$

 $\zeta_{0,k} = \forall k$

the score for any empty sequence is zero

$$\alpha_{l+1,k} = \max_{\hat{y}_{1:l}} w \cdot \phi_{1:l+1}(x, \hat{y} \circ k)$$

Deriving the recursion

$lpha_{0,k} = 0 \quad \forall k$ $\zeta_{0,k} = \oslash \quad \forall k$

the score for any empty sequence is zero

$$\alpha_{l+1,k} = \max_{\hat{y}_{1:l}} w \cdot \phi_{1:l+1}(x, \hat{y} \circ k)$$

separate score of prefix from score of position I+1

$$= \max_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1:l}} \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \left(\phi_{1:l}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) + \phi_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \circ k) \right)$$

Deriving the recursion

the score for any empty sequence is zero

$$\alpha_{l+1,k} = \max_{\hat{y}_{1:l}} w \cdot \phi_{1:l+1}(x, \hat{y} \circ k)$$

separate score of prefix from score of position I+1

$$= \max_{\hat{y}_{1:l}} \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \left(\phi_{1:l}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) + \phi_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \circ k) \right)$$

distributive law over dot products

$$= \max_{\hat{y}_{1:l}} \left[\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1:l}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) + \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \circ k) \right]$$

$$lpha_{0,k} = 0 \quad \forall k$$

 $\zeta_{0,k} = \oslash \quad \forall k$

the score for any empty sequence is zero

$$\alpha_{l+1,k} = \max_{\hat{y}_{1:l}} w \cdot \phi_{1:l+1}(x, \hat{y} \circ k)$$

separate score of prefix from score of position I+1

$$= \max_{\hat{y}_{1:l}} \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \left(\phi_{1:l}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) + \phi_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \circ k) \right)$$

distributive law over dot products

$$= \max_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1:l}} \left[\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1:l}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) + \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \circ k) \right]$$

separate out final label from prefix, call it k'

$$= \max_{\hat{y}_{1:l-1}} \max_{k'} \left[w \cdot \phi_{1:l}(x, \hat{y} \circ k') + w \cdot \phi_{l+1}(x, \hat{y} \circ k' \circ k) \right]$$

$$lpha_{0,k} = 0 \quad \forall k$$

 $\zeta_{0,k} = \oslash \quad \forall k$

the score for any empty sequence is zero

$$\alpha_{l+1,k} = \max_{\hat{y}_{1:l}} w \cdot \phi_{1:l+1}(x, \hat{y} \circ k)$$

separate score of prefix from score of position I+1

$$= \max_{\hat{y}_{1:l}} \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \left(\phi_{1:l}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) + \phi_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \circ k) \right)$$

distributive law over dot products

$$= \max_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1:l}} \left[\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1:l}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) + \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \circ k) \right]$$

separate out final label from prefix, call it k'

$$= \max_{\hat{y}_{1:l-1}} \max_{k'} \left[w \cdot \phi_{1:l}(x, \hat{y} \circ k') + w \cdot \phi_{l+1}(x, \hat{y} \circ k' \circ k) \right]$$

swap order of maxes, and last term doesn't depend on prefix

$$= \max_{k'} \left[\left[\max_{\hat{y}_{1:l-1}} w \cdot \phi_{1:l}(x, \hat{y} \circ k') \right] + w \cdot \phi_{l+1}(x, \langle \dots, k', k \rangle) \right]$$

the score for any empty sequence is zero

$$\alpha_{l+1,k} = \max_{\hat{y}_{1:l}} w \cdot \phi_{1:l+1}(x, \hat{y} \circ k)$$

separate score of prefix from score of position I+1

$$= \max_{\hat{y}_{1:l}} \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \left(\phi_{1:l}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) + \phi_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \circ k) \right)$$

distributive law over dot products

$$= \max_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1:l}} \left[\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1:l}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) + \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi}_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \circ k) \right]$$

separate out final label from prefix, call it k'

$$= \max_{\hat{y}_{1:l-1}} \max_{k'} \left[w \cdot \phi_{1:l}(x, \hat{y} \circ k') + w \cdot \phi_{l+1}(x, \hat{y} \circ k' \circ k) \right]$$

swap order of maxes, and last term doesn't depend on prefix

$$= \max_{k'} \left[\left[\max_{\hat{y}_{1:l-1}} \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \phi_{1:l}(\boldsymbol{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \circ k') \right] + \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \phi_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \langle \dots, k', k \rangle) \right]$$

apply recursive definition

$$= \max_{k'} \left[\alpha_{l,k'} + \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \phi_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \langle \dots, k', k \rangle) \right]$$

The Viterbi Algorithm

Runtime $O(LK^2)$

Algorithm 42 ArgmaxForSequences(x, w)

- 1: $L \leftarrow \text{Len}(x)$
- ^{2:} $\alpha_{l,k} \leftarrow o$, $\zeta_{k,l} \leftarrow o$, $\forall k = 1...K$, $\forall l = 0...L$ // initialize variables ^{3:} for l = 0...L-1 do
- 4: **for** k = 1 ... K **do**
- 5: $\alpha_{l+1,k} \leftarrow \max_{k'} \left[\alpha_{l,k'} + \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \phi_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \langle \dots, k', k \rangle) \right]$ // recursion: // here, $\phi_{l+1}(\dots, k', k \dots)$ is the set of features associated with
 - // output position l+1 and two adjacent labels k' and k at that position
- 6: $\zeta_{l+1,k} \leftarrow \text{the } k' \text{ that achieves the maximum above } // \text{ store backpointer}$ 7: **end for**
- 8: end for
- 9: $y \leftarrow \langle 0, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$ 10: $y_L \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_k \alpha_{L,k}$ 11: for $l = L - 1 \dots 1$ do
- 12: $y_l \leftarrow \zeta_{l,y_{l+1}}$ 13: end for
- 14: return y

// initialize predicted output to L-many zeros // extract highest scoring final label

// traceback ζ based on y_{l+1}

// return predicted output

Key points in Viterbi algorithm

Compute score of best possible prefix up to l+1 ending in k recursively $\alpha_{l+1,k} \leftarrow \max_{k'} \left[\alpha_{l,k'} + w \cdot \phi_{l+1}(x, \langle \dots, k', k \rangle) \right]$

Record backpointer to label k' in position I that achieves the max $\zeta_{l+1,k} = \underset{k'}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left[\alpha_{l,k'} + w \cdot \phi_{l+1}(x, \langle \dots, k', k \rangle) \right]$

At the end, take $\max_k \alpha_{L,k}$ as the score of the best output sequence

Follow backpointers to retrieve the argmax sequence

Recap: We know how to perform POS tagging with structured perceptron

- An example of sequence labeling tasks
- Requires a predefined set of POS tags
 - Penn Treebank commonly used for English
 - Encodes some distinctions and not others
- Given annotated examples, we can address sequence labeling with multiclass perceptron
 - but computing the argmax naively is expensive
 - constraints on the feature definition make efficient algorithms possible
 - E.g, Viterbi algorithm

Note: one downside of the structured perceptron, we've just seen is that all bad output sequences are equally bad



Consider

$$\widehat{y_1} = [A, A, A, A]$$
$$\widehat{y_2} = [N, V, N, N]$$

- With 0-1 loss $l^{(0-1)}(y, \widehat{y_1}) = l^{(0-1)}(y, \widehat{y_2}) = 1$
- An alternative: minimize **Hamming** Los
 - gives a more nuanced evaluation of output than 0–1 loss

$$\ell^{(\mathsf{Ham})}(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mathbf{1}[\boldsymbol{y}_l \neq \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_l]$$

Can be done with similar algorithms for training and argmax

Sequence labeling tasks

Beyond POS tagging

Many NLP tasks can be framed as sequence labeling

- Information Extraction: detecting named entities
 - E.g., names of people, organizations, locations

"Brendan Iribe, a co-founder of Oculus VR and a prominent University of Maryland donor, is leaving Facebook four years after it purchased his company."

http://www.dbknews.com/2018/10/24/brendan-iribe-facebook-leaves-oculus-vr-umd-computer-science/

Many NLP tasks can be framed as sequence labeling

x = [Brendan, Iribe, ",", a, co-founder, of, Oculus, VR, and, a, prominent, University, of, Maryland, donor, ",", is, leaving, Facebook, four, years, after, it, purchased, his, company, "."]

y = [B-PER, I-PER, O, O, O, O, B-ORG, I-ORG, O, O, O, O, B-ORG, I-ORG, I-ORG, O, O, O, B-ORG, O, O, O, O, O, O, O, O, O]

"BIO" labeling scheme for named entity recognition

Many NLP tasks can be framed as sequence labeling

- The same kind of BIO scheme can be used to tag other spans of text
 - Syntactic analysis: detecting noun phrase and verb phrases
 - Semantic roles: detecting semantic roles (who did what to whom)