

Dependency Parsing II

CMSC 470

Marine Carpuat

Graph-based Dependency Parsing

Slides credit: Joakim Nivre

Directed Spanning Trees

- A directed spanning tree of a (multi-)digraph G = (V, A), is a subgraph G' = (V', A') such that:
 - ► V' = V
 - ► $A' \subseteq A$, and |A'| = |V'| 1
 - ► G' is a tree (acyclic)
- A spanning tree of the following (multi-)digraphs



Dependency Parsing as Finding the Maximum Spanning Tree

- Views parsing as finding the best directed spanning tree
 - of multi-digraph that captures all possible dependencies in a sentence
 - needs a score that quantifies how good a tree is
- Assume we have an arc factored model

i.e. weight of graph can be factored as sum or product of weights of its arcs

- Chu-Liu-Edmonds algorithm can find the maximum spanning tree for us
 - Recursive algorithm
 - Naïve implementation: O(n^3)

Chu-Liu-Edmonds illustrated (for unlabeled dependency parsing)



Find highest scoring incoming arc for each vertex

root 20 saw 30 John 30 Mary

▶ If this is a tree, then we have found MST!!

- ▶ If not a tree, identify cycle and contract
- Recalculate arc weights into and out-of cycle





- Outgoing arc weights
 - Equal to the max of outgoing arc over all vertexes in cycle
 - $\blacktriangleright\,$ e.g., John $\rightarrow\,$ Mary is 3 and saw $\rightarrow\,$ Mary is 30



Incoming arc weights

- Equal to the weight of best spanning tree that includes head of incoming arc, and all nodes in cycle
- root → saw → John is 40 (**)
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ root} \rightarrow \text{John} \rightarrow \text{saw is } 29$

This is a tree and the MST for the contracted graph!!



Go back up recursive call and reconstruct final graph

Chu-Liu-Edmonds algorithm

```
function MAXSPANNINGTREE(G=(V,E), root, score) returns spanning tree
    F \leftarrow []
    T' \leftarrow []
    score' ← []
    for each v \in V do
      bestInEdge \leftarrow argmax_{e=(u,v) \in E} score[e]
      F \leftarrow F \cup bestInEdge
       for each e=(u,v) \in E do
          score'[e] \leftarrow score[e] - score[bestInEdge]
       if T = (V, F) is a spanning tree then return it
       else
         C \leftarrow a cycle in F
         G' \leftarrow \text{CONTRACT}(G, C)
         T' \leftarrow \text{MAXSPANNINGTREE}(G', root, score')
         T \leftarrow EXPAND(T', C)
          return T
function CONTRACT(G, C) returns contracted graph
function EXPAND(T, C) returns expanded graph
```

Figure 15.13 The Chu-Liu Edmonds algorithm for finding a maximum spanning tree in a weighted directed graph.

For dependency parsing, we will view arc weights as linear classifiers

Weight of arc from head **i** to dependent **j**, with label **k**

 $w_{ij}^k = e^{\mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{f}(i,j,k)}$

- Arc weights are a linear combination of features of the arc, f, and a corresponding weight vector w
- Raised to an exponent (simplifies some math ...)
- ► What arc features?

Example of classifier features



► Features from [McDonald et al. 2005]:

• Identities of the words w_i and w_j and the label I_k

```
head=saw & dependent=with
```

Typical classifier features

- Word forms, lemmas, and parts of speech of the headword and its dependent
- Corresponding features derived from the contexts before, after and between the words
- Word embeddings
- The dependency relation itself
- The direction of the relation (to the right or left)
- The distance from the head to the dependent

How to score a graph G using features?



Learning parameters with the Structured Perceptron

Training data:
$$T = \{(x_t, G_t)\}_{t=1}^{|T|}$$

1. $\mathbf{w}^{(0)} = 0; i = 0$
2. for $n: 1..N$
3. for $t: 1..T$
4. Let $G' = \arg \max_{G'} \mathbf{w}^{(i)} \cdot \mathbf{f}(G')$
5. if $G' \neq G_t$
6. $\mathbf{w}^{(i+1)} = \mathbf{w}^{(i)} + \mathbf{f}(G_t) - \mathbf{f}(G')$
7. $i = i + 1$
8. return \mathbf{w}^i

This is the exact same perceptron algorithm as for multiclass classification, sequence labeling

 $\hat{y} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\hat{y} \in \mathcal{Y}(x)} w \cdot \phi(x, \hat{y})$

Algorithm 40 STRUCTUREDPERCEPTRONTRAIN(**D**, *MaxIter*) // initialize weights $w \leftarrow 0$ $_{2}$ for *iter* = 1 ... *MaxIter* do for all $(x,y) \in \mathbf{D}$ do 3: $\hat{y} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{\hat{y} \in \mathcal{Y}(x)} w \cdot \phi(x, \hat{y})$ // compute prediction 4: if $\hat{y} \neq y$ then 5: $w \leftarrow w + \phi(x, y) - \phi(x, \hat{y})$ // update weights 6: end if 7: end for 8: g: end for // return learned weights 10: return w

Algorithm from CIML chapter 17

Comparing dependency parsing algorithms

Transition-based

• Locally trained

• Use greedy search algorithm

• Can define features over a rich history of parsing decisions

Graph-based

- Globally trained
- Use exact search algorithm
- Can only define features over a limited history of parsing decisions to maintain arcfactored assumption

Dependency Parsing: what you should know

- Interpreting dependency trees
- Transition-based dependency parsing
 - Shift-reduce parsing
 - Transition systems: arc standard, arc eager
 - Oracle algorithm: how to obtain a transition sequence given a tree
 - How to construct a multiclass classifier to predict parsing actions
 - What transition-based parsers can and cannot do
 - That transition-based parsers provide a flexible framework that allows many extensions
 - such as RNNs vs feature engineering, non-projectivity (but I don't expect you to memorize these algorithms)
- Graph-based dependency parsing
 - Chu-Liu-Edmonds algorithm
 - Stuctured perceptron

Parsing with Context Free Grammars

Agenda

- Grammar-based parsing with CFGs
 - CKY algorithm
- Dealing with ambiguity
 - Probabilistic CFGs

Sample Grammar

Grammar	Lexicon
$S \rightarrow NP VP$	$Det \rightarrow that \mid this \mid a$
$S \rightarrow Aux NP VP$	Noun \rightarrow book flight meal money
$S \rightarrow VP$	$Verb \rightarrow book \mid include \mid prefer$
$NP \rightarrow Pronoun$	<i>Pronoun</i> \rightarrow <i>I</i> <i>she</i> <i>me</i>
$NP \rightarrow Proper-Noun$	$Proper-Noun \rightarrow Houston \mid NWA$
$NP \rightarrow Det Nominal$	$Aux \rightarrow does$
Nominal \rightarrow Noun	<i>Preposition</i> \rightarrow <i>from</i> <i>to</i> <i>on</i> <i>near</i> <i>through</i>
$Nominal \rightarrow Nominal Noun$	
$Nominal \rightarrow Nominal PP$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb NP$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb NP PP$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb PP$	
$VP \rightarrow VP PP$	
$PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$	

Grammar-based parsing: CKY

Grammar-based Parsing

- Problem setup
 - Input: string and a CFG
 - Output: parse tree assigning proper structure to input string
- "Proper structure"
 - Tree that covers all and only words in the input
 - Tree is rooted at an S
 - Derivations obey rules of the grammar
 - Usually, more than one parse tree...

Parsing Algorithms

- Two naive algorithms:
 - Top-down search
 - Bottom-up search
- A "real" algorithm:
 - CKY parsing

Top-Down Search

- Observation
 - trees must be rooted with an S node
- Parsing strategy
 - Start at top with an S node
 - Apply rules to build out trees
 - Work down toward leaves

Bottom-Up Search

- Observation
 - trees must cover all input words
- Parsing strategy
 - Start at the bottom with input words
 - Build structure based on grammar
 - Work up towards the root S

Top-Down vs. Bottom-Up

- Top-down search
 - Only searches valid trees
 - But, considers trees that are not consistent with any of the words
- Bottom-up search
 - Only builds trees consistent with the input
 - But, considers trees that don't lead anywhere

Parsing as Search

- Search involves controlling choices in the search space
 - Which node to focus on in building structure
 - Which grammar rule to apply
- General strategy: backtracking
 - Make a choice, if it works out then fine
 - If not, back up and make a different choice

Shared Sub-Problems

- Observation
 - ambiguous parses still share sub-trees
- We don't want to redo work that's already been done
- Unfortunately, naïve backtracking leads to duplicate work

Efficient Parsing with the CKY (Cocke Kasami Younger) Algorithm

- Solution: Dynamic programming
- Intuition: store partial results in tables
 - Thus avoid repeated work on shared sub-problems
 - Thus efficiently store ambiguous structures with shared subparts
- We'll cover one example
 - CKY: roughly, bottom-up

CKY Parsing: CNF

- CKY parsing requires that the grammar consist of binary rules in Chomsky Normal Form
 - All rules of the form:

$$\begin{array}{c} A \rightarrow B \\ D \rightarrow w \end{array}$$

• What does the tree look like?

CKY Parsing with Arbitrary CFGs

- What if my grammar has rules like
 - Problem: can't apply CKY!
 - Solution: rewrite grammar into CNF
 - Introduce new intermediate non-terminals into the grammar

$$A \to B C D \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \begin{array}{c} A \to X C \\ X \to B C \end{array}$$

(Where X is a symbol that doesn't occur anywhere else in the grammar)

 $VP \rightarrow NP PP PP$

Sample Grammar

Grammar	Lexicon
$S \rightarrow NP VP$	$Det \rightarrow that \mid this \mid a$
$S \rightarrow Aux NP VP$	Noun \rightarrow book flight meal money
$S \rightarrow VP$	$Verb \rightarrow book \mid include \mid prefer$
$NP \rightarrow Pronoun$	<i>Pronoun</i> \rightarrow <i>I</i> <i>she</i> <i>me</i>
$NP \rightarrow Proper-Noun$	$Proper-Noun \rightarrow Houston \mid NWA$
$NP \rightarrow Det Nominal$	$Aux \rightarrow does$
Nominal \rightarrow Noun	<i>Preposition</i> \rightarrow <i>from</i> <i>to</i> <i>on</i> <i>near</i> <i>through</i>
$Nominal \rightarrow Nominal Noun$	
$Nominal \rightarrow Nominal PP$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb NP$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb NP PP$	
$VP \rightarrow Verb PP$	
$VP \rightarrow VP PP$	
$PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$	

CNF Conversion

Original Grammar	CNF Version
$S \rightarrow NP VP$	$S \rightarrow NP VP$
$S \rightarrow Aux NP VP$	$S \rightarrow X1 VP$
	$X1 \rightarrow Aux NP$
$S \rightarrow VP$	$S \rightarrow book \mid include \mid prefer$
	$S \rightarrow Verb NP$
	$S \rightarrow X2 PP$
	$S \rightarrow Verb PP$
	$S \rightarrow VP PP$
$NP \rightarrow Pronoun$	$NP \rightarrow I \mid she \mid me$
$NP \rightarrow Proper-Noun$	$NP \rightarrow TWA \mid Houston$
$NP \rightarrow Det Nominal$	$NP \rightarrow Det Nominal$
$Nominal \rightarrow Noun$	Nominal \rightarrow book flight meal money
$Nominal \rightarrow Nominal Noun$	$Nominal \rightarrow Nominal Noun$
Nominal \rightarrow Nominal PP	Nominal \rightarrow Nominal PP
$VP \rightarrow Verb$	$VP \rightarrow book \mid include \mid prefer$
$VP \rightarrow Verb NP$	$VP \rightarrow Verb NP$
$VP \rightarrow Verb NP PP$	$VP \rightarrow X2 PP$
	$X2 \rightarrow Verb NP$
$VP \rightarrow Verb PP$	$VP \rightarrow Verb PP$
$VP \rightarrow VP PP$	$VP \rightarrow VP PP$
$PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$	$PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$

CKY Parsing: Intuition

- Consider the rule $D \rightarrow w$
 - Terminal (word) forms a constituent
 - Trivial to apply
- Consider the rule $\mathsf{A} \rightarrow \mathsf{B} \mathsf{C}$
 - "If there is an A somewhere in the input, then there must be a B followed by a C in the input"
 - First, precisely define span [*i*, *j*]
 - If A spans from *i* to *j* in the input then there must be some *k* such that *i*<*k*<*j*
 - Easy to apply: we just need to try different values for k


CKY Parsing: Table

- Any constituent can conceivably span [i, j] for all 0≤i<j≤N, where N = length of input string
 - We need half of an *N* × *N* table to keep track of all spans
- Semantics of table: cell [*i*, *j*] contains A iff A spans *i* to *j* in the input string
 - must be allowed by the grammar!

		TO:					
		1	2	3	4	5	6
	0	0–1	0–2	0–3	0–4	0–5	0–6
ED OM:	1		1–2	1–3	1–4	1–5	1–6
FROM:	2			2–3	2–4	2–5	2–6
	3				3–4	3–5	3–6
	4					4–5	4–6
	5						5–6

CKY Parsing: Table-Filling

- In order for A to span [*i*, *j*]
 - A → B C is a rule in the grammar, and
 - There must be a B in [i, k] and a C in [k, j] for some i<k<j
- Operationally
 - To apply rule A \rightarrow B C, look for a B in [*i*, *k*] and a C in [*k*, *j*]
 - In the table: look left in the row and down in the column

	TO:						
		1	2	3	4	5	6
	0	0–1	0–2	0–3	0–4	0–5	0–6
EDOM	1		1–2	1–3	1–4	1–5	1–6
FROM:	2			2–3	2–4	2–5	2–6
·	3				3–4	3–5	3–6
	4					4–5	4–6
	5						5–6

CKY Parsing: Canonical Ordering

- Standard CKY algorithm:
 - Fill the table a column at a time, from left to right, bottom to top
 - Whenever we're filling a cell, the parts needed are already in the table (to the left and below)
- Nice property: processes input left to right, word at a time

CKY Parsing: Ordering Illustrated





CKY Algorithm

function CKY-PARSE(words, grammar) returns table

for $j \leftarrow$ from 1 to LENGTH(words) do $table[j-1, j] \leftarrow \{A \mid A \rightarrow words[j] \in grammar\}$ for $i \leftarrow$ from j-2 downto 0 do for $k \leftarrow i+1$ to j-1 do $table[i,j] \leftarrow table[i,j] \cup$ $\{A \mid A \rightarrow BC \in grammar,$ $B \in table[i,k],$ $C \in table[k,j]\}$

Book the flight through Houston S, VP, Verb, S,VP,X2 ? Nominal, Noun [0,1] [0,2] [0,3] [0,4] [0,5] Det NP 2 [1,2] [1,3] [1,4] [1,5] Nominal, ? Noun [2,3] [2,4] [2,5] Prep ? Filling column 5 [3,4] [3,5] NP, Proper-Noun [4,5]

ar	Recall our CNF grammar:
	$S \rightarrow NP VP$
	$S \rightarrow X1 VP$
	$X1 \rightarrow Aux NP$
	$S \rightarrow book \mid include \mid prefer$
	$S \rightarrow Verb NP$
	$S \rightarrow X2 PP$
	$S \rightarrow Verb PP$
	$S \rightarrow VP PP$
	$NP \rightarrow I \mid she \mid me$
ın	$NP \rightarrow TWA \mid Houston$
al	$NP \rightarrow Det Nominal$
	Nominal \rightarrow book flight meal money
ıal Noun	Nominal \rightarrow Nominal Noun
ıal PP	Nominal \rightarrow Nominal PP
	$VP \rightarrow book \mid include \mid prefer$
	$VP \rightarrow Verb NP$
	$VP \rightarrow X2 PP$
	$X2 \rightarrow Verb NP$
	$VP \rightarrow Verb PP$
	$VP \rightarrow VP PP$
NP	$PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$

Book	the	flight	through	Houston
S, VP, Verb, Nominal, Noun		S,VP,X2		?
[0,1]	[0,2]	[0,3]	[0,4]	[0,5]
	Det	NP		?
	[1,2]	[1,3]	[1,4]	[1,5]
		Nominal, Noun		?
		[2,3]	[2,4]	[2,5]
			Prep	?
			[3,4]	[3,5]
				NP, Proper- Noun
				[4,5]

Recall our CNF grammar:

 $S \rightarrow NP VP$ $S \rightarrow X1 VP$ $X1 \rightarrow Aux NP$ $S \rightarrow book \mid include \mid prefer$ $S \rightarrow Verb NP$ $S \rightarrow X2 PP$ $S \rightarrow Verb PP$ $S \rightarrow VPPP$ $NP \rightarrow I \mid she \mid me$ $NP \rightarrow TWA \mid Houston$ $NP \rightarrow Det Nominal$ Nominal \rightarrow book | flight | meal | money Nominal \rightarrow Nominal Noun Nominal \rightarrow Nominal PP $VP \rightarrow book \mid include \mid prefer$ $VP \rightarrow Verb NP$ $VP \rightarrow X2 PP$ $X2 \rightarrow Verb NP$ $VP \rightarrow Verb PP$ $VP \rightarrow VP PP$ $PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$

Book	the	flight	through	Houston
S, VP, Verb, Nominal, Noun		S,VP,X2		?
[0,1]	[0,2]	[0,3]	[0,4]	[0,5]
	Det	NP		?
	[1,2]	[1,3]	[1,4]	[1,5]
		Nominal, Noun		?
		[2,3]	[2,4]	[2,5]
			Prep ←	PP
			[3,4]	[3,5] 🗸
				NP, Proper- Noun
				[4,5]

Book	the	flight	through	Houston
S, VP, Verb, Nominal, Noun		S,VP,X2		?
[0,1]	[0,2]	[0,3]	[0,4]	[0,5]
	Det	NP		?
	[1,2]	[1,3]	[1,4]	[1,5]
		Nominal, ∢ Noun		-Nominal
		[2,3]	[2,4]	[2,5]
			Prep	PP
			[3,4]	[3,5]
				NP, Proper- Noun
				[4,5]

-

Recall our CNF grammar:

 $S \rightarrow NP VP$ $S \rightarrow X1 VP$ $X1 \rightarrow Aux NP$ $S \rightarrow book \mid include \mid prefer$ $S \rightarrow Verb NP$ $S \rightarrow X2 PP$ $S \rightarrow Verb PP$ $S \rightarrow VPPP$ $NP \rightarrow I \mid she \mid me$ $NP \rightarrow TWA \mid Houston$ $NP \rightarrow Det Nominal$ *Nominal* \rightarrow *book* | *flight* | *meal* | *money* Nominal \rightarrow Nominal Noun Nominal \rightarrow Nominal PP $VP \rightarrow book \mid include \mid prefer$ $VP \rightarrow Verb NP$ $VP \rightarrow X2 PP$ $X2 \rightarrow Verb NP$ $VP \rightarrow Verb PP$ $VP \rightarrow VP PP$ $PP \rightarrow Preposition NP$

Book	the	flight	through	Houston
, VP, Verb, ominal, oun		S,VP,X2		?
,1]	[0,2]	[0,3]	[0,4]	[0,5]
	Det ←	NP [1,3]	[1,4]	NP [1,5]
	[[1,2]	Nominal, Noun [2,3]	[2,4]	Nominal
			Prep [3,4]	PP [3,5]
				NP, Proper- Noun
				[4,5]

l

al Noun al PP

Book	the	flight	through	Houston
S, VP, Verb Nominal, Noun		S, VP, ≺ X2 ≺ [0,3]		-S ₁ ,VP, X2
0,1]	[0,2]		[0,4]	
	Det	NP		NP
	[1,2]	[1,3]	[1,4]	[1,5]
		Nominal, Noun		Nominal
		[2,3]	[2,4]	[2,5]
			Prep	PP
			[3,4]	[3,5]
				NP, Proper- Noun
				[4,5]

CKY Parsing: Recognize or Parse

- Recognizer
 - Output is binary
 - Can the complete span of the sentence be covered by an S symbol?
- Parser
 - Output is a parse tree
 - From recognizer to parser: add backpointers!

Ambiguity

- CKY can return multiple parse trees
 - Plus: compact encoding with shared sub-trees
 - Plus: work deriving shared sub-trees is reused
 - Minus: algorithm doesn't tell us which parse is correct!

Ambiguity



PROBABILISTIC Context-free grammars

Simple Probability Model

- A derivation (tree) consists of the bag of grammar rules that are in the tree
 - The probability of a tree is the product of the probabilities of the rules in the derivation.

$$P(T,S) = \prod_{node \in T} P(rule(n))$$

Rule Probabilities

- What's the probability of a rule?
- Start at the top...
 - A tree should have an S at the top. So given that we know we need an S, we can ask about the probability of each particular S rule in the grammar:
 P(particular rule | S)
- In general we need

for each rule in the grammar

$$P(\alpha \to \beta \,|\, \alpha)$$

Training the Model

• We can get the estimates we need from a treebank

$$P(\alpha \to \beta | \alpha) = \frac{\operatorname{Count}(\alpha \to \beta)}{\sum_{\gamma} \operatorname{Count}(\alpha \to \gamma)} = \frac{\operatorname{Count}(\alpha \to \beta)}{\operatorname{Count}(\alpha)}$$

For example, to get the probability for a particular VP rule:

- 1. count all the times the rule is used
- 2. divide by the number of *VP*s overall.

Parsing (Decoding)

How can we get the best (most probable) parse for a given input?

- 1. Enumerate all the trees for a sentence
- 2. Assign a probability to each using the model
- 3. Return the argmax

Example

• Consider...



Examples

• These trees consist of the following rules.

	R	ules	Р		Rı	ıles	ł
S	\rightarrow	VP	.05	S	\rightarrow	VP	.0.
VP	\rightarrow	Verb NP	.20	VP	\rightarrow	Verb NP NP	.1
NP	\rightarrow	Det Nominal	.20	NP	\rightarrow	Det Nominal	.2
Nominal	\rightarrow	Nominal Noun	.20	NP	\rightarrow	Nominal	.1
Nominal	\rightarrow	Noun	.75	Nominal	\rightarrow	Noun	.7
				Nominal	\rightarrow	Noun	.7
Verb	\rightarrow	book	.30	Verb	\rightarrow	book	.3
Det	\rightarrow	the	.60	Det	\rightarrow	the	.6
Noun	\rightarrow	dinner	.10	Noun	\rightarrow	dinner	.1
Noun	\rightarrow	flights	.40	Noun	\rightarrow	flights	.4

 $P(T_{left}) = .05 * .20 * .20 * .20 * .75 * .30 * .60 * .10 * .40 = 2.2 \times 10^{-6}$ $P(T_{right}) = .05 * .10 * .20 * .15 * .75 * .75 * .30 * .60 * .10 * .40 = 6.1 \times 10^{-7}$

Dynamic Programming

- Of course, as with normal parsing we don't really want to do it that way...
- Instead, we need to exploit dynamic programming
 - For the parsing (as with CKY)
 - And for computing the probabilities and returning the best parse (as with Viterbi)

Probabilistic CKY

- Store probabilities of constituents in the table
 - table[i,j,A] = probability of constituent A that spans positions i through j in input
- If A is derived from the rule $A \rightarrow B C$:
 - table[i,j,A] = $P(A \rightarrow BC \mid A)$ * table[i,k,B] * table[k,j,C]
 - Where
 - $P(A \rightarrow B C \mid A)$ is the rule probability
 - table[i,k,B] and table[k,j,C] are already in the table given the way that CKY operates
- Only store the MAX probability over all the A rules.

Probabilistic CKY

function PROBABILISTIC-CKY(words,grammar) returns most probable parse and its probability

for $j \leftarrow$ from 1 to LENGTH(words) do for all { $A \mid A \rightarrow words[j] \in grammar$ } $table[j-1, j, A] \leftarrow P(A \rightarrow words[j])$ for $i \leftarrow$ from j-2 downto 0 do for $k \leftarrow i+1$ to j-1 do for all { $A \mid A \rightarrow BC \in grammar$, and table[i,k,B] > 0 and table[k, j, C] > 0 } if $(table[i,j,A] < P(A \rightarrow BC) \times table[i,k,B] \times table[k,j,C])$ then $table[i,j,A] \leftarrow P(A \rightarrow BC) \times table[i,k,B] \times table[k,j,C]$ $back[i,j,A] \leftarrow \{k,B,C\}$ return BUILD_TREE(back[1, LENGTH(words), S]), table[1, LENGTH(words), S]

Grammar-based parsing with CFGs summary

- CKY algorithm finds all the parses of a given sentence efficiently
 - Using dynamic programming
- Probabilistic CFGs help deal with ambiguity
 - Requires computing probability of rules based on their frequency in the training data
- Lexicalized grammars help improve performance further