Practice Problems for Midterm 1

The exam will be held on Thu, Oct 20 in class. It is closed-book, closed-notes, but you will be allowed one sheet of notes, front and back.

Disclaimer: These problems have been taken from old homeworks and exams. They do not reflect the actual coverage, difficulty, or length of the exam. Note particularly that Union-Find and leftist heaps were new this semester, and so are not well represented in these problems.

Problem 0. Expect at least one question of the form “apply operation $X$ to data structure $Y$,” where $X$ is a data structure that has been presented in lecture. (Likely targets: Union-Find, leftist heaps, AVL trees, 2-3 trees, AA trees, treaps, skiplists, and splay trees).

Hint: Intermediate results can be included for partial credit, but don’t waste too much time showing intermediate results, since they can steal time from later problems.

Problem 1. Short answer questions. Except where noted, explanations are not required, but may be given to help with partial credit.

(a) A binary tree is full if every node either has 0 or 2 children. Given a full binary tree with $n$ total nodes, what is the maximum number of leaf nodes? What is the minimum number? Give your answer as a function of $n$ (no explanation needed).

(b) You have a standard (unbalanced) binary search tree storing the consecutive odd keys \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13\} (which may have been inserted in any order). Into this tree you insert the consecutive keys \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14\} (also inserted in any order). Which of the following statements hold for the resulting tree. (Select all that apply.)

(i) It is definitely a full binary tree
(ii) It is definitely a complete binary tree
(iii) Its height is larger than the original by exactly 1
(iv) Its height is larger than the original, but the amount of increase need not be 1

(c) You have a binary tree with inorder threads (for both inorder predecessor and inorder successor). Let $u$ and $v$ be two arbitrary nodes in this tree. True or false: There is a path from $u$ to $v$, using some combination of child links and threads. (No justification needed.)

(d) You are given a binary heap containing $n$ elements, which is stored in an array as $A[1 \ldots n]$. Given the index $i$ of an element in this heap, present a formula that returns the index of its sibling. (Hint: You can either do this by manipulating the bits in the binary representation of $i$ or by using a conditional (if-then-else).)

(e) In a leftist heap containing $n \geq 1$ elements, what is the minimum possible NPL value of the root? What is the maximum? (It is okay to be off by an additive error of $\pm O(1)$.)

(f) What are the minimum and maximum number of levels in a 2-3 tree with $n$ nodes. (Define the number of levels to be the height of the tree plus one.) Hint: It may help to recall the formula for the geometric series: $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} e^i = (e^m - 1)/(e - 1)$. 
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(g) You are given a 2-3 tree of height \( h \), which has been converted into an AA-tree. As a function of \( h \), what is the minimum number of red nodes that might appear on any path from a root to a leaf node in the AA tree? What is the maximum number? Briefly explain.

(h) Unbalanced search trees, treaps and skiplists all support dictionary operations in \( O(\log n) \) “expected time.” What difference is there (if any) in the meaning of “expected time” in these contexts?

(i) You are given a sorted set of \( n \) keys \( x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n \) (for some large number \( n \)). You insert them all into an AA tree in some arbitrary order. No matter what insertion order to choose, one of these keys cannot possibly be a red node. Which is it? (Briefly explain)

(j) You are given a skip list storing \( n \) items. What is the expected number of nodes that are at levels 3 and higher in the skip list? (Express your answer as a function of \( n \). Assume that level 0 is the lowest level, containing all \( n \) items. Also assume that the coin is fair, return heads half the time and tails half the time.)

Problem 2. Suppose that we are given a set of \( n \) objects (initially each item in its own set) and we perform a sequence of \( m \) unions and finds (using height balanced union and path compression). Further suppose that all the unions occur before any of the finds. Prove that after initialization, the resulting sequence will take \( O(m) \) time (rather than the \( O(m \alpha(m, n)) \) time given by the worst-case analysis).

Problem 3. You are given a degenerate binary search tree with \( n \) nodes in a left chain as shown on the left of Fig. 1, where \( n = 2^k - 1 \) for some \( k \geq 1 \).

(a) Derive an algorithm that, using only single left- and right-rotations, converts this tree into a perfectly balanced complete binary tree (right side of Fig. 1).

(b) As an asymptotic function of \( n \), how many rotations are needed to achieve this? \( O(\log n) \)? \( O(n) \)? \( O(n \log n) \)? \( O(n^2) \)? Briefly justify your answer.

Problem 4. You are given a binary tree (not necessarily a search tree) where, in addition to \( p.left \) and \( p.right \), each node \( p \) has a parent link \( p.parent \). This points to \( p \)'s parent, and is null if \( p \) is the root. Given such a tree, present pseudocode for a function that returns the inorder successor of any node \( p \). If \( p \) has no inorder successor, the function returns null.
Node inorderSuccessor(Node p) {
    // ... fill this in
}

Briefly explain how your function works. Your function should run in time proportional to the *height* of the tree.

**Problem 5.** You are given a standard (unbalanced) binary search tree. Let *root* denote its root node. Present pseudocode for a function `atDepth(int d)`, which is given an integer `d ≥ 0`, and outputs the keys for the nodes that are at depth `d` in the tree (see Fig. 2). The keys should be output in increasing order of key value.

If there are no nodes at depth `d`, the function returns an empty list. The running time of your algorithm should be proportional to the number of nodes at depths ≤ `d`. (For example, in the case of `atDepth(2)`, there are 7 nodes of equal or lesser depth.)

![Figure 2: Nodes at some depth.](image)

**Hint:** Create a recursive helper function. Explain what the initial call is to this function.

**Problem 6.** Given any AVL tree *T* and an integer `d ≥ 0`, we say that *T* is *full at depth d* if it has the maximum possible number of nodes (namely, $2^d$) at depth `d`.

Prove that for any `h ≥ 0`, an AVL tree of height `h` is full at all depths from 0 up to $\lfloor h/2 \rfloor$. (For example, the AVL tree of Fig. 2 has height 4, and is full at levels 0, 1, and 2, but it is not full at levels 3 and 4.)

**Hint:** Prove this by induction on the height of the tree.

**Problem 7.** Consider the following possible node structure for 2-3 trees, where in addition to the keys and children, we add a link to the parent node. The root’s parent link is `null`.

```java
class Node23 {
    // a node in a 2-3 tree
    int nChildren // number of children (2 or 3)
    Node23 child[3] // our children (2 or 3)
    Key key[2] // our keys (1 or 2)
    Node23 parent // our parent
}
```

Assuming this structure, answer each of the following questions:
(a) Present pseudocode for a function `Node23 rightSibling(Node23 p)`, which returns a reference to the sibling to the immediate right of node `p`, if it exists. If `p` is the rightmost child of its parent, or if `p` is the root, this function returns `null`. (For example, in Fig. 3, the right sibling of the node containing “2” is the node containing “8:12”. Since the node containing “8:12” is the rightmost node of its parent (“4”), it has no right sibling.) Your function should run in $O(1)$ time.

```plaintext
rightSibling(2) = 8:12
levelSuccessor(2) = 8:12
rightSibling(8:12) = null
levelSuccessor(8:12) = 19:21
```

Figure 3: Sibling and level successor in a 2-3 tree.

(b) For a node `p` in a 2-3 tree, its *level successor* is the node to its immediate right at the same level. Give pseudocode for a function `Node23 levelSuccessor(Node23 p)`, which returns a reference to `p`’s level successor, if it exists. If `p` is the rightmost node on its level (including the case where `p` is the root), this function returns `null`. (For example, in Fig. 3, the level successor of the node containing “2” is the node containing “8:12”, and the level successor of “8:12” is the node containing “19:21”.)

Your function should run in $O(\log n)$ time. If you like, you may use `rightSibling`.

(c) Suppose we start at any node `p` in a 2-3 tree with `n` nodes, and we repeatedly perform `p = levelSuccessor(p)` until `p == null`. What is the (worst-case) total time needed to perform all these operations? (Briefly justify your answer.)

**Problem 8.** Each node of a 2-3 tree may have either 2 or 3 children, and these nodes may appear anywhere within the tree. Let’s imagine a much more rigid structure, where the node types alternate between levels. The root is a 2-node, its two children are both 3-nodes, their children are again 2-nodes, and so on (see Fig. 4). Generally, depth `i` of the tree consists entirely of 2-nodes when `i` is even and 3-nodes when `i` is odd. (Remember that the depth of a node is the number of edges on the path to the root, so the root is at depth 0.) We call this an alternating 2-3 tree. While such a structure is too rigid to be useful as a practical data structure, its properties are easy to analyze.

(a) For $i \geq 0$, define $n(i)$ to be the number of nodes at depth `i` in an alternating 2-3 tree. Derive a closed-form mathematical formula (exact, not asymptotic) for $n(i)$. Present your formula and briefly explain how you derived it.

By “closed-form” we mean that your answer should just be an expression involving standard mathematical operations. It is *not* allowed to involve summations or recurrences,
(b) For \( i \geq 0 \), define \( k(i) \) to be the number of keys stored in the nodes at depth \( i \) in an alternating 2-3 tree. (Recall that each 2-node stores one key and each 3-node stores 2 keys). Derive a closed-form mathematical formula for \( k(i) \). Present your formula and briefly explain how you derived it. (The same rules apply for “closed form”, and further your formula should stand on its own and not make reference to \( n(i) \) from part (a).)

**Problem 9.** In this problem, we will consider variations on the amortized analysis of the dynamic stack. Let us assume that the array storage only expands, it never contracts. As usual, if the current array is of size \( m \) and the stack has fewer than \( m \) elements, a *push* costs 1 unit. When the \( m \)th element is pushed, an overflow occurs.

You are given two constants \( \gamma, \delta > 1 \). When an overflow occurs, we allocate a new array of size \( \gamma m \), copy the elements from the old array over to the new array. The total cost is 1 (for the push) plus \( \delta m \) (for copying). Derive a tight bound on the amortized cost, which holds in the limit as \( m \to \infty \). Express your answer as a function of \( \gamma \) and \( \delta \). Explain your answer.

**Problem 10.** Define a new treap operation, *expose*(Key \( x \)). It finds the key \( x \) in the tree (throwing an exception if not found), sets its priority to \(-\infty\) (or more practically `Integer.MIN_VALUE`), and then restores the treap’s priority order through rotations. (Observe that the node containing \( x \) will be rotated to the root of the tree.) Present pseudo-code for this operation.

**Problem 11.** In this problem we will consider an enhanced version of a skip list. As usual, each node \( p \) stores a key, \( p.key \), and an array of next pointers, \( p.next[] \). To this we add a parallel array \( p.span[] \), which contains as many elements as \( p.next[] \). This array is defined as follows. If \( p.next[i] \) refers to a node \( q \), then \( p.span[i] \) contains the distance (number of nodes) from \( p \) to \( q \) (at level 0) of the skip list.

For example, see Fig. 5. Suppose that \( p \) is third node in the skip list (key value “10”), and \( p.next[1] \) points to the fifth element of the list (key value “13”), then \( p.span[1] \) would be \( 5 - 3 = 2 \), as indicated on the edge between these nodes.

Present pseudo-code for a function *int countSmaller*(Key \( x \)), which returns a count of the number of nodes in the entire skip list whose key values are strictly smaller than \( x \). For
example, in Fig. 5, the call `countSmaller(22)` would return 6, since there are six items that are smaller than 22 (namely, 2, 8, 10, 11, 13, and 19).

Your procedure should run in time expected-case time $O(\log n)$ (over all random choices).

Briefly explain how your function works.

**Problem 12.** It is easy to see that, if you splay twice on the same key in a splay tree (`splay(x); splay(x)`), the tree’s structure does not change as a result of the second call.

Is this true when we alternate between two keys? Let $T_0$ be an arbitrary splay tree, and let $x$ and $y$ be two keys that appear within $T_0$. Let:

- $T_1$ be the result of applying `splay(x); splay(y)` to $T_0$.
- $T_2$ be the result of applying `splay(x); splay(y); splay(x); splay(y)` to $T_0$.

**Question:** Irrespective of the initial tree $T_0$ and the choice of $x$ and $y$, is $T_1 = T_2$? (That is, are the two trees structurally identical?) Either state this as a theorem and prove it or provide a counterexample, by giving the tree $T_0$ and two keys $x$ and $y$ for which this fails.