## Homework 2: LP, Point Location, and Voronoi Diagrams

Handed out Tuesday, Oct 3. Due: 9:30am, Tuesday, Oct 17 (submission through Gradescope). No late homeworks will be accepted, so please turn in whatever you have completed by the due date. Unless otherwise specified, you may assume that all inputs are given in general position. Also, when asked to give an algorithm with running time $O(f(n))$, it is allowed to give a randomized algorithm with expected running time $O(f(n))$.

Problem 1. (20 points) Explain how to solve each of the following problems in linear (expected) time. Each can be modeled by reduction to linear programming (LP), perhaps involving multiple instances along with some additional pre- and/or post-processing. See the remarks at the end of the homework on how to present LP reductions.
Note that both problems involve obstacle avoidance. We allow the trajectory to pass through the boundary points of the obstacles. (This is necessary for LP, since it assumes that the constraints are closed halfspaces.)
(a) (10 points) In your new career as a professional miniature golf player, you are working on a program to compute your best initial shot on a tricky hole. Let's model this as a rectangle of height $w$ and length $\ell$ whose lower left coordinate is the origin (see Fig. 1 (a)). There are a series of line segment obstacles that need to be avoided, each growing perpendicularly out from one of the rectangle's sides. The input consists of points $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$ (both unsorted), where $a_{i}=\left(a_{i, x}, a_{i, y}\right)$, and $b_{j}=\left(b_{j, x}, b_{j, y}\right)$. The points of $A$ are the endpoints of the segments ascending up from the rectangle's bottom edge and the points of $B$ are the endpoints of the segment descending from the top edge. The ball must travel from the left edge to the right edge of the rectangle without intersecting any of the obstacles (see Fig. 1(b)).


Figure 1: Miniature golf.
The final trajectory of the shot is given by two scalars, $c$ and $d$, which denote the $y$ coordinates along the rectangle's left and right sides, respectively, through which the ball passes (see Fig. 11(a) lower). You do not expect to hit the hole on the first shot. Instead, you objective is to get the ball as close to the center as possible. In particular, you want the value of $d$ where the ball exits the rectangle to be as close to $w / 2$ as possible.
Present an algorithm which determines whether a straight-line path exists from the left to right edge of the rectangle that avoids all the obstacles. If such a path exists, determine the trajectory that places $d$ as close as possible to $w / 2$. Your algorithm should run in expected time $O(m+n)$.
(b) (10 points) After your golf career failed, you turn to (indoor) tennis. You want to determine how best to hit a lob shot, which travels high enough to avoid the players on the other side of the net but low enough to avoid hitting lighting fixtures hanging from the ceiling. Let's just consider the problem in two-dimensional space, where the floor is the $x$-axis, and the $y$-axis is vertical (see Fig. 2(a)). The lob needs to clear the net, which is of height $h$ along the $y$-axis. The lob needs to pass above positions likely occupied by the opposing player, which are given as a set of points $P=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right\}$, and it must pass below a set of locations of light fixtures $Q=\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right\}$, where $p_{i}=\left(p_{i, x}, p_{i, y}\right)$, and $q_{j}=\left(q_{j, x}, q_{j, y}\right)$. (Both sets are unsorted.) Finally, it needs to land within the court, which is of length $\ell$.

(a)

(b)

Figure 2: Tennis.
By standard physics, the shot will travel along a parabolic path, given by the equation $y=-g x^{2}+b x+c$ of a parabola, where $g$ is a fixed positive constant determined by the force of gravity (e.g., $32 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ ), and reals $b$ and $c$ are based on the initial location, direction, and speed with which the ball is hit. Present an algorithm which determines whether it is possible to find real values $b$ and $c$ to satisfy all the following requirements (see Fig. 2(b)). The ball's trajectory must:
(a) pass above the net of height $h$ along the $y$-axis
(b) pass above all the opposing player points in $P$
(c) pass below all the lighting fixture points in $Q$
(d) land on the ground within the court (within distance $\ell$ of the net)

If such a shot is exists, return the one that travels as high as possible above the net along the $y$-axis. Your algorithm should run in expected time $O(m+n)$.

Problem 2. (10 points) Consider the segments shown in Fig. 3 .
(a) (2 points) Show the (final) trapezoidal map for these segments, assuming they are inserted in the order $\left\langle s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\rangle$. (We have given you the map after inserting the first two segments, so you only need to show the result after inserting $s_{3}$.)
(b) (8 points) Show the point-location data structure resulting from the construction given in class, assuming the insertion order from part (a). (We have given you the pointlocation data structure after inserting $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$, so you only need to show the result after inserting $s_{3}$.) We will give partial credit if your data structure works correctly, even though it does not match the construction given in class.


Figure 3: Trapezoidal map and point location.

Please follow the convention given in class for the node structure. (In particular, for $y$-nodes, the left (resp., right) child corresponds to the region above (resp., below) the segment.)

Problem 3. (10 points) After your tennis career failed, you have decided to return to miniature golf. Given the same hole structure as in Problem 1(a), you want to know which obstacle your ball will hit first for a given shot.
The setup is the same as before. The input consists of a $w \times \ell$ rectangle with origin at the lower-left corner and point sets $A$ and $B$ defining the lower and upper obstacle endpoints, of sizes $m$ and $n$, respectively, where $a_{i}=\left(a_{i, x}, a_{i, y}\right)$, and $b_{j}=\left(b_{j, x}, b_{j, y}\right)$ (see Fig. 4 (a)).


Figure 4: Golf shooting queries.
Your golf shot is determined by two quantities (see Fig. 4(a))

- the $y$-coordinate $c$ along the left edge of the rectangle where your shot starts, and
- a directional vector $u=\left(u_{x}, u_{y}\right)$ of a ray along which the shot travels.

Your objective is to preprocess $A$ and $B$ into a data structure so that given the triple ( $c, u_{x}, u_{y}$ ), you can efficiently determine which obstacle is hit first (or if no obstacle is hit, does the ball hit the upper, lower, or right side of the rectangle). You may assume that $0 \leq c \leq w$ and $u_{x}>0$. Your data structure should use $O(n+m)$ space and answer queries in time $O(\log (n+m))$. It suffices to just explain how the reduction is performed and justify its correctness, query time, and space requirements. (You do not need to explain how to compute the segments nor how to construct the point-location data structure.)

Hint: Begin by extracting the equation for the line $\ell$ carrying the query ray. Then show that by applying duality, this problem can be reduced to a point-location in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ where the segments are determined by the obstacle vertices $A$ and $B$. Although I believe you can do this with one data structure, it may be simpler to describe two data structures, one for $A$ and the other for $B$, and then combine the results.

Problem 4. (10 points) It is sometimes of interest to compute the Voronoi diagram of a set of sites, but we are only interested in a portion of the final diagram. In this problem, we'll consider how to compute the Voronoi diagram of a set of points in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, but restricted to a given line $\ell$. By rotating and translating space, we may assume that $\ell$ is aligned with the $x$-axis.
You are given a sequence of $n$ sites in the plane $P=\left\langle p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\rangle$ sorted in increasing order of their $x$-coordinates (see Fig. 5 (a)). Present an algorithm that computes the Voronoi diagram of $P$, but restricted only to $x$-axis. (We don't care about the portion of the diagram lying above or below the axis.)

Observe that the diagram is a sequence of intervals that subdivide the $x$-axis. The output consists of a sequence of (at most $n-1$ ) endpoints of the segments $\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\rangle$, and each edge is labeled with the index of the associated site corresponding to this interval (see Fig. 5 (b)). Your algorithm should run in $O(n)$ time. (Hint: Start by proving that the left-to-right order of the labels along the $x$-axis is consistent with the left-to-right order of the sites.)


Figure 5: Restriction of a Voronoi diagram to a line.
Challenge Problem. You are given a collection of $n$ nonintersecting circular disks in the plane, each of radius $r_{1}$ called obstacles. Let $P=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ denote their center points. You are also given a radius value $r_{2}$ and two points $s$ and $t$ (see Fig. 6(a)).
Present an efficient algorithm which, given the obstacles along with $s, t$, and $r_{2}$, determines whether it is possible to move the disk of radius $r_{2}$ from $s$ to $t$ without intersecting any of the obstacle disks (see Fig. 6(b)). Ideally, your algorithm should run in $O(n \log n)$ time. If there does not exist such a path (including the case where the initial or final positions are invalid), then indicate this. Otherwise, your algorithm should output any such path, say, as a polygonal chain from $s$ to $t$. (Hint: Use Voronoi diagrams.)

Guidance for Writing LP Reductions: In an linear programming (LP) reduction, you should explain the following:


Figure 6: Can you move a disk of radius $r_{2}$ from $s$ to $t$ while avoiding the obstacles?

- how the solution space is modeled as a vector (and in what dimension),
- what are the constraints,
- what is the objective function (and express it as a vector)
- how to interpret the result (including the cases feasible, unbounded, infeasible)

Here is an example.
Sample Problem: Present an efficient algorithm which given two sets of points $R=\left\{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$, both in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, determines whether their exists a plane $h$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that all the points of $R$ lie on or above $h$ and all the points of $B$ lie on or below $h$.
Sample solution: We reduce the problem to linear programming in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Let's assume that each $r_{i} \in R$ is given in coordinate form as $\left(r_{i, x}, r_{i, y}, r_{i, z}\right)$ and similarly for $B$. Let's model $h$ by the equation $z=a x+d y+e$, for some real parameters $a, d$, and $e$. To enforce the condition that each $r_{i}$ lies on or above $h$ and each $b_{j}$ lies on or below it, we add the constraints

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
r_{i, z} \geq a r_{i, x}+d r_{i, y}+e, & \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n \\
b_{j, z} \leq a b_{j, x}+d b_{j, y}+e, & & \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq n .
\end{array}
$$

We then invoke LP with $2 n$ constraints in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (with the variables $(a, d, e)$ ). Since this is a yes-no answer, we don't really care about the objective function. We can set it arbitrarily, for example, "maximize $e$ " (which is equivalent to using the objective vector $c=(0,0,1))$.
If we wish to be even more formal (which is not usually required), we can express the LP in standard form as maximizing $c^{\top}$ form as $A x \leq b$, where $x$ is the symbolic vector $(a, d, e) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, and $A$ and $b$ can be expressed as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
r_{1, x} & r_{1, y} & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
r_{m, x} & r_{m, y} & 1 \\
-b_{1, x} & -b_{1, y} & -1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
-b_{n, x} & -b_{1, y} & -1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a \\
d \\
e
\end{array}\right] \leq\left[\begin{array}{c}
r_{1, z} \\
\vdots \\
r_{m, z} \\
-b_{1, z} \\
\vdots \\
-b_{n, z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We interpret the LP's result as follows. If the result is "infeasible", then we know that no such plane exists. If the answer is "feasible" or "unbounded", then we assert that such a plane exists (assuming general position). This is clearly true if the result is "feasible", since we can just take $h$ to be the plane associated with the optimum vertex ( $a, d, e$ ). If the result is "unbounded", then the plane is vertical, but there exists a perturbation such that $R$ lies above and $B$ lies below.

