

CMSC 724: RAID; 5 Minute Rule

Amol Deshpande

University of Maryland, College Park

February 22, 2007

Technology Trends

- “Laws”
 - Moore’s law (transistors/chip doubles every 18 months)
 - Joy’s law (MIPS doubles every year) . . .
 - **Amdahl’s Law:** No point in improving the performance of something that is 1% of the total cost.
- Memory, CPU, disk densities increasing very rapidly. . .
- But raw seek times improve very slowly → Random I/Os are not going to keep pace
- Still mostly true. . .

RAID

Redundant Array of *Independent* Disks



(a) RAID 0: nonredundant striping



(b) RAID 1: mirrored disks



(c) RAID 2: memory-style error-correcting codes



(d) RAID 3: bit-interleaved parity



(f) RAID 5: block-interleaved distributed parity

RAID

- RAID 5 → High storage efficiency, High write overheads
- RAID 1 → Lower storage efficiency, Best performance
- RAID 1 most suitable for Transaction Processing
- HP AutoRAID
 - Monitored the read/write frequencies
 - Switched between RAID 1 and RAID 5

5 Minute Rule

- Born out by observing real systems, technology trends..
- 5-minute rule: If the data is going to be accessed again in 5 minutes, keep it in memory.
 - Purely economic decision.
 - Rent on keeping disk block in memory for 5 minutes \approx Cost of one disk access
 - So “cheaper” to just access it from disk if re-reference in > 5 minutes.
- To be applied when the system is being designed.
 - When deciding how much memory, disk to use.
 - Typically based on a workload.
 - See original 1987 paper for an example.
- Technology trends suggest it will stay in this range.

5 Minute Rule

- Sequential data: Reduces to 1-minute. Why ?
 - Sorts take 5GB/Minute.
 - So $< 5\text{GB}$ data, sort in one pass
 - $> 5\text{GB}$ data, sort in two passes (can sort upto 100TB)
- Exchanging Memory for CPU ?
 - 5-Byte Rule (Gray, Putzolu, 1987)
 - Spend 5 bytes of main memory to save 1 instruction per second.
- Such rules of thumb are critical during real system design/deployment.