

**Notes:** Please work on this with your group-mate(s); just submit *one* writeup per group. Consulting other sources (including the Web) is not allowed. Write your solutions neatly; if you are able to make partial progress by making some additional assumptions, then state these assumptions clearly and submit your partial solution. The problem marked (\*) may be more difficult than the others.

1. In this problem, we prove that the Janson inequality parameter  $\Delta$  is at most  $O(\log N)$  in the Garg-Konjevod-Ravi Group Steiner Tree algorithm for trees, as claimed in class. Recall from class that we have a tree  $T$  with root  $r$  and  $n$  nodes. There are  $k$  disjoint groups  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k$ , all of which are sets of leaves; also,  $\max_i |S_i| = N$ . Specifically, we fix a group  $S_i$ , and want to show that  $\Delta_i$ , the Janson inequality parameter for the set of leaves that correspond to  $S_i$ , is at most  $\ln |S_i|$ . As in class, we let  $x_f$  be the fractional value of edge  $f$ , and if  $j$  is a leaf, then let  $\text{pe}(j)$  denote the unique (“parent edge”) incident on  $j$ .

(a). Suppose  $j, j' \in S_i$ . We will say that  $j \sim j'$  if and only if (i)  $j \neq j'$  and (ii) the least common ancestor of  $j$  and  $j'$  in  $G$  is not the root  $r$ . If  $j \sim j'$ , let  $\text{lca}(j, j')$  denote the least common ancestral edge of  $j$  and  $j'$  in  $T'$ . Show that

$$\Delta_i = \sum_{j, j' \in S_i: j \sim j', x_{\text{lca}(j, j')} > 0} \frac{x_{\text{pe}(j)} x_{\text{pe}(j')}}{x_{\text{lca}(j, j')}}.$$

(5 points)

(b). We will now prove the following key fact:

$$\text{If } x_{\text{pe}(j)} > 0, \text{ then } x_{\text{pe}(j)} \cdot \sum_{j' \in S_i: j \sim j'} \frac{x_{\text{pe}(j')}}{x_{\text{lca}(j, j')}} \leq x_{\text{pe}(j)} \ln(1/x_{\text{pe}(j)}). \quad (1)$$

We now take some steps toward proving (1). Suppose  $x_{\text{pe}(j)} = z \in (0, 1]$ . We need some extra notation. Let  $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_t$  be the sequence of edges that we encounter as we walk up the tree starting from  $j$ ; let  $y_\ell = x_{e_\ell}$ . Thus we have  $z = y_0 \leq y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots \leq y_t \leq 1$ . Next, for  $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, t$ , let  $A_\ell = \sum_{j' \in (T(e_\ell) \cap S_i)} x_{\text{pe}(j')}$ . Then, it is not hard to see that the left-hand side in the statement of (1) equals

$$z \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^t \frac{A_\ell - A_{\ell-1}}{y_\ell}. \quad (2)$$

The sum in (2) is clearly bounded by the maximum of the following optimization problem, whose variables are the  $y_\ell$  and  $A_\ell$ . (The optimization problem has a maximum since the domain is a polytope and since the objective function is continuous in the domain.)

$$\text{OPT}(z, t): \quad \text{maximize } \sum_{\ell=1}^t \frac{A_\ell - A_{\ell-1}}{y_\ell} \text{ subject to}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= z; \\ y_0 &= z; \\ y_t &\leq 1; \\ A_\ell &\leq A_{\ell+1}, \quad \ell = 0, 1, \dots, t-1; \\ y_\ell &\leq y_{\ell+1}, \quad \ell = 0, 1, \dots, t-1; \\ A_\ell &\leq y_\ell, \quad \ell = 0, 1, \dots, t. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Constraint (3) holds since the following constraint (4) is a constraint in our LP relaxation:

$$\sum_{j \in (L(f) \cap S_i)} x_{pe(j)} \leq x_f \quad \text{for every edge } f \text{ and every group } S_i. \quad (4)$$

Fix any feasible solution  $\{y_\ell, A_\ell : \ell \geq 0\}$  to the above optimization problem.

- If  $v$  is the objective function value of this solution to the optimization problem, show that

$$v \leq 1 - z/y_1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} (1 - y_\ell/y_{\ell+1}). \quad (5)$$

**(5 points)**

- Take any  $\ell$ ,  $2 \leq \ell \leq t-1$ . If we keep all variables but  $y_\ell$  fixed, see when the r.h.s. of (5) is maximized. Start with this idea to show that

$$v \leq 1 - z/y_1 + \ln(1/y_1). \quad (6)$$

**(5 points)**

- Use (6) to show that  $v \leq \ln(1/z)$ . This will then prove (1). **(5 points)**

(c). Show, using (1), that  $\Delta_i \leq \ln |S_i|$ . **(5 points)**

2. We have a set  $V$  of  $n$  elements, and  $m$  distinct subsets  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m$  of  $V$ , each having cardinality  $t$ . Our goal is to choose a subset  $W$  of  $V$  with “many” elements, subject to the constraint that no  $S_i$  (for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) be a subset of  $W$ .

Consider the following algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  for this problem. Let  $V$  be the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Independently for each  $i \in V$ , choose a number  $X_i$  uniformly at random from the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n^3\}$ . Now define a set  $W$  as follows: for each  $i \in V$ ,  $i \in W$  iff there is no set  $S_j$  such that: (i)  $i \in S_j$ , and (ii) for all  $k \in S_j$ ,  $X_i \geq X_k$ .

(a). Show that  $\mathcal{A}$  always produces a feasible solution to our problem. **(5 points)**

(b). Suppose  $i \in V$  lies in  $a_i$  of the sets  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m$ . Show that the expected size of the set  $W$  produced by  $\mathcal{A}$  is at least

$$(1/n^3) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^{n^3} \left(1 - (\ell/n^3)^{t-1}\right)^{a_i}.$$

**(10 points)**

3. Suppose we generate a random graph  $G$  from the  $G(2t, 1/2)$ -model; i.e., we take  $2t$  vertices, and put an edge between each pair of vertices independently, with probability  $1/2$ . Prove that the probability that *all* vertices of  $G$  have degree at most  $t$ , is at least  $1/4^t$ . **(5 points)**

4. We are given an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , where  $|V| = n$  and  $|E| = m$ ; it is also true that the maximum degree of any vertex in  $G$  is at most twice the minimum degree.

(a) Give a lower bound on the minimum degree and an upper bound on the maximum degree, in terms of  $n$  and  $m$ . **(5 points)**

- (b) We are given some integer  $t \leq m$ . Suppose we choose each vertex independently with some probability  $p$ ; let  $X$  denote the number of edges, both of whose end-points are chosen. If we want  $\mathbf{E}[X]$  to be  $t$ , what should the value of  $p$  be? **(5 points)**
- (c) Consider the random process of (b), along with the value  $p$  computed there. Give an upper bound on  $\Pr[X \leq t/2]$ ; your upper bound should be of the form  $e^{-at^b}$ , for some positive constants  $a$  and  $b$ . (**Hint:** The fact that the maximum degree of  $G$  is not much more than the minimum degree, may help you.) **(10 points)**