

CMSC 132: Object-Oriented Programming II



Advanced Concurrency

Department of Computer Science
University of Maryland, College Park

Concurrency without Explicit Threads

- You can write concurrent applications that don't use explicit threads or synchronization
- Use built-in abstractions that support coordination and parallel execution

Key Concepts

- **thread-safe collections**
- **concurrent collections**
- **blocking queues**
- **synchronizers**
- **thread locals**
- **executors**

Thread Safe Collections

- **Standard collections or other abstractions that are intended to be thread safe**
- **Generally limited to one thread operating on them at a time (watch out for sequences that need to be atomic)**
- **Can use Collections wrapped methods**

Concurrent Collections

- Designed to allow multiple simultaneous accesses and updates
 - Blocking only when they “conflict”
- Higher space overhead
 - Not much time overhead
- Many of the concurrent collections do not allow null keys or values

Concurrent HashMap

- Allows simultaneous reads, and by default up to 16 simultaneous writers
 - Can increase the number of simultaneous writers
- Use Collections. newSetFromMap to construct concurrent set

Special Methods

- **V putIfAbsent(K key, V value)**
 - **Store the value only if the key has no mapping**
 - **Return old value (null if none)**
- **boolean remove(K key, V oldValue)**
 - **Remove mapping only if it has the specified value**
- **boolean replace(K key, V oldValue, V newValue)**
 - **Update the mapping only if it has the specified value**

ConcurrentSkipLists

- Skip Lists are a probabilistic alternative to balanced trees
- Invented in 1988 by Prof. Bill Pugh
- ConcurrentSkipLists provide a concurrent sorted set implementation and lots of other API improvements over TreeMap
- Java 6 only

CopyOnWriteArrayList

- Using locking to ensure only one thread can update it at a time
- Any update copies the backing array thus, read only operations don't need any locks
- Iteration uses a snapshot of the array
 - Allows concurrent modification and update
- Suitable only if updates rare

Important Use Case

- **Keeping track of listeners to an Observable**
- **While iterating through list of listeners, one of them might ask to be unsubscribed**
- **A “concurrent update”, even though we only have one thread**

Waiting for Something to Happen

- We briefly talk about join (waits for another thread to terminate)
- There are lots of ways to have a thread wait until things are right for it to do something
 - wait/notify were the way to do this before Java 5
 - But now we have new ways that are often better: blocking queues and synchronizers

Blocking Queues and Dequeues

- A Queue is a first-in, first-out queue
- A dequeue is a Double-Ended Queue
 - Allows addition and removal at both ends
 - A dequeue can also serve as a stack

What Happens When It Can't Immediately Succeed?

	throws exception	returns special value	blocks
insert	<code>add(e)</code>	<code>offer(e)</code>	<code>put(e)</code>
remove	<code>remove()</code>	<code>poll()</code>	<code>take()</code>
examine	<code>element</code>	<code>peek()</code>	

Queue Notes

- **Blocking queues also offer timed offer and poll methods**
- **Several different implementations, each with its own advantages**
 - **ConcurrentLinkedQueue**
 - **Doesn't support blocking, but allows for simultaneous addition/deletion**
 - **Array/Linked Blocking Dequeue/Queue**

Synchronizers

- **Other ways to wait for some condition to be true**
- **CountDownLatch**
- **Semaphore**

CountDownLatch

- A variable that can be decremented
 - Never incremented
- You can wait for it to get to zero
- You can also find out the current value
 - Most of the time, you won't need to find out the current value

Semaphore

- Contains a count of the number of permits available
- You can acquire or release permits
 - No checking that you are releasing permits you have
 - Really, just a counter
- Acquire blocks if not enough permits are available

Fairness

- Consider a Blocking queue where you atomically remove multiple elements
- What happens if one person wants to atomically remove 10 elements from a queue that can contain up to 20 elements
 - But there is a constant stream of other threads that want to remove smaller number of elements?

Starvation!

Some Abstractions Have Fair Variants

- For example, fair semaphores and fair reentrant locks
- Generally, fair guarantees first-come, first-served
- But fair almost always reduces throughput
 - Over and above implementation cost
 - Letting running threads run improves throughput

java.util.concurrent.atomic

■ From Java API:

A small toolkit of classes that support lock-free thread-safe programming on single variables.

<http://java.sun.com/javase/6/docs/api/>

AtomicInteger

- Encapsulates an integer
- Sort of like a volatile int
- But supports additional atomic operations:
 - `int getAndIncrement()`
 - `int decrementAndGet()`
 - `boolean compareAndSet(int expect, int update)`

Atomic Operations

- The atomic operations are very efficient
- Most processors provide some kind of atomic compare and swap instruction
 - Needed to efficiently implement locking

Lots of Atomic Classes

- There is an AtomicX class for every primitive type, and for references
- There are also classes that let you atomically update volatile fields, and ones that encapsulate arrays and allow you to perform atomic operations on array elements

Executor

- An object that executes submitted Runnable tasks
- Rather than starting a thread for each task
`new Thread(new RunnableTask()).start()`
- You ask an executor to do it
`Executor executor = anExecutor;`
`executor.execute(new RunnableTask1());`
`executor.execute(new RunnableTask2());`

Executors Can Be Simple

- The execute method might just run the task
- Or create and start thread
- Or do something more complicated

java.util.concurrent.Executors

- Provides many factory and utility methods for executors
- `newFixedThreadPool(int nThreads)`
- `newCachedThreadPool()`
 - creates threads as needed, reuses them

Why Thread Pools?

- Some overhead to starting a thread
- Running 100,000 threads is a bad idea
 - Unless you have a monster machine