The Principle of Locality

- The Principle of Locality:
  - Program accesses a relatively small portion of the address space at any short period of time.
- Two Different Types of Locality:
  - Temporal Locality (Locality in Time): If an item is referenced, it will tend to be referenced again soon (e.g., loops, reuse)
  - Spatial Locality (Locality in Space): If an item is referenced, items whose addresses are close by tend to be referenced soon (e.g., straightline code, array access)
- Last 15-20 years, HW has relied on locality to improve overall performance

It is a property of programs that is exploited in machine design.

Issues to consider

- How big should the fastest memory (cache memory) be?
- How do we decide what to put in cache memory?
- If the cache is full, how do we decide what to remove?
- How do we find something in cache?
- How do we handle writes?

First, there is main memory

- Jargon:
  - frame address – which page?
  - block number – which cache block?
  - contents – the data
Then add a cache

- Jargon: Each address of a memory location is partitioned into
  - block address
    - tag
    - index
  - block offset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block address</th>
<th>Block offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tag</td>
<td>Index</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How does cache memory work?

- The following slides discuss:
  - what cache memory is
  - three organizations for cache memory
    - direct mapped
    - set associative
    - fully associative
  - how the bookkeeping is done
- Important note: All addresses shown are in octal. Addresses in the book are usually decimal.

What is cache memory? Main memory first

Main memory is divided (cache) blocks. Each block contains many words (32-256 common now).

Main memory

Blocks are grouped into frames (pages), 3 frames in this picture.

Main memory (cont.)

Blocks are addressed by their frame number, and their block number within the frame.

Cache memory

Cache has many, MANY fewer blocks than main memory, each with a block number, a memory address, data, a valid bit, and a dirty bit.
Cache memory (cont.)

Initially, all the valid bits set to zero.

```
1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
```

Where can a block be placed?

- Block 12 placed in 8 block cache:
  - Fully associative, direct mapped, 2-way set associative

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full Mapped</th>
<th>Direct Mapped</th>
<th>2-Way Assoc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Cache memory (cont.)

Suppose want to load block 14 (octal) from memory into cache.

Three ways to organize cache

- direct mapped
- set associative
- fully associative

```
1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
```

Direct mapped cache

In direct mapped cache, block 14 can only be put in the cache block with address 4.

So the cache will no longer hold the block with memory address 74.

```
1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
```

Set associative cache

In set associative cache, each memory block can be put in any of a set of possible blocks in cache.

For example, if divide cache into 4 sets, block 14 can be put in any block in Set 0 (since last two bits of 14 octal are zero).
Set associative cache (cont.)

So after loading the block, cache memory might look like this.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set 0</th>
<th>Set 1</th>
<th>Set 2</th>
<th>Set 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Set associative cache replacement

- Which entry in the set to replace?
- Three common choices:
  - Replace an eligible random block
  - Replace the least recently used (LRU) block
    - can be hard to keep track of, so often only approximated
  - Replace the oldest eligible block
    - First In, First Out, or FIFO

Data cache replacement example

SPEC2000, in misses per 1000 instructions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Two-way</th>
<th>Four-way</th>
<th>Eight Way</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Set associativity</td>
<td>LRU</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>FIFO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1MB</td>
<td>114.1</td>
<td>117.3</td>
<td>115.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64KB</td>
<td>103.4</td>
<td>104.3</td>
<td>103.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256KB</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fully associative cache

In fully associative cache, memory blocks may be stored anywhere.

So block 14 might be put in the first available block -- one with valid = 0.

Fully associative cache (cont.)

With this result.
### Managing cache

Use direct mapped cache as an example.

After first read operation, cache memory looked like this.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Dirty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result looks like this.

Now suppose write to block 66.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Dirty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now suppose need to read from a block not in cache.

If it is block 41, then must overwrite block 31.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Dirty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Managing cache (cont.)

If all other memory references involved block 14, no other blocks would need to be fetched from memory.

But suppose eventually need to fetch blocks 10, 31 and 66.

Need to fetch all three, because don’t have valid versions of them.

The block is valid in cache, so don’t need to fetch.

But the write operation sets the dirty bit for that block.

In write-through caches, every write causes an immediate change both to cache and to main memory.

So the read just involves fetching the block.
Write-back cache

In write-back caches, every write causes a change only to cache. So the read involves writing block 31 back to memory if its dirty bit is set, then fetching block 41.

Write through vs. write back

- Which is better?
  - Write back gives faster writes, since don’t have to wait for main memory
  - Write back is very efficient if want to modify many bytes in a given block
  - But write back can slow down some reads, since a cache miss might cause a write back
  - In multiprocessors or multicore machines, write through might be the only correct solution
    - Need to preserve data dependences

Reads easy, writes are not

- Most memory access is read, not write, because read both data and instructions but write only data
- If data requested is not in cache, called a cache miss
- It’s easy to make most reads from cache fast
  - Pull the data into a register as soon as it is accessed, while checking whether the address matches the tag
  - If address does not match tag, that is a cache miss, so load a block from main memory to cache, then into the register again
- Can’t do this with write:
  - Must verify the address before changing the value of the cache location

Cache summary

- Cache memory can be organized as direct mapped, set associative, or fully associative
- Can be write-through or write-back
- Extra bits such as valid and dirty bits help keep track of the status of the cache