Storage systems

- We already know about four levels of storage:
  - Registers
  - Cache
  - Memory
  - Disk
- But we've been a little vague on how these devices are interconnected
  - In this unit, we study
    - Input/output units such as disks and tapes
    - Buses to connect storage devices
    - I/O performance issues
    - Design of file systems (won't talk much about this)

Disk and Tape Technologies

(Hard) Disks

- What it is:
  - A collection of 1-20 platters (like 2-sided CD's)
  - Between 1 and 8 inches in diameter – 2.5 & 3.5 inch most common today
  - Rotating on a central spindle
  - With 500-2500 tracks on each surface
  - Divided into (maybe) 64 sectors
    - Older disks: all tracks have the same number of sectors
    - Current disks: outer tracks have more sectors
- Larger diameter: better retrieval times
- Smaller diameter: cheaper and uses less power
- Disk controller provides access to 1 or more disks

Disks (cont.)

- Used for
  - File storage
  - Slowest level of virtual memory during program execution

Disks (cont.)

- How information is retrieved by disk controller:
  - Wait for previous requests to be filled
    Time = queuing delay
  - A movable arm is positioned at the correct cylinder
    Time = seek time
  - The system waits for the correct sector to appear under the arm
    Time = rotational latency
Disks (cont.)

• How information is retrieved by disk controller (cont.)
  – Then a magnetic head senses
    » the sector number
    » the information recorded in the sector
    » an error correction code
    and the information is transferred to a buffer
  Time = transfer time
– The disk controller may impose some extra
  overhead
  Time = controller time
• Because all of this is so expensive, disk controller
  might also read the next sector or two, hoping that
  the next information needed is located there
  (prefetch or read ahead)

Example

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>average seek time</td>
<td>5 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transfer rate</td>
<td>10MB/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rotation speed</td>
<td>8000 RPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sector size</td>
<td>1024 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>controller overhead</td>
<td>.5 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example (cont.)

• average seek time = 5 ms
• average rotational delay =

  • transfer time =

  • controller overhead = .5 ms
• Total: 5 + 3.75 + .1 + .5 = 9.35 ms

Competitors to disks

• Solid state disks built from DRAMs
    – But needs constant power
• Optical disks
    – CDs, DVDs, Blu-Ray
• Magnetic tapes
    – Slower, but large capacity good for backups
• Automated tape libraries
    – Juke box technology
• Flash memory
    – Small, fast, low power

Speed gap between memory and disk

Fig. 6.1

Buses
**Buses**

- We've seen buses before, especially in the discussion of Tomasulo's algorithm (CDB)
- Main characteristic: Buses are shared by several data paths and therefore can be bottlenecks
  - CPU-memory buses: physically short, high speed, design optimized for performance
  - I/O buses: long, handle an unknown number of devices with unpredictable characteristics

**Typical bus transaction**

- When a READ is issued:
  - Bus begins in a wait state
  - Address sent on bus to memory, with control information to signal a read
  - When data is available, the wait signal is turned off and the data is transmitted
- When a WRITE is issued:
  - Bus begins in a wait state
  - Address sent on bus to memory, with control information to signal a write
  - Then the data is transmitted, usually with no pause

**Bus options – Fig. 7.8 H&P 3ed.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>High performance</th>
<th>Low cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bus width</td>
<td>separate address and data lines</td>
<td>multiplex address and data lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data width</td>
<td>wider is faster (e.g., 64 bits)</td>
<td>narrower is cheaper (e.g., 8 bits)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer size</td>
<td>multiple sends have less overhead</td>
<td>single-word transfer is simpler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus masters</td>
<td>multiple (need arbitration)</td>
<td>single (no arbitration)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Split transactions?</td>
<td>yes – separate request and reply gets higher bandwidth</td>
<td>no – continuous connection cheaper and lower latency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clocking</td>
<td>synchronous</td>
<td>asynchronous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Who issues READs and WRITEs?**

- The bus master does
- If the bus is between CPU and memory, then the CPU is the bus master
- If it is an I/O bus, then there might be several devices, so several bus masters, and they compete for time slices on the bus
  - In this case, buses are often packet switched - each device divides its message into fixed length packets, and takes turns with other devices that are transmitting

**Synchronous vs. asynchronous buses**

- Buses that are clocked (synchronous) send data and addresses at fixed times, so sender and receiver always know what to expect
  - Makes them fast and cheap
  - But restricts them to be short, because of time-lag problems
- Buses that are not clocked (asynchronous) use handshaking protocols to establish contact:
  - Sender puts message on bus to get the attention of receiver
  - Receiver responds
  - Sender transmits data
  - Receiver sends acknowledgement of receipt

**Asynchronous buses**

- Because of handshaking protocol,
  - They can be slow and expensive
  - But it allows them to be physically long and to serve a wide variety of devices
- The handshaking protocols are standardized so that device manufacturers can connect to a variety of buses
  - examples include IDE, ATA, SCSI, USB
How is the I/O bus connected?

- Do we connect it to the memory bus?
- or to the cache?
- Typical solution from Fig. 7.15 H&P 3ed.

How does CPU get data from I/O bus?

- Two solutions:
  - Some (mostly older) machines have op-codes that read or write to I/O devices
  - In memory mapped I/O, certain physical addresses are reserved for I/O devices like disks, so those reads and writes are put on the I/O bus
- Usually I/O is interrupt driven, meaning that after the CPU requests a READ or WRITE, it goes on with other work until the I/O unit signals that it is finished

DMA to make this work

- To allow the CPU to proceed, need another controller to shepherd the READ or WRITE. Direct memory access (DMA) hardware is used to:
  - record the address and the number of bytes to be transferred
  - act as bus master, initiating each data transfer
  - interrupt the CPU when the transfer is complete
- In some cases, these controllers are really separate I/O processors

Reliability, Availability, and RAID

Failure rate vs. Availability

- Failure rate: concerns whether any of the hardware is broken
- Availability: concerns whether the system is usable, even if some pieces are broken
- Example 1: Your bank can improve the availability of the ATM system by installing two ATM machines so that one is available even if one breaks
- Example 2: Your bank can reduce the failure rate of the ATM system by installing a machine that does not break as often
  - Also increases the availability
- Generally, hope that more complicated hardware improves availability and performance, but it also may increase the failure rate

Example: Disk arrays

- Suppose a machine has an array of 20 disks
  - Case 1: If distribute the data across the disks (striping), then all 20 disks must be working properly in order to access the data - but throughput can be improved
  - Case 2: If store 20 copies of the data, one copy per disk, have good availability - can access the data even if some disks fail
- But reliability of the 20 disks is less than reliability of a single disk: the probability of one of the 20 disks failing is essentially 20 times the probability that a single disk will fail
Disk arrays (cont.)

- In Case 2, store multiple copies on multiple disks, called RAID: redundant arrays of inexpensive disks
- RAID is actually not inexpensive (because of the cost of the controllers, power supplies, and fans), so often the "I" is said to stand for "independent"
  - More than 80% of non-PC disk drive sales are now RAID, a multi-billion dollar industry
  - Typically store 2 copies, not 20
  - Used when availability is critical, in applications such as:
    - airline reservations
    - medical records
    - stock market

RAID – from Fig. 6.4

- There are various levels of RAID, depending on the relative importance of availability, accuracy, and cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAID level</th>
<th># Hdds</th>
<th>Example data disks</th>
<th>Check data</th>
<th>Companies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - Stripped</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>widely used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - Mirrored</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>EMC, HP (Tandem), IBM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - Memory-style ECC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - Bit-interleaved parity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Storage Concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - Bit-interleaved parity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Network Appliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - Block interleaved w/distributed parity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>widely used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - P+Q redundancy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Network Appliance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RAID levels 0 & 1

- One copy of data: RAID 0
  - Data striped across a disk array
- Two full copies of data (mirroring): RAID 1
  - If one disk fails, go to other
  - Can also use this to distribute the load of READs
  - Most expensive RAID option
- RAID 0 and 1 can be combined
  - 1+0 (or 10) – mirror pairs of disks, then stripe across pairs
  - 0+1 (or 01) – stripe across one set of half the disks, then mirror writes to both sets

RAID 3

- Bit-interleaved parity: RAID 3
  - One copy of the data, stored among several disks, and one extra disk to hold a parity bit (checksum) for the others
- Example: Suppose have 4 data disks, and one piece of the data looks like this:
  - Disk 1: 0 1 0 1 0 0 0
  - Disk 2: 0 1 1 0 1 1 0
  - Disk 3: 0 1 1 1 1 0 0
  - Disk 4: 0 0 0 1 0 1 0
  - Then the parity bits are set by taking the sums mod 2:
  - Disk 5: 0 1 0 0 0 0 1

RAID 3 (cont.)

- So if the data on one of the disks becomes corrupted, the parity bits on Disk 5 will be wrong, so can tell there has been a failure
  - and be able to fix it if know which disk failed
- Disadvantage: Each data access must read from all 5 disks in order to retrieve the data and check for corruption
  - also can’t always tell where the error is (could even be on the parity disk)

RAID 4

- Block-interleaved parity: RAID 4
  - Same organization of data as RAID 3 but cheaper reads and writes
  - Read
    - Read one sector at a time, and count on the disk’s own error detection mechanisms for each sector.
  - Write
    - In each write, note which bits are changing
    - This is enough information to change the parity bits without reading from the other disks
**RAID 4 example**

- If the original contents are:
  - Disk 1: 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0
  - Disk 2: 0 1 1 0 1 1 0
  - Disk 3: 0 1 1 1 0 0 0
  - Disk 4: 0 0 1 0 1 0 1
  - Disk 5: 0 1 0 0 0 1 1

- And write:
  - Disk 2: 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 old
  - Disk 2: 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 new

- Then since bits 0, 1, 5, and 7 changed, need to flip those parity bits:
  - Disk 5: 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 old
  - Disk 5: 1 0 0 0 1 1 new

**RAID 5 – Fig. 7.19 from H&P 3ed.**

- Disadvantage of RAID 4: Parity disk is a bottleneck, so it is better to interleave the parity information across all of the disks (RAID 5).

**RAID 6**

- Also called P+Q redundancy:
  - To allow recovery from a second failure, since parity schemes only can recover from one
  - Need a second extra (check) disk
  - Computation is more complicated than simple parity

**RAID summary**

- Higher throughput than single disk
  - In either MB/sec or I/Os/sec
- Failure recovery easy
- Allows taking advantage of small size and low power requirements of small disks, and still get these advantages
  - RAIDs now dominate large-scale storage systems
- Note: No need to memorize the RAID levels
  - But you need to be able to explain how the example RAID levels work