Due: Thu Apr 16th at the start of class

Homework #4

CMSC351 - Spring 2013

PRINT Name: ____________________________

- Grades depend on neatness and clarity.
- Write your answers with enough detail about your approach and concepts used, so that the grader will be able to understand it easily. You should ALWAYS prove the correctness of your algorithms either directly or by referring to a proof in the book.
- Write your answers in the spaces provided. If needed, attach other pages.
- The grades would be out of 16. Four problems would be selected and everyone’s grade would be based only on those problems. You will also get 4 bonus points for trying to solve all problems.

1. Given two sets $S_1$ and $S_2$, and a real number $x$, find whether there exists an element from $S_1$ and an element from $S_2$ whose sum is exactly $x$. The algorithm should run in average time $O(n)$, where $n$ is the total number of elements in both sets. (Try to use the data structures you have learned in the lectures.)

   Use a Hash data structure to store all the members of $S_1$. For every member $u$ of $S_2$, we simply search for $x - u$ in the data structure. In a hash data structure the running time of insertion and search are $O(1)$ in average, thus the algorithm runs in $O(n)$ time in average.
2. [Prob 4.22, Pg 88] Determine the general structure of a binary search tree formed by inserting the numbers 1 to \( n \) in order (you may draw a general structure, but explain it properly). What is the height of this tree?

   The tree is a path of length \( n - 1 \). Hence its height is also \( n - 1 \).
3. [Prob 4.16, Pg 88] Design a data structure that supports the following operations. Each operation should take \( O(\lg n) \) time, where \( n \) is the number of elements in the data structure. Explain the required process for doing each operation and prove that it takes \( O(\lg n) \) time.
   
a) \textit{Insert}(x): Insert the key \( x \) into data structure only if it is not already there.
   
b) \textit{Delete}(x): Delete the key \( x \), if it is there!
   
c) \textit{Find}_{\text{Smallest}}(k): Find the \( k \)th smallest key in the data structure.

A balanced binary search tree (e.g. AVL tree) can perform the first two types of commands. We modify the binary search tree such that we can also perform the third type of command in \( O(\lg n) \).

In each node of the tree \( v \), store a number \( \ell_v \) which is equal to the number of vertices in the left subtree of that node. Insertion and Deletion of an AVL tree can be easily adjusted to update these values.

To perform the last command, we implement a recursive function \( f_s(v, k) \) which finds the \( k \)th element in the subtree rooted at node \( v \). Clearly the answer to operation (c) would be \( f_s(\text{root}, k) \).

Now when we want to find the \( k \)th smallest element, we simply check \( \ell_v \). If \( \ell_v = k - 1 \), then node \( v \) is the answer to \( f_s(v, k) \). If \( \ell_v < k - 1 \), the answer would be in the right subtree of \( v \). Thus the answer would be \( f_s(\text{right\_child\_of\_v}, k - \ell_v - 1) \). Similarly, if \( \ell_v > k - 1 \), the answer would be \( f_s(\text{left\_child\_of\_v}, k) \).
4. **Scheduling jobs intervals with penalties:** For each $1 \leq i \leq n$ job $j_i$ is given by two numbers $d_i$ and $p_i$, where $d_i$ is the deadline and $p_i$ is the penalty. The length of each job is equal to 1 minute. We want to schedule all jobs, but only one job can run at any given time. If job $i$ does not complete on of before its deadline $d_i$, we should pay its penalty $p_i$. Design a greedy algorithm to find a schedule which minimizes the sum of penalties.

**Observation:** We can assume that all jobs finish after $n$ minutes. Suppose not. So there is an empty minute starting at say $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ (where no job is scheduled) and there is a job $j_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ which is scheduled some time after $n$ minutes. If we schedule job $j_i$ to start at minute $k$, then this can only be a better solution since everything remains same except $j_i$ might now be able to meet its deadline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_1$</th>
<th>$M_2$</th>
<th>$M_3$</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>$M_n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We assign time intervals $M_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ where $M_i$ starts at minute $i - 1$ and ends at minute $i$. The greedy algorithm is as follows:

- Arrange the jobs in decreasing order of the penalties $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \cdots \geq p_n$ and add them in this order
- To add job $j_i$, if any time interval $M_l$ is available for $1 \leq l \leq d_i$, then schedule $j_i$ in the last such available interval. Else schedule $j_i$ in the first available interval starting backwards from $M_n$

Let $S$ be the greedy schedule and suppose it schedules job $j_i$ at time $t_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. We show by induction that there is an optimal schedule $T_r$ that agrees with $S$ on the schedule of the first $r$ intervals for $1 \leq r \leq n$.

**Base Case:** The base case is when $r = 1$. Let $S'$ be an optimal schedule. Suppose $S'$ schedules $j_1$ at time $t \neq t_1$. By our observation above, $S'$ must schedule some job $j_k$ at time $t$. Let $T_1$ be the schedule obtained by swapping times of $j_1$ and $j_k$ in $S'$. Note that $T_1$ makes the greedy choice to schedule $j_1$. In each of the following 3 cases we show that penalty of $T_1$ is at most that of $S'$.

- $d_1 \geq t_1$ and $j_1$ does not incur penalty in $S'$. This implies $t_1 > t$ since $t_1$ was the last available slot for $j_1$ in greedy algorithm. So in $T_1$, $j_1$ still does not incur penalty and $j_k$ gets scheduled at $t$ which is earlier than $t_1$.
- $d_1 \geq t_1$ and $j_1$ incurs penalty in $S'$. This implies $t > d_1 \geq t_1$. In $T_1$ we do not pay penalty for $j_1$ since $d_1 \geq t_1$. Worst case we might pay the penalty $p_k$ for $j_k$. So the net difference is penalty is $p_k - p_1 \leq 0$.
- $d_1 < t_1$. Hence there is no way to schedule $j_1$ without incurring penalty. Hence $j_1$ pays $p_1$ in both $S'$ and $T_1$. In greedy algorithm since $t_1$ is chosen as last available slot, we have $t_1 > t$. In $T$ we schedule $j_k$ at $t$ instead of $t_1$, which can only reduce the penalty of $j_k$.

**Inductive Hypothesis:** There is an optimal schedule $T_r$ that agrees with $S$ on the schedule of the first $r$ intervals.

**Inductive Step:** If $r = n - 1$, then by the Observation above the job $j_n$ must be scheduled in the only remaining slot from 1 to $n$. If $r < n - 1$ then consider the optimal schedule $T_r$. Let it schedule the job $j_{r+1}$ at time $t \neq t_{r+1}$. By Observation above, $T_r$ schedules a job say $j_h$ at time $t_{r+1}$. Since $T_r$ agrees with $S$ on first $r$ jobs we have $h > r + 1$. Let $T_{r+1}$ be the schedule that
swaps times of \( j_h \) and \( j_{r+1} \), i.e., schedules \( j_h \) at time \( t \) and \( j_{r+1} \) at time \( t_{r+1} \). Note that \( T_{r+1} \) agrees with \( S \) on first \( r + 1 \) jobs. A very similar argument as in the base case shows that penalty of \( T_{r+1} \) is at most as much as the penalty of \( T_r \). Then the optimality of \( T_r \) implies the optimality of \( T_{r+1} \) as well.

5. **Making Change**: Suppose we want to make change for \( n \) cents and the only denominations allowed are 1, 10 and 25 cents.
   a. Find an example such that the greedy algorithm does not find the minimum number of coins required to make change for \( n \) cents (give a concrete counterexample).
   b. Give a \( O(n) \) dynamic programming algorithm to find the minimum number of coins required to make change for \( n \) cents.

Let \( C_n \) be the least number of coins needed to make change for \( n \) cents
For \( 1 \leq n \leq 9 \) we have \( C_n = n \) since the only way is to use \( n \) pennies
For \( 10 \leq n \leq 24 \) we have \( C_n = C_{n-10} + 1 \) since now we must use a dime
For \( 25 \leq n \) we have \( C_n = \min(C_{n-10} + 1, \ C_{n-25} + 1) \) since now we must use either a dime or a quarter

There is also a simple \( O(1) \) algorithm !!
6. **Weighted Interval Scheduling:** Consider a set of \( n \) intervals where each interval is given by \((s_i, t_i)\)

Where \( s_i \) is the start time and \( t_i \) is the finish time. In addition, each interval also has a weight given by \( w_i \). Give a dynamic programming algorithm to find the maximum weight of a non-conflicting set of intervals.

First sort the intervals in increasing order of finishing times. This takes \( O(n \log n) \) time. Let \( 1 \leq p_j \leq j - 1 \) denote the largest index of an interval which can be scheduled with \( j \). Since we have sorted the intervals, we can find the indices \( p_j \) for each \( 1 \leq j \leq n \) in \( O(n) \) time.

For each \( 1 \leq j \leq n \) let \( OPT_j \) denote the maximum weight possible from only the intervals \( 1, 2, \ldots, j \). Clearly \( OPT_1 = w_1 \).

For each \( 2 \leq i \leq n \) we have \( OPT_i = \max (OPT_{i-1}, w_i + OPT_{p(j)}) \) since you can either have the interval \( i \) in your optimal schedule (in which case the max weight you can gain from earlier intervals is \( OPT_{p(j)} \)); or the interval \( i \) is not present in the optimal schedule (in which case the max weight you can gain from earlier intervals is \( OPT_{i-1} \) by definition). Hence each \( OPT_i \) can be found in constant time (it only involves making one comparison). Therefore, the answer which is \( OPT_n \) can be calculated in \( O(n) \) time.

Note that the total time taken is \( O(n \log n) + O(n) = O(n \log n) \).
7. **Scheduling a Class:** A professor needs to choose a sequence of locations to conduct a class, one for each day. The days are numbered 1, 2, ..., n. The two possible locations are AVW (A.V. Williams) and CSIC. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, the cost of conducting the class in AVW on day $i$ is $A_i$ and the cost of conducting the class in CSIC on day $i$ is $C_i$. The cost of moving from AVW to CSIC (and vice versa) is some constant $M$. Give an $O(n)$ algorithm which computes the cost of an optimal schedule of the class.

For each $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $X \in \{AVW, CSIC\}$ let $T(i, j)$ denote the cost of an optimal schedule for the first $i$ days given that the last day the class is held in $X$.

Clearly, $T(0, AVW) = 0 = T(0, CSIC)$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$T(i, AVW) = \min \left( T(i-1, AVW) + A_i ; T(i-1, CSIC) + C_i + M \right)$$

$$T(i, CSIC) = \min \left( T(i-1, CSIC) + C_i ; T(i-1, AVW) + A_i + M \right)$$

The final answer for our problem is $\min \{ T(n, CSIC); T(n, AVW) \}$

[This problem was taken from the Kleinberg-Tardos book]