

CMSC 430, Practice Problems 1

1. Consider the following grammar: $S \rightarrow S \text{ and } S \mid S \text{ or } S \mid (S) \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false}$
 - a. Compute First sets for each production and nonterminal
 - b. Explain why the grammar cannot be parsed by a LL(1) parser
2. Consider the following grammar: $S \rightarrow abS \mid acS \mid c$
 - a. Compute First sets for each production and nonterminal
 - b. Show why the grammar cannot be parsed by a LL(1) parser.
3. Consider the following grammar: $S \rightarrow Sa \mid Sc \mid c$
 - a. Show why the grammar cannot be parsed by a LL(1) parser.
 - b. Rewrite the grammar so it can be parsed by a LL(1) parser.
 - c. Using FIRST and FOLLOW, build the LL(1) table for the new grammar
 - d. Using the LL(1) table, show how a table-driven parser parses the string “caca”
4. Consider the following grammar

Goal	$\rightarrow$	Expr
Expr	$\rightarrow$	Term + Expr
	$\mid$	Term – Expr
	$\mid$	Term
Term	$\rightarrow$	Factor * Term
	$\mid$	Factor / Term
	$\mid$	Factor
Factor	$\rightarrow$	num
	$\mid$	id

 - a. Apply left factoring to create a LL(1) grammar
 - b. Compute FIRST and FOLLOW for the modified grammar
 - c. Using FIRST and FOLLOW, build the LL(1) table for the new grammar
5. Bottom up parsing
 - a. Describe the difference between bottom-up and top-down parsing with respect to a grammar production $A \rightarrow \beta$
 - b. Define handle
 - c. Explain why bottom-up parsers result in right-most derivations in reverse.
 - d. Given the following ACTION/GOTO table, perform a parse of “a”, assuming 0 is the start state. Show the contents of the stack, remaining input, and action performed at each step of the shift-reduce parse.

State	Action		Goto	
	a	\$	A	B
0	shift 1	reduce $B \rightarrow \epsilon$	2	3
1	shift 3	reduce $A \rightarrow a$	0	
2		accept	3	0
3	shift 1	accept		1

6. LR parsing

- What is the canonical set of LR(k) items for a grammar?
- What are ACTION/GOTO tables of an LR(k) parser for a grammar?
- Given an LR(k) item $[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet \gamma, \delta]$ from a production $A \rightarrow \beta$, describe the role of $A, \alpha, \gamma, \delta$
- Given an LR(k) item $[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet \gamma, \delta]$, what lookahead allows a shift to be performed (ignoring any other LR(k) items added to the state by closure)?
- Given an LR(k) item $[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet \gamma, \delta]$, what LR(k) items must be added to the closure?
- If the parser is in a state containing an LR(k) item $[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet \gamma, \delta]$, what must be at the top of the stack, and why?
- Describe what constitutes a shift/reduce conflict
- Give an example of two LR(1) items causing a reduce/reduce conflict.
- Explain why there are no shift/shift conflicts

7. LALR parsing.

Consider the following sets of LR(1) items in the states of a LR(1) parser.

State 0:

$[A \rightarrow \bullet a, b]$
 $[A \rightarrow a \bullet, c]$
 $[B \rightarrow a \bullet, b]$

State 2:

$[A \rightarrow \bullet a, c]$
 $[A \rightarrow a \bullet, b]$
 $[B \rightarrow a \bullet, a]$

State 1:

$[A \rightarrow \bullet a, a]$
 $[A \rightarrow \bullet a, b]$
 $[B \rightarrow a \bullet, b]$

State 3:

$[A \rightarrow \bullet a, b]$
 $[B \rightarrow \bullet a, b]$

- Find all shift/reduce and reduce/reduce conflicts. List the LR(1) items and lookaheads causing conflicts.
- What states would be merged in a LALR(1) parser?
- Are any new reduce/reduce conflicts introduced in the LALR(1) parser?
- Explain why LALR(1) parsers will not introduce new shift/reduce conflicts.
- What is the relationship between LALR(1) and SLR(1) parsers?

8. Consider the following grammar: $S \rightarrow abS \mid acS \mid c$
 - a. Compute FOLLOW(S)
 - b. Compute the canonical set of LR(0) items for the grammar
 - c. Build the ACTION/GOTO table using LR(0) + FOLLOW
 - d. Explain why the grammar is or is not SLR(1)
 - e. Use the ACTION/GOTO table to parse the string “abc”

9. Consider the following grammar: $S \rightarrow Sa \mid Sc \mid c$
 - a. Compute the canonical set of LR(1) items for the grammar
 - b. Build the ACTION/GOTO table for the grammar
 - c. Explain why the grammar is or is not LR(1)
 - d. Use the ACTION/GOTO table to parse the string “caca”

10. Consider the following grammar: $S \rightarrow S + S \mid S * S \mid (S) \mid \text{num}$
 - a. Compute the canonical set of LR(1) items for the grammar
 - b. Build the ACTION/GOTO table for the grammar
 - c. Explain why the grammar is or is not LR(1)
 - d. Explain how to use the ACTION/GOTO table so that
 - i. $*$ has higher precedence than $+$ and $*$, $+$ are both left associative
 - ii. $*$ has higher precedence than $+$, $*$ is left associative, and $+$ is right associative
 - iii. $+$ has higher precedence than $*$ and $*$, $+$ are both right associative

11. Consider the following grammar: $S \rightarrow AS \mid b \quad A \rightarrow SA \mid a$
 - a. Compute the canonical set of LR(0) items for the grammar
 - b. Build the ACTION/GOTO table for the grammar
 - c. Compute the canonical set of LR(1) items for the grammar
 - d. Build the ACTION/GOTO table for the grammar

12. Consider the following grammar: $S \rightarrow S ; a \mid a$
 - a. Is the grammar SLR(1)? Is it LR(1)?

13. Consider the following grammar: $S \rightarrow aAd \mid bBd \mid aBe \mid bAe \quad A \rightarrow c \quad B \rightarrow c$
 - a. Is the grammar LR(1)? Is it LALR(1)?

14. Consider the following grammar: $S \rightarrow Aa \mid bAc \mid Bc \mid bBa \quad A \rightarrow d \quad B \rightarrow d$
 - a. Is the grammar LR(1)? Is it LALR(1)?