

More Reductions: Collective Classification & Learning to Rank

CMSC 422

MARINE CARPUAT

marine@cs.umd.edu

Topics

- Fundamental ML concept: reductions
 - re-use simple and efficient classification algorithms to perform more complex tasks
- We've seen 2 examples of reductions already
 - Weighted binary classification
 - Multiclass classification
- Today: 2 new examples
 - Collective classification
 - Learning to rank

A taste of more complex problems: Collective Classification

- Prediction problems where we want to make **multiple correlated predictions** simultaneously
- Examples:
 - object detection in an image
 - finding part of speech of words in a sentence

Collective vs. multiclass classification

- We can encode dependencies/correlations
 - Between input features only in multiclass classification
 - Between output predictions in collective classification

TASK: COLLECTIVE CLASSIFICATION

Given:

1. An input space $\mathcal{X}$ and number of classes K
2. An unknown distribution $\mathcal{D}$ over $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X} \times [K])$

Compute: A function $f : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}([K])$ minimizing:
 $\mathbb{E}_{(V,E) \sim \mathcal{D}} [\sum_{v \in V} [\hat{y}_v \neq y_v]]$, where y_v is the label associated with vertex v in G and $\hat{y}_v$ is the label predicted by $f(G)$.

How to solve collective classification?

- One possible solution: stacking
 - reduces to binary/multiclass classification
 - Learn a stack of K classifiers
 - Classifier at level k uses output of lower level classifiers ($k-1$, $k-2$, etc.)
- Many other solutions exist
 - but are out of scope for 422
 - e.g. structured prediction, graphical models, hidden markov models

Algorithm 20 STACKTRAIN($\mathcal{D}^{cc}$, K , MULTICLASSTRAIN)

```
1:  $\mathbf{D}^{mc} \leftarrow [ ]$  // our generated multiclass data
2:  $\hat{Y}_{k,n,v} \leftarrow 0, \forall k \in [K], n \in [N], v \in G_n$  // initialize predictions for all levels
3: for  $k = 1$  to  $K$  do
4:   for  $n = 1$  to  $N$  do
5:     for all  $v \in G_n$  do
6:        $(\mathbf{x}, y) \leftarrow$  features and label for node  $v$ 
7:        $\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \mathbf{x} \oplus \hat{Y}_{l,n,u}, \forall u \in \mathcal{N}(v), \forall l \in [k-1]$  // add on features for
8:       // neighboring nodes from lower levels in the stack
9:        $\mathbf{D}^{mc} \leftarrow \mathbf{D}^{mc} \oplus (y, \mathbf{x})$  // add to multiclass data
10:    end for
11:  end for
12:   $f_k \leftarrow \text{MULTICLASSTRAIN}(\mathbf{D}^{bin})$  // train  $k$ th level classifier
13:  for  $n = 1$  to  $N$  do
14:     $\hat{Y}_{k,n,v} \leftarrow \text{STACKTEST}(f_1, \dots, f_k, G_n)$  // predict using  $k$ th level classifier
15:  end for
16: end for
17: return  $f_1, \dots, f_K$  // return all classifiers
```

CIML TYPO: this should be $N(v)$

CIML TYPO: this should be $\mathbf{D}^{mc}$

Algorithm 21 STACKTEST($f_1, \dots, f_K, G$)

```
1:  $\hat{Y}_{k,v} \leftarrow 0, \forall k \in [K], v \in G$  // initialize predictions for all levels
2: for  $k = 1$  to  $K$  do
3:   for all  $v \in G$  do
4:      $\mathbf{x} \leftarrow$  features for node  $v$ 
5:      $\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \mathbf{x} \oplus \hat{Y}_{l,u}, \forall u \in \mathcal{N}(v), \forall l \in [k-1]$  // add on features for
6:                                     // neighboring nodes from lower levels in the stack
7:      $\hat{Y}_{k,v} \leftarrow f_k(\mathbf{x})$  // predict according to  $k$ th level
8:   end for
9: end for
10: return  $\{\hat{Y}_{K,v} : v \in G\}$  // return predictions for every node from the last layer
```

CIML TYPO: this
should be $\mathcal{N}(v)$

Stacking issues

- Very sensitive to overfitting
 - If f_1 makes perfect predictions on the training set, the other classifiers don't have much to learn from
- Solutions
 - Cross-validation on the training data
 - Regularize base learner heavily

Topics

- Fundamental ML concept: reductions
 - re-use simple and efficient classification algorithms to perform more complex tasks
- We've seen 2 examples of reductions already
 - Weighted binary classification
 - Multiclass classification
- Today: 2 new examples
 - Collective classification
 - Learning to rank

Ranking

- Canonical example: web search
- Given all the documents on the web
- For a user query, retrieve relevant documents, ranked from most relevant to least relevant

How can we reduce ranking to binary classification?

Preference function

- Given a query q and documents d_i and d_j , the preference function outputs whether
 - d_i should be preferred to d_j
 - Or d_j should be preferred to d_i
- That's a binary classification problem!

Specifiying the reduction from ranking to binary classification

- How to train classifier that predicts preferences?
- How to turn the predicted preferences into a ranking?

Algorithm 16 NAIVERANKTRAIN(*RankingData*, BINARYTRAIN)

```
1:  $\mathbf{D} \leftarrow []$ 
2: for  $n = 1$  to  $N$  do
3:   for all  $i, j = 1$  to  $M$  and  $i \neq j$  do
4:     if  $i$  is preferred to  $j$  on query  $n$  then
5:        $\mathbf{D} \leftarrow \mathbf{D} \oplus (\mathbf{x}_{nij}, +1)$ 
6:     else if  $j$  is preferred to  $i$  on query  $n$  then
7:        $\mathbf{D} \leftarrow \mathbf{D} \oplus (\mathbf{x}_{nij}, -1)$ 
8:     end if
9:   end for
10: end for
11: return BINARYTRAIN( $\mathbf{D}$ )
```

Features associated
with comparing
document j and
document i for
query n

Algorithm 17 NAIVERANKTEST(f , $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$)

```
1:  $score \leftarrow \langle 0, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$  // initialize  $M$ -many scores to zero
2: for all  $i, j = 1$  to  $M$  and  $i \neq j$  do
3:    $y \leftarrow f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{ij})$  // get predicted ranking of  $i$  and  $j$ 
4:    $score_i \leftarrow score_i + y$ 
5:    $score_j \leftarrow score_j - y$ 
6: end for
7: return ARGSORT( $score$ ) // return queries sorted by score
```

Naïve approach

- Works well for bipartite problems
 - “is this document relevant or not?”
- Not ideal for full ranking problems

Improving on naïve approach

TASK: ω -RANKING

Given:

1. An input space $\mathcal{X}$
2. An unknown distribution $\mathcal{D}$ over $\mathcal{X} \times \Sigma_M$

Compute: A function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Sigma_M$ minimizing:

$$\mathbb{E}_{(x, \sigma) \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\sum_{u \neq v} [\sigma_u < \sigma_v] [\hat{\sigma}_v < \hat{\sigma}_u] \omega(\sigma_u, \sigma_v) \right] \quad (5.7)$$

where $\hat{\sigma} = f(x)$

Example of cost functions

$$\omega(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \min\{i, j\} \leq K \text{ and } i \neq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Resulting Ranking Algorithms

Algorithm 18 $\text{RANKTRAIN}(\mathbf{D}^{rank}, \omega, \text{BINARYTRAIN})$

```
1:  $\mathbf{D}^{bin} \leftarrow [ ]$ 
2: for all  $(x, \sigma) \in \mathbf{D}^{rank}$  do
3:   for all  $u \neq v$  do
4:      $y \leftarrow \text{SIGN}(\sigma_v - \sigma_u)$                                 // y is +1 if  $u$  is preferred to  $v$ 
5:      $w \leftarrow \omega(\sigma_u, \sigma_v)$                             // w is the cost of misclassification
6:      $\mathbf{D}^{bin} \leftarrow \mathbf{D}^{bin} \oplus (y, w, x_{uv})$ 
7:   end for
8: end for
9: return  $\text{BINARYTRAIN}(\mathbf{D}^{bin})$ 
```

Algorithm 19 $\text{RANKTEST}(f, \hat{x}, \text{obj})$

```
1: if  $\text{obj}$  contains 0 or 1 elements then
2:   return  $\text{obj}$ 
3: else
4:    $p \leftarrow$  randomly chosen object in  $\text{obj}$  // pick pivot
5:    $\text{left} \leftarrow [ ]$  // elements that seem smaller than  $p$ 
6:    $\text{right} \leftarrow [ ]$  // elements that seem larger than  $p$ 
7:   for all  $u \in \text{obj} \setminus \{p\}$  do
8:      $\hat{y} \leftarrow f(x_{up})$  // what is the probability that  $u$  precedes  $p$ 
9:     if uniform random variable  $< \hat{y}$  then
10:       $\text{left} \leftarrow \text{left} \oplus u$ 
11:     else
12:       $\text{right} \leftarrow \text{right} \oplus u$ 
13:     end if
14:   end for
15:    $\text{left} \leftarrow \text{RANKTEST}(f, \hat{x}, \text{left})$  // sort earlier elements
16:    $\text{right} \leftarrow \text{RANKTEST}(f, \hat{x}, \text{right})$  // sort later elements
17:   return  $\text{left} \oplus \langle p \rangle \oplus \text{right}$ 
18: end if
```

- RankTest
 - A probabilistic version of the quicksort algorithm
 - $O(M \log_2 M)$ calls to f only
 - Better error bound
(see CIML for theorem, and optionally proof)

What you should know

- What are reductions and why they are useful
- Implement, analyze and prove error bounds of algorithms for
 - Weighted binary classification
 - Multiclass classification (OVA, AVA, tree)
- Understand algorithms for
 - Stacking for collective classification
 - ω –ranking