## Exam 2 from Fall 2020 (Practice)

## student name

Search students by name or email...

## Q1 Introduction

0 Points
Please carefully read the instructions below:

## Ground Rules

This exam is open-note, which means that you may refer to your own notes and class resources during the exam. You may not work in collaboration with anyone else, regardless of whether they are a student in this class or not. If you need to ask a question about the exam, post a private question on Piazza.

## Sections

- Programming Language Concepts [13pts]
- Finite Automata [16pts]
- Context-Free Grammars [14pts]
- Parsing [18pts]
- Operational Semantics [13pts]
- Evaluation [10pts]
- Lambda Calculus [16pts]


## General Advice

You can complete answers in any order, and we urge you to look through all of the questions at the beginning so you can accurately gauge how long you should spend on each question. Refer to the counter in the top left corner to ensure you have completed all questions.

## Submission

You have 75 minutes to complete this exam (see the timer in the upper right corner for remaining time). Once you begin, you can submit as many times as you want until your time is up. You can even leave this page and come back, and as long as the time hasn't expired, you'll be able to update your submission. This means that if you accidentally submit, refresh, or lose internet temporarily, you'll still be able to work on the test until the time is up.

## Honor Pledge

Please copy the honor pledge below:

[^0]
## Signature

By entering your name below, you agree that you have read and fully understand all instructions above.

Enter your answer here

## Save Answer

## Q2 PL Concepts

13 Points

## Q2.1

3 Points
Can any CFG be converted to an equivalent NFA? If not, provide a counterexample.

Enter your answer here

Save Answer

## Q2.2

2 Points

An NFA is more powerful than a DFA; that is, some NFA represents a language that no DFA can represent.

True

False

Save Answer

## Q2.3

3 Points
Which of the following can be used to express the language consisting of palindromes over the alphabet of lowercase English letters (a-z)?

Regular expression

```
NFA
```

DFA

CFG

## Save Answer

## Q2.4

2 Points
A parser is a program which takes in an abstract syntax tree (AST) and produces a result value.True

False

## Save Answer

## Q2.5

3 Points
When we're interested in learning a language, it's often easier to "learn by example" rather than to look up the operational semantics for the language. What is a benefit of a language developer including operational semantics in their newly-designed programming language (as opposed to just providing several examples)?

## Save Answer

## Q3 Finite Automata

16 Points

## Q3.1

4 Points
Consider the following NFA:


Which of the following regular expressions is equivalent to this NFA?
( $\left.(a \mid b)+c^{*}\right)$ ?

- $\left((a \mid b){ }^{*} c^{*}\right)+$
- $((a \mid b)+c$ ? $)+$
$((a \mid b) * c ?)$ ?


## Save Answer

## Q3.2

4 Points
Consider the following DFA:


Which strings are accepted by this DFA? Select all that apply
$\square 11101$
$\square 0010110$01110110111101

1100

Q3.3
8 Points
Consider the following NFA:


Convert this to a DFA. Write your answer as an OCaml type from project 3:

```
type ('q, 's) transition = 'q * 's option * 'q
type ('q, 's) nfa_t = {
        sigma: 's list;
        qs: 'q list;
        q0: 'q;
        fs: 'q list;
        delta: ('q, 's) transition list;
}
```

You do not need to show all the steps, only the final answer.

## Save Answer

## Q4 Context-Free Grammars

14 Points

## Q4.1

5 Points
Write a CFG that generates strings of the form $a^{x} b c^{y}$ with $x \geq y \geq 0$. You can use the $\varepsilon$ symbol or just write "epsilon" where needed.

Enter your answer here

Q4.2
4 Points
Which strings can be generated by the following CFG with start symbol $X$ ?

```
X }->aaXb|cY|
Y->acY|cY|Z
Z }->\mathrm{ b | b
    (empty string)
    bbb
    aaacb
    cacbb
```


## Save Answer

## Q4.3

5 Points

Write a regular expression that accepts the same strings as the following CFG with start symbol $W$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
W & \rightarrow m \mid X \\
X & \rightarrow Y \mid n X \\
Y & \rightarrow p Y \mid p Z \\
Z & \rightarrow q Z \mid \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

## Enter your answer here

## Save Answer

## Q5 Parsing

18 Points

## Q5. 1

10 Points

Consider the following context-free grammar:
$S \rightarrow a S|b S| c T b$
$T \rightarrow a T|b T| c$

Write the OCaml functions to parse this grammar from a list of tokens (all of which are strings).
You are given the following two functions to help you:

```
let lookahead toks =
    match toks with
    | h::t -> Some h
    | [] -> None
let match_tok toks x =
    match toks with
    | h::t when h = x -> t
    | [] -> raise (ParseError "bad match")
let rec parse_S toks =
    (* TODO *)
let rec parse_T toks =
    (* TODO *)
```

Note that you do not need to produce an AST, just parse the input, failing if it is invalid. The parse functions should return the updated token lists.

Implement the parse_s function below:

Enter your answer here

Implement the parse_T function below:

Enter your answer here

## Save Answer

## Q5.2

4 Points
Can the following grammar be parsed by a recursive descent parser as it is written? If not, briefly explain why. If it can, no explanation is necessary.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S \rightarrow S a|S b| T \\
& T \rightarrow a|b| c
\end{aligned}
$$

## Save Answer

## Q5.3

4 Points
What is the role of a tokenizer/lexer?

What is the role of a parser?

Enter your answer here

## Save Answer

## Q6 Operational Semantics

13 Points
Consider the following operational semantics rules:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A ; n \rightarrow n & \frac{A(x)=v}{A ; x \rightarrow v}
\end{array} \quad \frac{A ; e_{1} \rightarrow v_{1} \quad A, x: v_{1} ; e_{2} \rightarrow v_{2}}{A ; \text { let } x=e_{1} \text { in } e_{2} \rightarrow v_{2}}
$$

$\frac{A ; e_{1} \rightarrow n_{1} \quad A ; e_{2} \rightarrow n_{2} \quad n_{1}>n_{2}}{A ; e_{1}>e_{2} \rightarrow \text { true }} \quad \frac{A ; e_{1} \rightarrow n_{1} \quad A ; e_{2} \rightarrow n_{2} \quad n_{1} \leq n_{2}}{A ; e_{1}>e_{2} \rightarrow \text { false }}$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
A ; e_{1} \rightarrow \text { true } \quad A ; e_{2} \rightarrow v \\
A ; \text { if } e_{1} \text { then } e_{2} \text { else } e_{3} \rightarrow v & A ; e_{1} \rightarrow \text { false } \quad A ; e_{3} \rightarrow v \\
\hline A ; \text { if } e_{1} \text { then } e_{2} \text { else } e_{3} \rightarrow v
\end{array}
$$

Fill in the blanks in the proof below:


Blank 1:

Enter your answer here

Blank 2:

Enter your answer here

Blank 3:

Blank 5:

Enter your answer here

Blank 6:

Enter your answer here

Blank 7:

Enter your answer here

Blank 8:

## Save Answer

## Q7 Evaluation

10 Points
Consider the following rules which describe basic arithmetic operations on integers:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{INT} \frac{\operatorname{ADD} \frac{e_{1} \rightarrow n_{1}}{} \begin{array}{l}
e_{2} \rightarrow n_{2} \quad n_{3}=n_{1}+n_{2} \\
e_{1}+e_{2} \rightarrow n_{3} \\
\\
\\
\operatorname{MuL} \frac{e_{1} \rightarrow n_{1}}{} e_{2} \rightarrow n_{2} \quad n_{3}=n_{1} \cdot n_{2} \\
e_{1} \cdot e_{2} \rightarrow n_{3}
\end{array}}{}
\end{gathered}
$$

and also the following AST type:

```
type exp =
    | Int i of int
    | Add of exp * exp (* exp1 + exp2 *)
    | Mul of exp * exp (* exp1 * exp2 *)
    let rec eval t =
        (* TODO *)
```

Write the eval function which takes as an argument an AST of the type above, and returns the resulting value.

Implement the eval function below:

## Q8 Lambda Calculus

16 Points

## Q8.1

4 Points
Consider the following beta-reduction which contains an error:
$(\lambda x \cdot x(\lambda y . y x)) y x$
$y(\lambda y . y y) x$

Identify the error, and describe why it is incorrect.

Enter your answer here

## Save Answer

## Q8.2

6 Points
Consider the following lambda expression:
$(\lambda x . y z)((\lambda x . x x y)(\lambda z . z z y))$

Show a sequence of reductions which result in beta-normal form. Show each step of the reduction.

Enter your answer here

It's also possible to reduce this expression infinitely without ever reaching beta-normal form. Show at least two steps of such a reduction.

Enter your answer here

Save Answer

## Q8.3

6 Points
Given the following lambda calculus encodings:
$\mathbf{0}=\lambda f . \lambda y . y$
$1=\lambda f . \lambda y \cdot f y$
$2=\lambda f \cdot \lambda y \cdot f(f y)$
true $=\lambda x . \lambda y . x$
false $=\lambda x . \lambda y . y$
iszero $=\lambda z . z(\lambda y . f a l s e)$ true

Prove that iszero $1=$ false. Be sure to show all steps for full credit.

Enter your answer here

Save Answer


[^0]:    I pledge on my honor that I have not given or received any unauthorized assistance on this examination.

