

1 Empirical Software Engineering

1. V. Basili. The Experience Factory and its relationship to other improvement paradigms. In Ian Sommerville and Manfred Paul, editors, *Proceedings of the 4th European Software Engineering Conference*, pages 68–83, Garmish-Partenkirchen, Germany, September 1993. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Nr. 717, Springer-Verlag.
2. V. Basili and G. Caldiera. Improve software quality by reusing knowledge and experience. *Sloan Management Review*, 37(1):3–12, July 1997.
3. V. Basili, R. Selby, and D. Hutchens. Experimentation in software engineering. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 12(7):733–743, July 1986.
4. V. Basili and D. Weiss. A methodology for collecting valid software engineering data. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 10(11):728–738, November 1984.
5. V. Basili, M. Zelkowitz, F. McGarry, J. Page, S. Waligora, and R. Pajerski. SEL’s software process-improvement program. *IEEE Software*, 12(6):83–87, 1995.
6. V. R. Basili and H. D. Rombach. The TAME project: Towards improvement-oriented software environments. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 14(6):758–773, June 1988.
7. B.W. Boehm and P.N. Papaccio. Understanding and controlling software costs. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 14(10):1462–1477, October 1988.
8. W. Harrison. Software measurement: A decision support approach. In *Advances in Computers*, volume 39, pages 51–105. Academic Press Inc., 1994.
9. R. Hendrick, D. Kistler, and J. Valett. Software management environment (SME) concepts and architecture (revision 1). Technical Report SEL-89-103, SEL, September 1992.
10. W.S. Humphrey. Characterizing the software process. *IEEE Software*, 5(2):73–79, 1987.
11. N. R. Li and M. V. Zelkowitz. An information model for use in software management estimation and prediction. In *Second International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, pages 481–489, Washington DC, November 1993. ACM.
12. R.J. Offen and R. Jeffery. Establishing software measurement programs. *IEEE Software*, 14(2):45–54, March 1997.
13. M. Oivo and V.R. Basili. Representing software engineering models: the TAME goal oriented approach. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 18(10):886–897, October 1992.
14. S.L. Pfleeger. Integrating process and measurement. In A. Melton, editor, *Software Measurement*, pages 53–74. International Thomson Computer Press, London, 1996.
15. R. W. Selby, A. A. Porter, D. C. Schmidt, and J. Berney. Metric-driven analysis and feedback systems for enabling empirically guided software development. In *Proceedings of the Thirteenth International Conference on Software Engineering*, pages 288–298, Austin, TX, May 1991.

16. J. D. Valett. Automated support for experience-based software management. In *Proceedings of the Second Irvine Software Symposium*, Irvine, CA, March 1992.
17. C.E. Walston and C.P. Felix. A method of programming measurement and estimation. *IBM Systems Journal*, 16(1):54–64, 1977.
18. R. Wolverton. The cost of developing large scale software. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 23(6):615–636, June 1974.

2 Measurement Definition and Validation

1. A. L. Baker, J. M. Bieman, N. Fenton, D. A. Gustafson, A. Melton, and R. Whitty. A philosophy for software measurement. *J. Systems Software*, 12:277–281, July 1990.
2. L. Briand, K. El Emam, and S. Morasca. On the application of measurement theory in software engineering. *Empirical Software Engineering*, 1(1):61–88, 1996.
3. R.E. Courtney and D.A. Gustafson. Shotgun correlations in software measures. *Software Engineering Journal*, 8(1):5–13, January 1993.
4. N. Fenton and A. Melton. Measurement theory and software measurement. In A. Melton, editor, *Software Measurement*, pages 27–38. International Thomson Computer Press, London, 1996.
5. N. Fenton, S.L. Pfleeger, and R. L. Glass. Science and substance:a challenge to software engineers. *IEEE Software*, 11(4):86–95, July 1994.
6. N. Fenton. Software measurement: A necessary scientific basis. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 20(3):199–206, March 1994.
7. N. E. Fenton and S. L. Pfleeger. *Software Metrics: A Rigorous & Practical Approach*. International Thomson Computer Press, London, second edition, 1997.
8. B. Henderson-Sellers. The mathematical validity of software metrics. *ACM Software Engineering Notes*, 20(5):89–94, September 1996.
9. B. Kitchenham, S. L. Pfleeger, and N. Fenton. Towards a framework for software measurement validation. *IEEE Trans. on Software Engineering*, 21(12):929–944, Dec 1995.
10. S. Morasca and L.C. Briand. Towards a theoretical framework for measuring software attributes. In *Fourth International Software Metrics Symposium (Metrics’97)*, pages 119–126, Albuquerque, New Mexico, November 1997. IEEE.
11. L. Putnam. A general empirical solution to the macro software sizing estimating problem. *Transactions on Software Engineering*, 4(4):345–361, 1978.
12. N. F. Schneidewind. Methodology for validating software metrics. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 18(5):410–421, May 1992.

13. J. Tian and M.V. Zelkowitz. A formal program complexity model and its application. *J. of Syst. Software*, 17(3):253–266, 1992.
14. J. Tian and M.V. Zelkowitz. Complexity measure evaluation and selection. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 21(8):641–650, August 1995.
15. P. Velleman and L. Wilkinson. Nominal, ordinal, interval, and ratio topologies are misleading. *The American Statistician*, 47(1):65–72, 1993.
16. E. J. Weyuker. Evaluating software complexity measures. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 14(9):1357–1365, September 1988.

3 Distributed Architecture

1. R. Ahmed, P. DeSmedt, and W. Du. The Pegasus heterogeneous multidatabase system. *Computer*, 24(12):19–28, December 1991.
2. F. DeRemer and H.H. Kron. Programming-in-the-large versus programming-in-the-small. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, SE-2(2):80–86, June 1976.
3. C.A. Ellis, S.J. Gibbs, and G.L. Rein. Groupware:some issues and experiences. *Communications of the ACM*, 34(1):38–58, Jan 1991.
4. D. Garlan and M. Shaw. An introduction to software architecture. In V. Ambriola and G. Tortora, editors, *Advances in Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering*, volume 1. World Scientific Publishing Company, New Jersey, 1993.
5. Object Management Group. The common object request broker: Architecture and specification. Technical Report 93-12-43, Object Management Group, December 1993.
6. P. T. Homer and R. D. Schlichting. Configuring scientific applications in a heterogeneous distributed system. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Configurable Distributed Systems*, pages 159–168. IEEE, 1994.
7. S. Jablonski and C. Bussler. *Workflow Management Systems: Modeling, Architecture and Implementation*. Thomson Press, 1996.
8. J. Magee, N. Dulay, and J. Kramer. A constructive development environment for parallel and distributed programs. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Configurable Distributed Systems*. IEEE, 1994.
9. J. Purtilo. The polyolith software bus. *Transactions on Programming Languages*, 16(1):151–174, Jan 1994. Also available as UMIACS-TR-90-65.
10. M. Shaw and D. Garlan. *Software architecture: Perspectives on an emerging discipline*. Prentice Hall, 1996.

11. A. Tomasic, L. Raschid, and P. Valduriez. Scaling heterogeneous databases and the design of Disco. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Distributed Computer Systems*, 1996.
12. G. Wiederhold. Intelligent integration of diverse information. In *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, Baltimore, MD, Nov 1992. Keynote presentation.
13. G. Wiederhold. Mediators in the architecture of future information systems. *IEEE Computer*, 25(3):38–48, March 1992.