

# A Short Proof for a Generalization of Vizing's Theorem

Claude Berge  
CNRS PARIS

Jean Claude Fournier  
UNIVERSITY OF PARIS 12

## ABSTRACT

For a simple graph of maximum degree  $\Delta$ , it is always possible to color the edges with  $\Delta + 1$  colors (Vizing); furthermore, if the set of vertices of maximum degree is independent,  $\Delta$  colors suffice (Fournier). In this article, we give a short constructive proof of an extension of these results to multigraphs. Instead of considering several color interchanges along alternating chains (Vizing, Gupta), using counting arguments (Ehrenfeucht, Faber, Kierstead), or improving nonvalid colorings with Fournier's Lemma, the method of proof consists of using one single easy transformation, called "sequential recoloring", to augment a partial  $k$ -coloring of the edges.

Let  $G$  be a loopless multigraph of multiplicity  $p \geq 1$  (that is: no more than  $p$  parallel edges can join a pair of vertices). The degree of a vertex  $x$  is denoted  $d_G(x)$ . Let  $m_G(x, y)$  denote the number of parallel edges joining  $x$  and  $y$ ; put

$$m_G(x) = \max_y m_G(x, y).$$

We shall prove the following result:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $G$  be a multigraph of maximum degree  $\Delta \leq D$  and of multiplicity  $p \leq t$ . If the set

$$S = \{x/x \in V(G); d_G(x) = D; m_G(x) = t\}$$

is independent ("stable") or empty, then the edges of  $G$  can be colored with  $D + t - 1$  colors.

Clearly, with  $D = \Delta + 1$ , we obtain immediately the classical Vizing's theorem, and with  $D = \Delta$ , a theorem of Fournier (in fact, the main part of it, because in [3], it is possible to assume only that  $S$  induces an acyclic subgraph).

**Proof.** Assume that the result is valid for all graphs having less edges than  $G$ . If  $S \neq \emptyset$ , consider a vertex  $x_0 \in S$  (otherwise, take for  $x_0$  any vertex of maximum degree). Color with  $D + t - 1$  colors all the edges of  $G$  but one edge  $e_0 = [x_0, y_0]$  incident to  $x_0$  (this is possible by the induction hypothesis). For a vertex  $y$ , let  $C_y$  be the set of colors of the edges incident to  $y$ . We shall define a sequence of distinct edges  $e_0 = [x_0, y_0]$ ,  $e_1 = [x_0, y_1]$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $e_{k-1} = [x_0, y_{k-1}]$ , all incident to  $x_0$ , together with a function  $f$  that associates to each edge  $e_i$  of the sequence a color  $\alpha_{i+1} = f(e_i)$ , according to the following iterative procedure.

(I) Let  $\alpha_1 = f(e_0)$  be a color that  $\notin C_{y_0}$ ; such a color does exist because  $|C_{y_0}| < \Delta \leq D + t - 1$ .

(II) If  $\alpha_i \in C_{x_0}$  and if  $\alpha_i \neq f(e_j)$  for all  $j < i - 1$ , consider the edge  $e_i = [x_0, y_i]$  incident to  $x_0$  that is colored with  $\alpha_i$ ; let  $\alpha_{i+1} = f(e_i)$  be a color satisfying the two conditions:

- (1)  $\alpha_{i+1} \notin C_{y_i}$ ,
- (2)  $\alpha_{i+1} \neq f(e_j)$  for all  $j < i$  with  $y_j = y_i$ .

Such a color  $\alpha_{i+1}$  does exist, because the number  $q$  of colors excluded by (1) and (2) is at most  $|C_{y_i}| + [m_G(x_0, y_i) - 1]$ ; since  $y_i \notin S$  (because  $x_0 \in S$ ), we have

$$q < |C_{y_i}| + m_G(x_0, y_i) \leq d_G(y_i) + m_G(x_0, y_i) \leq D + t - 1.$$

(III) If  $\alpha_k \notin C_{x_0}$ , or if  $\alpha_k = f(e_j)$  for an index  $j < k - 1$ , we stop, and the sequence  $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}$  is achieved.

Clearly, because of (III), the  $e_i$ 's are all distinct, and an achieved sequence will be obtained.

For every edge  $e_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq k - 1$ , and every color  $\gamma \notin C_{x_0}$ , a *sequential f-recoloring from  $(e_i, \gamma)$*  consists in changing the color of  $e_i$  to  $\gamma$ , the color of  $e_{i-1}$  to  $f(e_{i-1})$ , the color of  $e_{i-2}$  to  $f(e_{i-2})$ , etc., and by coloring the uncolored edge  $e_0$  with  $f(e_0) = \alpha_1$ . Since the conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied, we see that if  $\gamma \notin C_{x_0}$  and  $\gamma \notin C_{y_i}$ , this produces a valid edge coloring.

*Case I.*  $\alpha_k \notin C_{x_0}$ . Since  $\alpha_k \notin C_{y_{k-1}}$  by (1) with  $i = k - 1$ , and since  $\alpha_k \notin C_{x_0}$ , the sequential  $f$ -recoloring from  $(e_{k-1}, \alpha_k)$  produces a valid edge coloring of  $G$  with  $D + t - 1$  colors.

*Case II.*  $\alpha_k = \alpha_j$  for an index  $j < k - 1$ . Since  $\alpha_k$  has been chosen according to the rule (2) with  $i = k - 1$ , we note that  $y_{k-1} \neq y_{j-1}$ .

Consider a color  $\beta \notin C_{x_0}$ , which does exist because

$$|C_{x_0}| < d_G(x_0) \leq \Delta \leq D + t - 1.$$

In the partial graph generated by the edges with colors  $\beta$  or  $\alpha_k$ , the connected component containing  $y_{k-1}$  is a bicolor chain  $\mu[y_{k-1}, z]$  having  $y_{k-1}$  as an endpoint (because  $\alpha_k \notin C_{y_{k-1}}$  by (1)). The only possible edge incident to  $x_0$  that could belong to  $\mu[y_{k-1}, z]$  is the edge  $e_j = [x_0, y_j]$  of color  $\alpha_k = \alpha_j$ ; in this case, the other endpoint  $z$  of the chain is the vertex  $x_0$  (because  $\beta \notin C_{x_0}$ ) and the chain  $\mu[y_{k-1}, z]$  does not contain the vertex  $y_{j-1}$  (otherwise  $y_{j-1}$  would be a third endpoint of this chain, a contradiction).

Consider a new edge coloring  $C'$  of  $G - e_0$  obtained by interchanging the colors  $\beta$  and  $\alpha_k$  in the bicolor chain  $\mu[y_{k-1}, z]$ .

If  $z = x_0$ , then  $\alpha_k \notin C'_{x_0}$ ,  $\alpha_k \notin C'_{y_{j-1}}$ , and the sequential  $f$ -recoloring from  $(e_{j-1}, \alpha_k)$  produces a valid edge coloring of  $G$ .

If  $z = y_{j-1}$ , then  $\beta \notin C'_{y_{j-1}}$ ,  $\beta \notin C'_{x_0}$ , and the sequential  $f$ -recoloring from  $(e_{j-1}, \beta)$  produces a valid edge coloring of  $G$ .

If  $z \neq x_0, z \neq y_{j-1}$ , then  $\beta \notin C'_{x_0}$ ,  $\beta \notin C'_{y_{j-1}}$ , and the sequential  $f$ -recoloring from  $(e_{k-1}, \beta)$  produces a valid edge coloring of  $G$ .

In each case, we get an edge coloring of  $G$  with  $D + t - 1$  colors. QED.

**Corollary 1** (Fournier [2]). Let  $G$  be a multigraph of maximum degree  $\Delta$  and of multiplicity  $p$ . If the set of vertices of maximum degree is independent, then  $\Delta + p - 1$  colors suffice to color the edge-set of  $G$ .

This follows from Theorem 1 with  $D = \Delta$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $G$  be a multigraph of maximum degree  $\Delta$  and of multiplicity  $p$ , and let  $M$  be a maximal matching of  $G$ . The edges of  $G$  can be colored with  $\Delta + p$  colors so that all the edges in  $M$  get the same color.

In the multigraph  $G - M$  obtained from  $G$  by removing the edges of  $M$ , the vertices of degree  $\Delta$  constitute an independent set (or an empty set), because of the maximality of  $M$ . By Corollary 1, the edges of  $G - M$  can be colored with  $\Delta + p - 1$  colors, and with one extra color for  $M$ , we obtain an edge coloring with  $\Delta + p$  colors.

**Remark.** The proof of this theorem provides a fast (and simple) algorithm for the edge-coloring with only  $\Delta + p$  colors. It suffices to use the first color for the edges of some maximal matching  $M$ , and to assign one of the remaining  $\Delta + p - 1$  colors to each edge of  $G - M$  as long as it does not

violate the validity law of an edge-coloring. If an edge  $e_0$  cannot be colored, we achieve the sequence  $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}$ , and one interchange followed by the sequential recoloring provides a better edge-coloring. If the number of edges of  $G$  is  $m$ , the number of elementary operations involved for  $e_0 = [x, y]$  is at most  $O(d_G(x)) + O(m)$ . For all the edges incident to a vertex  $x$ , the number of operations is at most

$$d_G(x)\{O[d_G(x)] + O(m)\} = O[d_G(x)^2] + d_G(x)O(m).$$

For all the edges of  $G$ , the number of operations is at most

$$\sum O[d_G(x)^2] + \left\{ \sum d_G(x) \right\} O(m) = O \left[ \sum d_G(x)^2 \right] + 2mO(m)$$

(the sum is over all the vertices  $x$  of  $G$ ).

Since  $\sum d_G(x)^2 \leq [\sum d_G(x)]^2 = 4m^2$ , we have  $O[\sum d_G(x)^2] = O(m^2)$ ; therefore the total number of operations is at most  $O(m^2) + O(m^2) = O(m^2)$ . Thus, the algorithm is polynomial (but it does not necessarily give an optimal coloring if the graph is of class 1).

#### References

- [1] J.C. Fournier, Colorations des arêtes d'un graphe. *Cahiers du CERO* (Bruxelles) **15** (1973), 311–314.
- [2] J.C. Fournier, Méthode et théorème général de coloration des arêtes d'un multigraphe. *J. Math. pures appl.* **56** (1977) 437–453.
- [3] J.C. Fournier, Un théorème général de coloration. *Problèmes combinatoires en Théorie des Graphes*. Edition du CNRS (1978) 153–155.
- [4] R.P. Gupta, The Chromatic index and the degree of a graph. *Notices AMS* **13** (1966) 719.
- [5] A. J.W. Hilton, On Vizing's upper bound for the chromatic index of a graph. *Cahiers du CERO*, Bruxelles **17** (1975) 225–233.
- [6] V. G. Vizing, The chromatic class of a multigraph [Russian]. *Kibernetika* **3** (1965) 29–39 (*Cybernetics* **3** (1965) 32–41).

Re  
K<sub>1</sub>,

ABSTR

A graph  
We pro  
mum d  
an  $r$ -fa  
droppe

1. INT

In this  
multipl  
vertice  
of  $V(G)$   
 $A = \{x$   
degree  
If  $S \subset$   
tions a

A g  
graph.  
follow

Theor  
has a

Journa  
© 1991