Optimizing Sorting with Machine Learning Algorithms

Xiaoming Li*, María Jesús Garzarán, and David Padua University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign





Autonomic code generation

- Automatically produces efficient implementations for a wide range of platforms
- Related works
 - PhiPAC (Berkeley), ATLAS (Tennessee)
 - Basic Linear Algebra Routines (BLAS)
 - Spiral (CMU), FFTW (MIT)
 - Signal Processing Algorithms

Autonomic Code Generation



Opportunities for improvement

- Adapt to input characteristics
 - When the performance depends on inputs

Contributions of this project

- Apply machine learning techniques to generate code that adapts to input data characteristics
 - At runtime, select one of a few algorithms
 - Combine algorithms to generate new algorithms.

How to generate efficient sorting routines?

Selection of the best sorting routine



Sorting routine candidates

- Quicksort
- Multi-way Merge Sort
- Radix Sort

Learn linear separable function



Experiment platforms

- IBM Power3
- IBM Power4
- Intel Itanium 2
- Intel Xeon
- Sun UltraSparcIII
- SGI R12k
- AMD Athlon MP

Results on IBM Power3

IBM Power3



Generate efficient hybrid code

- Abstract basic operations
 - sorting primitives
- Build hybrid sorting routines from primitives
 - Adapt to architectural features and input characteristics

Abstract sorting primitives • Partitioning methods

- Divide-with-pivot (DP)
- Divide-into-block (DB)rom Quicksort
- Divide-by-digit-from^EI@AtM@DR)e Sort
- Divide-by-digit-in-middle (DRU) From Radix Sor
- How to choose a partitioning method
 - Using the size of the partition (BN)
 - Using the entropy of the partition (BE)

Example of hybrid sorting



Hybrid algorithms complicate partition



Build the best sorting routine

- Challenges
 - Huge number of possible sorting routines
 - Adapt to architectures and inputs in regions
- Use machine learning algorithms to guide the synthesis
 - XCS, a Learning Classifier System

Synthesize hybrid sorting routines



Synthesize hybrid sorting routines



Performance



Performance





Summary and future work

- Predict and select the best "pure" sorting algorithm at runtime
 - Accurate prediction with low overhead (~5%)
- Automatically generate hybrid sorting algorithms that outperform all vendor libraries
 - > 20% faster than IBM ESSL using 2% of time