1 Further results

1.1 Graph Problems

For all of the problems listed the model is streaming with edge arrivals and n is the number of vertices.

- 1. For the MAXIMAL MATCHING PROBLEM Estandiari et al. [6] gives an algorithm that, with high probability, approximates the size of a maximum matching within a constant factor using $\tilde{O}(n^{2/3})$ space.
- 2. For the WEIGHTED MAXIMAL MATCHING PROBLEM Crouch & Stubbs [4] gives a $(4+\epsilon)$ approximation algorithm which applies in semistreaming, sliding window, and MapReduce models. Chen et al. [2] studied this problem in the 1-pass model.
- 3. The PARAMETERIZED VERTEX COVER WITH PARAMETER k was studied by Chitnis et al. [3]. They proved a tight lower bound on the space of $\Omega(k^2)$ for randomized streaming algorithm.
- 4. MINIMUM SPANNING TREE ESTIMATION: Given a weighted undirected graph and ϵ , find a spanning tree that has weight $\leq (1 + \epsilon)$ OPT where OPT is the weight of the minimal spanning tree. Assadi & N [1] proved that any algorithm that use $n^{o(1)}$ space requires $\Omega(1/\epsilon)$ passes. The result still holds if the weights are constant.
- 5. ϵ -CONNECTIVITY: If at least $\epsilon \cdot n$ edges need to be inserted into G to make it connected, G is said to be ϵ -far from being connected. Assadi & N [1] proved that any algorithm that use $n^{o(1)}$ space requires $\Omega(1/\epsilon)$ passes.
- 6. CYCLE-FREENESS: If at least $\epsilon \cdot n$ edges need to be deleted from G to remove all its cycles, then G is said to be ϵ -far from being cycle-free. The problem is to determine if a graph is cycle-free or ϵ -far from being cycle-free. Assadi & N [1] proved that any algorithm that use $n^{o(1)}$ space requires $\Omega(1/\epsilon)$ passes.

1.2 Non-Graph Problems

1. The LONGEST INCREASING SUBSEQUENCE: Given an ordered sequence of numbers $\vec{x} = (x_1, ..., x_n)$, find an increasing subsequence that is of maximal length. This is a streaming problem if, as the x_i 's arrive, you decide if they will be in the increasing subsequence or not. Saks & Seshadhri [8] showed that, for all $\delta > 0$, a deterministic, singlepass streaming algorithm for additively approximating this problem to within an additive δn requires $O(\log^2 n/\delta)$ space. They also considered the LONGEST COMMON SUBSEQUENCE problem (Given \vec{x} and \vec{y} find a maximal sequence that is a subsequence of both strings.) and gave an analogous result for that one as well.

- 2. MAXIMUM COVERAGE: Given n, k and a set of m sets $S_i \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, find the k subsets that maximize the size of their union. There is a straightforward greedy $(1 - e^{-1})$ -approximation algorithm that runs in polynomial time. McGregor & Tu [7] give two single-pass streaming algorithms and one multi-pass streaming algorithm for approximations to this problem. For the multi-pass case they also have a lower bound.
 - (a) They have a single-pass streaming algorithm that for a $(1-e^{-1}-\epsilon)$ -approximation that takes $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-2}m)$ space.
 - (b) They have a single-pass streaming algorithm that for a (1ϵ) -approximation that takes $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-2}m\min(k,\epsilon^{-1}))$ space.
 - (c) They have an algorithm that for a $(1 e^{-1} \epsilon)$ -approximation that takes $O(\epsilon^{-1})$ passes and $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-2}k)$ space. They show that any O(1) pass streaming algorithm for an $(1 (1 (1/k)^k) \sim 1 \frac{1}{e})$ requires $\Omega(m)$ space.
- 3. BASIC COUNTING: Given a stream of bits, maintain a count of the number of 1's in the last N elements seen from the stream. Datar et al. [5] showed this problem requires $\Omega(\epsilon^{-1}\log^2 N)$ space for any randomized algorithms.
- 4. SUM: Given a stream of integers in $\{1, \ldots, R\}$, maintain the sum of the last N integers. Data et al. [5] showed that any streaming algorithm for this problem requires space $\Omega(\epsilon^{-1}(\log^N + \log R \log N))$. This and the previous problem relate to computing the L_P norm with an underlying vector that has a single dimension.
- 5. SORTING BY REVERSAL ON SIGNED PERMUTATIONS: Given a data stream of a permutation S on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, a reversal r(i, j) will transfer

 $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ to $(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, -x_j, \ldots, -x_i, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_n)$. Find the minimum number of reversals needed to sort S. Verbin & Yu [9] showed that this problem requires space $\Omega((n/8)^{1-1/t})$ for approximation factor 1 + 1/(4t - 2).

References

- Sepehr Assadi and Vishvajeet N. Graph streaming lower bounds for parameter estimation and property testing via a streaming XOR lemma. In Samir Khuller and Virginia Vassilevska Williams, editors, STOC '21: 53rd Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, Virtual Event, Italy, June 21-25, 2021, pages 612–625. ACM, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1145/3406325.3451110.
- [2] Jianer Chen, Qin Huang, Iyad Kanj, Qian Li, and Ge Xia. Streaming algorithms for graph k-matching with optimal or near-optimal update time. In Hee-Kap Ahn and Kunihiko Sadakane, editors, 32nd International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation, ISAAC 2021, December 6-8, 2021, Fukuoka, Japan, volume 212 of LIPIcs, pages 48:1–48:17. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2021. https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.ISAAC.2021.48.
- [3] Rajesh Hemant Chitnis, Graham Cormode, Mohammad Taghi Haji-aghayi, and Morteza Monemizadeh. Parameterized streaming: Maximal matching and vertex cover. In Piotr Indyk, editor, Proceedings of the Twenty-Sixth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2015, San Diego, CA, USA, January 4-6, 2015, pages 1234–1251. SIAM, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611973730.82.
- [4] Michael S. Crouch and Daniel M. Stubbs. Improved streaming algorithms for weighted matching, via unweighted matching. In Klaus Jansen, José D. P. Rolim, Nikhil R. Devanur, and Cristopher Moore, editors, Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques, APPROX/RANDOM 2014, September 4-6, 2014, Barcelona, Spain, volume 28 of LIPIcs, pages 96–104. Schloss Dagstuhl Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2014. https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.APPROX-RANDOM.2014.96.

- [5] Mayur Datar, Aristides Gionis, Piotr Indyk, and Rajeev Motwani. Maintaining stream statistics over sliding windows. SIAM J. Comput., 31(6):1794–1813, 2002.
 https://doi.org/10.1137/S0097539701398363.
- [6] Hossein Esfandiari, MohammadTaghi Hajiaghayi, Vahid Liaghat, Morteza Monemizadeh, and Krzysztof Onak. Streaming algorithms for estimating the matching size in planar graphs and beyond. ACM Trans. Algorithms, 14(4):48:1–48:23, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1145/3230819.
- [7] Andrew McGregor and Hoa T. Vu. Better streaming algorithms for the maximum coverage problem. *Theory Comput. Syst.*, 63(7):1595-1619, 2019.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00224-018-9878-x.
- [8] Michael E. Saks and C. Seshadhri. Space efficient streaming algorithms for the distance to monotonicity and asymmetric edit distance. In Sanjeev Khanna, editor, Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2013, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, January 6-8, 2013, pages 1698–1709. SIAM, 2013. https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611973105.122.
- [9] Elad Verbin and Wei Yu. The streaming complexity of cycle counting, sorting by reversals, and other problems. In Dana Randall, editor, Proceedings of the Twenty-Second Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2011, San Francisco, California, USA, January 23-25, 2011, pages 11–25. SIAM, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611973082.2.