

On Quadruples of Consecutive kth Power Residues Author(s): R. L. Graham Source: Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 15, No. 2 (Apr., 1964), pp. 196-197 Published by: American Mathematical Society Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/2034033</u> Accessed: 01/04/2011 17:07

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <a href="http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp">http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp</a>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=ams.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Mathematical Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society.

# ON QUADRUPLES OF CONSECUTIVE *k*th POWER RESIDUES

### R. L. GRAHAM

In a recent paper of D. H. and Emma Lehmer [2], the function  $\Lambda(k, m)$  was defined (for arbitrary integers k and m) as follows:

Let p be a sufficiently large prime and let r = r(k, m, p) be the least positive integer such that

$$r, r+1, r+2, \cdots, r+m-1$$

are all congruent modulo p to kth powers of positive integers. Define

$$\Lambda(k, m) = \limsup_{p \to \infty} r(k, m, p).$$

In [2] it was shown that  $\Lambda(k, 4) = \infty$  for  $k \leq 1048909$  and it was conjectured that  $\Lambda(k, 4) = \infty$  for all k. In this paper we establish this conjecture with the following

THEOREM.  $\Lambda(k, 4) = \infty$ .

PROOF. It suffices to prove the theorem for values of k which are prime. The proof makes use of the following proposition which is a special case of a result of Kummer [1] (see also [3]).

PROPOSITION. Let k be a prime and let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  be an arbitrary sequence of kth roots of unity. Then there exist infinitely many primes p with corresponding kth power character  $\chi$  modulo p such that

$$\chi(p_i) = \gamma_i, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where  $p_i$  denotes the *i*th prime.

Thus, for any n and prime k, there exists a prime p with corresponding kth power character  $\chi$  modulo p such that

$$\chi(2) \neq 1,$$
  
 $\chi(p_i) = 1, \qquad 2 \leq i \leq n.$ 

Now consider any four consecutive positive integers all less than  $p_n$ . It is clear that exactly one of these integers must equal 2(2d+1) for some integer d. But we have

$$\chi(2(2d+1)) = \chi(2)\chi(2d+1) = \chi(2) \cdot 1 \neq 1$$

since 2d+1 is the product of odd primes less than  $p_n$ . Therefore

Received by the editors December 29, 1962.

2(2d+1) is not a kth power residue modulo p. Since n was arbitrary then  $\Lambda(k, 4) = \infty$ . This proves the theorem.

#### References

1. E. Kummer, Abh. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (1859).

2. D. H. and E. Lehmer, On runs of residues, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962), 102-106.

3. W. H. Mills, Characters with preassigned values, Canad. J. Math. 15 (1963), 169-171.

Bell Telephone Laboratories

# ON DECOMPOSITIONS OF PARTIALLY ORDERED SETS

## E. S. WOLK

1. Introduction. Let P be a set which is partially ordered by a relation  $\leq$ . A decomposition  $\mathfrak{D}$  of P is a family of mutually disjoint nonempty chains in P such that  $P = \bigcup \{C: C \in \mathfrak{D}\}$ . Two elements x, y of P are *incomparable* if and only if  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$ . A totally unordered set in P is a subset in which every two different elements are incomparable. We denote the cardinal number of a set S by |S|.

Dilworth [1] has proved the following well-known decomposition theorem.

THEOREM 1 (DILWORTH). Let P be a partially ordered set, and suppose that n is a positive integer such that

 $n = \max \{ |A| : A \text{ is a totally unordered subset of } P \}.$ 

Then there is a decomposition  $\mathfrak{D}$  of P with  $|\mathfrak{D}| = n$ .

It is natural to ask whether, in this theorem, the positive integer n may be replaced by an infinite cardinal number. However, the theorem is no longer valid in this case, as is shown by an example in [3] which is due in essence of Sierpinski [2]. In this example P is a set of pairs which represents a 1-1 mapping from  $\omega_1$ , the first uncountable ordinal, into the real numbers.  $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2)$  is defined by:  $x_1 \leq x_2$  (as ordinals) and  $y_1 \leq y_2$  (as real numbers). The purpose of this note is to show that a similar idea leads, given any infinite cardinal k, to

Received by the editors December 28, 1962.