## Open Problems Column Edited by William Gasarch This Issue's Column!

This issue's Open Problem Column is by William Gasarch, Nathan Hayes, Anthony Ostuni, and Davin Park. It is *The complexity of chromatic number when restricted to graphs with either bounded genus or bounded crossing number*.

### Request for Columns!

I invite any reader who has knowledge of some area to contact me and arrange to write a column about open problems in that area. That area can be:

- 1. Broad, narrow, or anywhere in between.
- 2. Important, unimportant, or anywhere in between.

# The Complexity of Chromatic Number When Restricted to graphs with Bounded Genus or Bounded Crossing Number

William Gasarch\*

University of Maryland at College Park gasarch@umd.edu

Anthony Ostuni<sup>‡</sup>

University of California, San Diego aostuni@ucsd.edu Nathan Hayes<sup>†</sup>

University of Maryland at College Park nzhfold@comcast.net

Davin Park§

University of Maryland at College Park dpark3542@gmail.com

January 4, 2025

# 1 Introduction

Convention 1.1 Throughout this paper if G = (V, E) is a graph then n = |V| and m = |E|.

**Def 1.2** Let G = (V, E) be a graph. The *chromatic number of* G is the least c such that V can be c-colored in such a way that no two adjacent vertices have the same color. We denote this by  $\chi(G)$ .

**Def 1.3** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a class of graphs and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . The problem

$$\{G: (G \in \mathcal{G}) \land (\chi(G) \le r)\}$$

is trivial if  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{P}$  and all graphs in  $\mathcal{G}$  have  $\chi(G) \leq r$ .

We present a known theorem with the following authors. Part 1 was proven by Hopcroft and Tarjan [10]. Part 2 is easy. Part 3 was proven by Garey, Johnson, and Stockmeyer [6]. Part 4 was proven by Appel, Haken, and Koch [2, 3].

<sup>\*</sup>Dept. of Comp. Sci., Univ. of Maryland, MD 20742

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Dept. of Comp Sci, Univ. of Maryland, MD, 20742

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Dept. of Comp. Sci. and Engineering, Univ. of California, San Diego, CA, 92023

<sup>§</sup>Dept. of Comp. Sci., Univ. of Maryland, MD, 20742

### Theorem 1.4

1. The following problem is in O(n) time:  $\{G: G \text{ is planar}\}$ . Hence if

$$\{G: G \text{ is planar and } \chi(G) \leq r\}$$

is NP-complete, the difficulty lies in the coloring, not the planarity.

- 2.  $\{G : \chi(G) \le 2\}$  is in P.
- 3.  $\{G: G \text{ is planar and } \chi(G) \leq 3\}$  is NP-complete.
- 4. If G is planar then  $\chi(G) \leq 4$ . Hence  $\{G : G \text{ is planar and } \chi(G) \leq 4\}$  is trivial.

What about other restrictions on graphs?

**Def 1.5** Let G = (V, E) be a graph. The *genus of* G is the least g such that G can be drawn on a sphere with g handles with no edges crossing. Note that a planar graph has genus 0. We denote the genus of G by g(G).

**Def 1.6** Let G = (V, E) be a graph. The crossing number of G is the least c such that G can be drawn in the plane with c edges crossing. Note that a planar graph has crossing number 0. We denote the crossing number of G by cr(G).

In this paper we raise the following questions:

1. For which (g, r) is the following problem in P? NP-complete? Trivial?

$$\{G : (g(G) < q) \land (\chi(G) < r)\}.$$

2. For which (c, r) is the following problem in P? NP-complete? Trivial?

$$\{G: (\operatorname{cr}(G) \leq c) \wedge (\chi(G) \leq r)\}.$$

The following theorem will help us focus on where the complexity of these problems lies.

### Theorem 1.7 Let $c, g \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- 1.  $\{G: (g(G) \leq g)\}$  is in P. Hence the difficulty of the coloring problem restricted to graphs of genus  $\leq g$  lies in the coloring, not the genus.
- 2.  $\{G: (\operatorname{cr}(G) \leq c)\}$  is in P. Hence the difficulty of the coloring problem restricted to graphs of crossing number  $\leq c$  lies in the coloring, not the crossing number.

We will first prove a very general theorem that will allow us to prove many coloring problems graphs are in P. We will then consider the cases of bounded genus and bounded crossing number separately and state which problems are in P, NP-complete, trivial, and open.

# **2** Graphs with $m \le \frac{10n}{3} + O(1)$

Reminder If G = (V, E) then |V| = n and |E| = m.

**Def 2.1** A graph H is r-critical if  $\chi(H) = r$  but for all subgraphs H' of H,  $\chi(H') \leq r - 1$ .

Kostochka and Yancey [12] proved the following.

**Theorem 2.2** Let H = (V, E). Let  $r \ge 4$ .

1. If H is r-critical then

$$m \ge \left\lceil \frac{(r+1)(r-2)n - r(r-3)}{2(r-1)} \right\rceil.$$

2. Let  $r \geq 7$ . If H is r-critical then  $m \geq \frac{10n}{3} - \frac{5}{3}$ . (Follows from Part 1.)

**Def 2.3** A class of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$  is *awesome* if the following three hold:

- 1.  $\mathcal{G}$  is in P.
- 2.  $\mathcal{G}$  is closed under subgraphs.
- 3. There exists  $\alpha < \frac{10}{3}$  and  $\beta$  such that, for all  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $m \leq \alpha n + \beta$ .

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $c \geq 0$ , and  $r \geq 7$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an awesome class of graphs with parameters  $\alpha, \beta$ . Let

$$A = \frac{(7/3) + \beta}{(10/3) - \alpha}.$$

- 1. For all r-critical  $H \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $n \leq A$ .
- 2. If  $\chi(G) \geq r$  then there is a subgraph H of G on  $\leq A$  vertices such that  $\chi(H) \geq r$ .
- 3. If for all subgraphs H of G on  $\leq A$  vertices,  $\chi(H) \leq r-1$ , then  $\chi(G) \leq r-1$ . This is just the contrapositive of Part 2.

### **Proof:**

1) Since  $H \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $m \leq \alpha n + \beta$ . By Theorem 2.2.2,  $m \geq \frac{10n}{3} - \frac{7}{3}$ . Hence

$$\frac{10n}{3} - \frac{7}{3} \le m \le \alpha n + \beta$$

By algebra we get  $n \leq A$ .

2) Let H be a r-critical subgraph of G. By Part 1, H has  $\leq A$  vertices. Since H is r-critical  $\chi(H)=r$ .

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an awesome set of graphs and let  $r \geq 7$ . The following problem is in P:

$$\{G: (G \in \mathcal{G}) \land (\chi(G) \le r - 1)\}.$$

### **Proof:**

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be awesome with parameters  $\alpha, \beta$ . Let  $A = \frac{(7/3) + \beta}{(10/3) - \alpha}$ .

- 1. Input G.
- 2. Test if  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  (this can be done in polynomial time since  $\mathcal{G}$  is awesome). If  $G \notin \mathcal{G}$  then output NO and halt.
- 3. For all subgraphs H of G on  $\leq A$  vertices determine if  $\chi(H) \leq r-1$  by brute force. Note that there are  $\sum_{i=0}^{A} \binom{n}{i} \leq n^{A+1}$  such subgraphs to check and each check takes  $\leq (r-1)^A \leq r^A$  steps to check, so the total time used is  $\leq r^A n^{A+1}$ , a polynomial.
- 4. If there is a subgraph H with  $\chi(H) \geq r$  then  $\chi(G) \geq r$  so the answer is NO. If there is no such subgraph H then, by Lemma 2.4.3,  $\chi(G) \leq r 1$  so the answer is YES.

# 3 Graphs with Bounded Genus

Reminder If G = (V, E) then |V| = n and |E| = m.

# **3.1** If $r \ge 6$ then $\chi(G) \le r$ is in P

Mohar [13, 14] proved the following.

**Theorem 3.1** Fix g. The following problem is in O(n) time (the constant will depend on g):  $\{G: g(G) \leq g\}$ .

Hence if

$$\{G: (\operatorname{g}(G) \leq g) \wedge (\chi(G) \leq r)\}$$

is NP-complete, the difficulty will lie in the coloring, not the bound on g(G).

The following theorem is well known. We include it and its proof for completeness.

**Theorem 3.2** Let G be a graph. If  $g(G) \leq g$  then  $m \leq 3n + 6g - 6$ .

**Proof:** Let G be a graph of genus g. Draw it on a surface of genus g. Let f be the number of faces. Euler showed that

$$n - m + f = 2 - 2g.$$

Let  $F_1, \ldots, F_f$  be the faces and let  $m_i$  be the number of edges bounding face  $F_i$ . Note that  $m_i \geq 3$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^f m_i$  counts every edge twice. Hence

$$2m = \sum_{i=1}^{f} m_i \ge \sum_{i=1}^{f} 3 = 3f$$

so  $f \leq \frac{2m}{3}$ . Hence

$$2 - 2g = n - m + f \le n - m + \frac{2m}{3} = n - \frac{m}{3}.$$

By algebra we get  $m \le 3n + 6g - 6$ .

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $g \ge 0$  and  $r \ge 5$ . The following problem is in P:

$$\{G: (g(G) \le g) \land (\chi(G) \le r)\}.$$

**Proof:** We consider the  $r \ge 6$  case and the r = 5 case separately.

 $r \geq 6$ :

We first show that  $\mathcal{G} = \{G : g(G) \leq g\}$  is an awesome set (see Definition 2.3). We go through all three properties needed.

- By Theorem 3.1,  $\mathcal{G} \in P$ .
- Clearly if  $g(G) \leq g$  and H is a subgraph of G, then  $g(H) \leq g$ .
- Let  $\alpha = 3$  and  $\beta = 6g 6$ . By Theorem 3.2  $m \le 3n + (6g 6)$  where m is the number of edges in G. Hence G satisfies the third condition of being awasome with  $\alpha = 3$  and  $\beta = 6g 6$ .

By Theorem 2.5, if  $\mathcal{G}$  is an awesome set of graphs and  $r \geq 6$  then

$$\{G: (G \in \mathcal{G}) \land (\chi(G) \le r)\} \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Hence

$$\{G: (g(G) \le g) \land (\chi(G) \le r)\} \in \mathcal{P}.$$

r = 5:

Thomassen [23] (see also the book by Mohar and Thomassen [16, Corollary 8.4.9]) proved that

$$\{G: (g(G) \le g) \land (\chi(G) \le 5)\} \in \mathcal{P}.$$

The proof is rather difficult. They do not give a time bound.

### 3.2 When is the Problem Trivial?

For the next theorem: Heawood [9] proved the  $g \ge 1$  case of Part 1; however, Appel-Haken-Koch [2, 3] proved the g = 0 case (this is the 4-color theorem). Ringel and Young [19] proved Part 2.

### Theorem 3.4

1. If G is a graph of genus g then

$$\chi(G) \le \left| \frac{7 + \sqrt{49 - 24(2 - 2g)}}{2} \right|.$$

(The quantity 2-2g is the Euler Characteristic, denoted e(G), hence this theorem is often stated with e(G) instead of 2-2g.)

2. For  $g \ge 0$  there exists a graph of genus g such that

$$\chi(G) \ge \left| \frac{7 + \sqrt{49 - 24(2 - 2g)}}{2} \right|.$$

Hence the bound in Part 1 is tight.

# 3.3 Summary of What is Known for Bounded Genus

We summarize the complexity of the set

$$\mathrm{COL}_{g,r} = \{G : (\mathrm{g}(G) \leq g) \land (\chi(G) \leq r)\}.$$

- 1. If r=2 and  $g\geq 0$  then  $\mathrm{COL}_{g,r}$  is in P. (Theorem 1.4.2 and Theorem 1.7)
- 2. If r = 3 and  $g \ge 0$  then  $COL_{g,r}$  is NP-complete. (Theorem 1.4.3 shows the g = 0 case is NP-complete.)
- 3. If r = 4 and g = 0 then  $COL_{g,r}$  is trivial. (Theorem 1.4.4)
- 4. If r = 5 and  $g \ge 0$  then  $COL_{g,r}$  is in P. (Theorem 3.3)
- 5. If  $r \geq 6$  then  $\mathrm{COL}_{g,r} \in \mathcal{P}$ , but see next point. (Theorem 3.3)

6. For 
$$g \ge 0$$
, for  $r \ge \left| \frac{7 + \sqrt{49 - 24(2 - 2g)}}{2} \right|$ ,  $COL_{g,r}$  is trivial. (Theorem 3.4)

7. For 
$$g \ge 0$$
, for  $r = \left\lfloor \frac{7 + \sqrt{49 - 24(2 - 2g)}}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$ ,  $COL_{g,r}$  is not trivial. (Theorem 3.4)

Erman et al. [5, Problem 6.4] asks about genus  $g \ge 1$  and 4-coloring. Here is a quote from that paper:

For any fixed surface, does there exist a polynomial time algorithm for deciding, given a graph G that is embeddable on this surface, is  $\chi(G) \leq 4$ ?

Mohar and Thomassen [16, Problem 8.4.10] also ask about genus  $g \ge 1$  and 4-coloring. Here is a quote from that book:

Let S be a fixed surface. Does there exist a polynomially bounded algorithm for deciding if a graph on surface S can be 4-colored?

# 4 Graphs with Bounded Crossing Number

For more information about crossing numbers and proofs of some of the theorems we state, see the book by Schaefer [22] and/or the survey by Schaefer [21].

Kawarabayashi and Reed [11] proved the following.

**Theorem 4.1** Fix c. The following problem is in O(n) time (the constant will depend on c):

$$\{G:\operatorname{cr}(G)\leq c\}.$$

Theorem 4.1 is important for locating why

$$\{G: (\operatorname{cr}(G) \leq c) \wedge (\chi(G) \leq r)\}$$

is hard, if it is hard. More precisely, if that set is NP-complete, the difficulty will lie in the coloring, not the bound on cr(G).

We will be able to use some of the results about genus in our study of crossing numbers by using the following known (and easy) lemma.

**Lemma 4.2** For all graphs G,  $g(G) \leq cr(G)$ .

# 4.1 If $r \geq 5$ then $\chi(G) \leq r$ is in P

Reminder If G = (V, E) then |V| = n and |E| = m.

**Theorem 4.3** Fix c. Fix  $r \geq 5$ . The set

$$\{G: (\operatorname{cr}(G) \leq c) \wedge (\chi(G) \leq r)\}$$

is in P.

**Proof:** The following is a polynomial time algorithm for the problem.

- 1. Input G.
- 2. Test if  $cr(G) \leq c$  (this can be done in linear time by Theorem 4.1). If NO then output NO and halt.
- 3. (If the algorithm got here then  $\operatorname{cr}(G) \leq c$ . By Lemma 4.2,  $\operatorname{g}(G) \leq c$ .) Run the algorithm from Theorem 3.3 to determine if  $\chi(G) \leq r$ .

# **4.2** If $r \geq 6$ then $\chi(G) \leq r$ is in P

We give an alternative proof of Theorem 4.3 in the  $r \geq 6$  case. The following theorem is well known. We include it and its proof for completeness.

**Theorem 4.4** If G is a graph with  $cr(G) \le c$  then  $m \le 3n + c$ .

**Proof:** Take graph G and, for each crossing, remove one of the edges. The resulting graph is planar, so the number of edges is bounded by thrice the number of vertices. Hence  $m-c \leq 3n$ , so  $m \leq 3n+c$ .

**Theorem 4.5** Let  $c \ge 0$  and  $r \ge 6$ . The following problem is in P:

$$\{G: (\operatorname{cr}(G) \leq c) \wedge (\chi(G) \leq r)\}.$$

**Proof:** We show that  $\mathcal{G} = \{G : \operatorname{cr}(G) \leq c\}$  is an awesome set and then apply Theorem 2.5. By Theorem 4.1,  $\mathcal{G} \in P$ . Clearly if  $\operatorname{cr}(G) \leq c$  and H is a subgraph of G, then  $\operatorname{cr}(H) \leq c$ . By Theorem 4.4 we can take  $\alpha = 3$  and  $\beta = c$ .

### 4.3 When is the Problem Trivial?

There is no known analog of Theorem 3.4 for the crossing number. Hence we do not know the exact cutoff for when a graph coloring problem becomes trivial.

The crossing number of  $K_n$  is not known; however, Harary and Hill [8]<sup>1</sup>, Saaty [20], and Guy [7] have independently made the following conjecture:

Conjecture 4.6 
$$\operatorname{cr}(K_n) = \frac{1}{4} \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor$$
.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Mohar [15] claims that Hill made the conjecture in the 1950's

Guy proved the conjecture for  $1 \le n \le 10$ . Pan and Richter [18] proved it for n = 11 and n = 12. Hence we have the following table

													12
$cr(K_n)$	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	18	36	60	100	150

At an AMS special session in Chicago in October 2007, Albertson made the following conjecture. We include both the conjecture and its contrapositive.

### Conjecture 4.7

$$\chi(G) \ge r \implies \operatorname{cr}(G) \ge \operatorname{cr}(K_r).$$

The contrapositive of the conjecture is:

$$\operatorname{cr}(G) \le \operatorname{cr}(K_r) - 1 \implies \chi(G) \le r - 1.$$

Since  $\operatorname{cr}(K_0) = \operatorname{cr}(K_1) = \operatorname{cr}(K_2) = \operatorname{cr}(K_3) = \operatorname{cr}(K_4) = 0$  the conjecture is vacuously true for  $0 \le r \le 4$ . Hence we only consider the conjecture when  $r \ge 5$ .

Albertson's conjecture has been proven for  $5 \le r \le 16$ . As noted above, for  $5 \le r \le 12$ , we know the value of  $\operatorname{cr}(K_r)$ . We combine the known values of  $\operatorname{cr}(K_r)$  with the known cases of the conjecture to form the following table.

r	statement	Who proved it
5	$\operatorname{cr}(G) \le 0 \implies \chi(G) \le 4$	This is the 4-color theorem
6	$\operatorname{cr}(G) \le 2 \implies \chi(G) \le 5$	Oporowski and Zhao [17]
7	$\operatorname{cr}(G) \le 9 \implies \chi(G) \le 6$	Albertson, Cranston, Fox [1]
8	$\operatorname{cr}(G) \le 18 \implies \chi(G) \le 7$	Albertson, Cranston, Fox [1]
9	$\operatorname{cr}(G) \le 36 \implies \chi(G) \le 8$	Albertson, Cranston, Fox [1]
10	$\operatorname{cr}(G) \le 60 \implies \chi(G) \le 9$	Albertson, Cranston, Fox [1]
11	$\operatorname{cr}(G) \le 100 \implies \chi(G) \le 10$	Albertson, Cranston, Fox [1]
12	$\operatorname{cr}(G) \le 150 \implies \chi(G) \le 11$	Albertson, Cranston, Fox [1]
13	$\operatorname{cr}(G) \le \operatorname{cr}(K_{13}) \implies \chi(G) \le 12$	Barát and Tóth [4]
14	$\operatorname{cr}(G) \le \operatorname{cr}(K_{14}) \implies \chi(G) \le 13$	Barát and Tóth [4]
15	$\operatorname{cr}(G) \le \operatorname{cr}(K_{15}) \implies \chi(G) \le 14$	Barát and Tóth [4]
16	$\operatorname{cr}(G) \le \operatorname{cr}(K_{16}) \implies \chi(G) \le 15$	Barát and Tóth [4]

# 4.4 Summary of What is Known for Bounded Crossing Number

We summarize the complexity of the set

$$\mathrm{COL}_{c,r} = \{G : (\mathrm{cr}(G) \le c) \land (\chi(G) \le r)\}.$$

1. If r=2 and  $c\geq 0$  then  $\mathrm{COL}_{c,r}$  is in P. (Theorem 1.4.2 and Theorem 1.7).

- 2. If r = 3 and  $c \ge 0$  then  $COL_{c,r}$  is NP-complete. (Theorem 1.4.3 shows the g = 0 case is NP-complete.)
- 3. If r = 4 and c = 0 then  $COL_{c,r}$  is trivial. (Theorem 1.4.4)
- 4. If r=4 and  $c\geq 1$  then the complexity of  $\mathrm{COL}_{c,r}$  is open.
- 5. If r = 5 and  $c \ge 0$  then  $\mathrm{COL}_{c,r}$  is in P. (Theorem 4.3)
- 6. If  $r \geq 6$  and  $c \geq 0$  then  $COL_{c,r} \in P$ , but see next four points. (Theorem 4.5)
- 7. If  $c \in \{1, 2\}$  and r = 5 then  $COL_{c,r}$  is trivial.
- 8. If  $c \in \{3, \ldots, 9\}$  and r = 6 then  $COL_{c,r}$  is trivial.
- 9. If  $c \in \{10, \ldots, 18\}$  and r = 7 then  $COL_{c,r}$  is trivial.
- 10. More results like the last three can be derived from the table.

# 5 Open Problems

Reminder If G = (V, E) then |V| = n and |E| = m.

- 1. The problems we stated were in P used Theorem 2.2, Theorem 4.3, or Theorem 3.3. The complete proofs are difficult. Are there easier proofs? Are there more efficient algorithms?
- 2. Let r = 4 and  $g \ge 1$ . What is the complexity of

$$\{G: (\mathrm{g}(G) \leq g) \land (\chi(G) \leq r)\}?$$

3. Let r = 4 and  $c \ge 1$ . What is the complexity of

$$\{G: (\operatorname{cr}(G) \leq c) \wedge (\chi(G) \leq r)\}?$$

4. Determine for which c, r the set

$$\{G: (\operatorname{cr}(G) \leq c) \wedge (\chi(G) \leq r)\}$$

is trivial.

5. Theorem 2.5 applies to classes of graphs with  $m \leq \frac{10n}{3} + O(1)$ ; however, we only applied it to classes of graphs with  $m \leq 3m + O(1)$ . Find an interesting class of graphs with  $m \leq \alpha n + \beta$  where  $3 < \alpha < \frac{10}{3}$  that we can apply the theorem to.

# 6 Acknowledgement

We thank Jacob Fox for the proof of Theorem 3.3, Marcus Schaefer for the proof of Theorem 4.5, and both for helpful conversations. We thank Matt Kovacs-Deak for proofreading and discussion.

# References

- [1] M. O. Albertson, D. W. Cranston, and J. Fox. Crossings, colorings, and cliques. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 16(1), 2009. http://math.mit.edu/~fox/paper-crossingcoloring.pdf.
- [2] K. Appel and W. Haken. Every planar map is four colorable I: Discharging. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 21:429-490, 1977. https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ijm/1256049011.
- [3] K. Appel, W. Haken, and J. Koch. Every planar map is four colorable II: Reducibility. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 21:491–567, 1977. https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ijm/1256049012.
- [4] J. Barát and G. Tóth. Towards the Albertson conjecture. *Electronic Journal Combinatorics*, 17(1), 2010. https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.0413.
- [5] R. Erman, F. Havet, B. Lidický, and O. Pangrác. 5-coloring graphs with 4 crossings. SIAM J. Discret. Math., 25(1):401-422, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1137/100784059.
- [6] M. R. Garey, D. S. Johnson, and L. J. Stockmeyer. Some simplified NP-complete graph problems. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 1(3):237–267, 1976.
- [7] R. Guy. Crossing numbers of graphs. In Y. Alavi, D. Lick, and A. White, editors, *Graph theory and applications: Proceedings of the Conference at Western Michigan University*, *Kalamazoo*, *Michigan*, *May 10-13*, 1972, pages 111–124. Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [8] F. Harary and A. Hill. On the number of crossings in a complete graph. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, 2:333–338, 1962-1963.
- [9] P. J. Heawood. Map-colour theorem. Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, pages 332–338, 1890.
- [10] Hopcroft and Tarjan. A  $v^2$  algorithm for determining isomorphism of planar graphs. Information Processing Letters, 1:32–34, 1971.

- [11] K. Kawarabayashi and B. A. Reed. Computing crossing number in linear time. In D. S. Johnson and U. Feige, editors, Proceedings of the 39th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, San Diego, California, USA, June 11-13, 2007, pages 382–390. ACM, 2007.
  - https://doi.org/10.1145/1250790.1250848.
- [12] A. V. Kostochka and M. P. Yancey. Ore's conjecture on color-critical graphs is almost true. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 109:73–101, 2014. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1209.1050.pdf.
- [13] B. Mohar. Embedding graphs in an arbitrary surface in linear time. In G. L. Miller, editor, *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA, May 22-24, 1996*, pages 392–397. ACM, 1996.
  - https://doi.org/10.1145/237814.237986.
- [14] B. Mohar. A linear time algorithm for embedding graphs in an arbitrary surface. SIAM J. Discret. Math., 12(1):6–26, 1999. https://doi.org/10.1137/S089548019529248X.
- [15] B. Mohar. On a conjecture by Anthony Hill, 2020. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2009.03418.pdf.
- [16] B. Mohar and C. Thomassen. *Graphs and Surfaces*. John Hopkins University Press, 2001.
- [17] B. Oporowski and D. Zhao. Coloring graphs with crossings. *Discret. Math.*, 309(9):2948–2951, 2009.
- [18] S. Pan and R. B. Richter. The crossing number of  $K_{11}$  is 100. Journal of Graph theory, 100(56), 2007. http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/~brichter/pubs/crK11.pdf.
- [19] G. Ringel and J. W. T. Young. Solution of the Heawood map colouring problem. *Proc.* of the National Academy of Science (USA), 60:438–445, 1967.
- [20] T. Saaty. The minimum number of intersections in complete graphs. *Proc. of the National Academy of Science (USA)*, 52:688–690, 1964.
- [21] M. Schaefer. The graph crossing number and its variants: a survey. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, Dynamic Survey, 2009.
- [22] M. Schaefer. Crossing numbers of graphs. CRC Press, 2017.
- [23] C. Thomassen. Color-critical graphs on a fixed surface. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory* (Series B), 70:67–100, 1997.