

In fact, because  $\otimes$  is bilinear, it does not matter what bases we use to define the tensor product.

Theorem Let  $V_1, V_2$  be vector spaces with bases  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$ , resp.  
 Let  $V_1 \otimes V_2 = \text{span} \{v_1 \otimes v_2 : v_1 \in \gamma_1, v_2 \in \gamma_2\}$ .  
 Let  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  be bases for  $V_1, V_2$ , resp.  
 Then  $\{v_1 \otimes v_2 : v_1 \in \beta_1, v_2 \in \beta_2\} \stackrel{!!}{=} \beta_1 \otimes \beta_2$  is also a basis for  $V_1 \otimes V_2$ .

Proof:

We must show that  $\beta$  spans  $V_1 \otimes V_2$ , and is linearly independent.

Span: Let  $v \in V_1 \otimes V_2$ .

Since  $\gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2$  is a basis for  $V_1 \otimes V_2$ , we have

$$v = \sum_{j,k} a_{jk} x_{1j} \otimes x_{2k}$$

for some  $a_{jk}$ ,  $j=1,2,\dots, \dim V_1$ ,  $k=1,2,\dots, \dim V_2$   
 where  $x_{1j} \in \gamma_1, x_{2k} \in \gamma_2$

Since  $\beta_1$  is a basis for  $V_1$  and  $\beta_2$  is a basis for  $V_2$ ,  
 $x_{1j} = \sum_l b_{jl} y_{1l}, x_{2k} = \sum_m c_{km} y_{2m}$

for some  $b_{jl}, l=1,2,\dots, \dim V_1$ ,  
 $c_{km}, m=1,2,\dots, \dim V_2$   
 where  $y_{1l} \in \beta_1, y_{2m} \in \beta_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } v &= \sum_{j,k} a_{jk} \left( \sum_l b_{jl} y_{1l} \right) \otimes \left( \sum_m c_{km} y_{2m} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j,l,k,m} a_{jk} b_{jl} c_{km} y_{1l} \otimes y_{2m} \quad (\text{by bilinearity}) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $v \in \text{span}(\beta_1 \otimes \beta_2)$

Independence:

$\otimes$  If  $V_1, V_2$  are both finite, then  
 since  $\dim(V_1 \otimes V_2) = |\gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2| = |\beta_1 \otimes \beta_2|$ ,  
 and the ~~max~~ vectors in  $\beta_1 \otimes \beta_2$  ~~span~~ span  $V_1 \otimes V_2$ ,  
 they must be linearly independent

(otherwise they would span a smaller space).

If  $V_1$  or  $V_2$  is finite-dimensional, this argument does not work.

8

Instead: Suppose  $\sum_{l,m} d_{lm} y_{1l} \otimes y_{2m} = 0$ ,

we want to show that  $d_{lm} = 0$  for all  $l, m$ .

Change the basis from  $\beta_1$  to  $\delta_1$ , and  $\beta_2$  to  $\delta_2$ ,  
writing  $y_{1l} = \sum_j e_{lj} x_{1j}$ ,  $y_{2m} = \sum_k f_{mk} x_{2k}$ .

Note that this is the inverse of the basis change we did before:

$$\sum_j e_{lj} b_{je'} = \delta_{l,e'} \quad \sum_k f_{mk} c_{km'} = \delta_{m,m'}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } 0 &= \sum_{l,m} d_{lm} y_{1l} \otimes y_{2m} \\ &= \sum_{l,m} d_{lm} \left( \sum_j e_{lj} x_{1j} \right) \otimes \left( \sum_k f_{mk} x_{2k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j,k,l,m} d_{lm} e_{lj} f_{mk} x_{1j} \otimes x_{2k} \end{aligned}$$

and since the  $x$ 's are a basis, we have

$$\sum_{l,m} d_{lm} e_{lj} f_{mk} = 0 \quad \text{for each } j, k.$$

~~mean~~

~~$\sum_{l,m}$~~

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so } 0 &= \sum_{l,m} d_{lm} e_{lj} b_{je'} f_{mk} c_{km'} \quad \text{for any } j, k, l', m' \\ &= \sum_{j',k',l',m'} d_{lm} e_{lj} b_{je'} f_{mk} c_{km'} \quad \text{for any } l', m' \\ &= \sum_{l,m} d_{lm} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} \\ &= d_{l'l} \end{aligned}$$

So in fact the vectors are linearly independent.  $\square$