read(x, y)

**X** := **X** =;

y(x :=;)]TJ166.91 j-586857 T















read(x, y)

**x** := **x** + 2;

yTw[]TJ146691 Tj5868557 <sup>-</sup>

read(x, y)

**x** := **x** + 2;

yTw[]TJ146691 Tj5868557 <sup>-</sup>







read(x, y)

x := x + 2; y := 2;

## **All Definitions Criterion**

• A set P of execution

## **All Definitions Criterion**

- A set P of execution paths satisfies the alldefinitions criterion iff
  - for all definition
    occurrences of a
    variable x such that
    - there is a use of x, which (-@asi)(tb)(tly)) @ @ @v(freachble xfro@m)-@h)at() @ @ D-@v@v(definition@n)-@ waths p inP ossuh shat

## **All Definitions Criterion**

- A set P of execution paths satisfies the alldefinitions criterion iff
  - for all definition occurrences of a variable x such that
    - there is a use of x,

read(x, y, z)

x := x + 2;

x := y + 2;

read(x, y, z)



**x** := **x** + 2;

x := y + 2;



**x** := **x** + 2;

x := y + 2;x 21365.2057.85BTCriterion

read(x, y, z)

**y** := **2**;

## All DU-paths criterion

- A set P of execution paths satisfies the all-DU paths criterion iff
  - for all definitions of a variable x and all paths q through which that definition reaches a use of x,
  - there is at least one path p in P such that
    - q is a subpath of p and q is cycle-free

# An Applicable Family of Data Flow Testing Criteria

- Assumptions about the program
  - **No** 
    - goto statements
    - with
    - variant records
    - Functions having 'var' parameters
      - By reference
    - Procedural or functional parameters
    - Conformant arrays
  - Every boolean expression that determines the flow of control has at least one occurrence of a variable or a call to the function 'eof' or 'eoln'

## **Program Structure**

- Program consists of 'blocks'
- •

# Classifying each variable occurrence

- Definition
  - Value is stored in a memory location
- Use
  - Value is fetched from a memory location
- Undefinition
  - Value and location becomes unbound
- C-use
  - Use in a computation or output statement
  - Associated with each node
- P-use
  - Use in a predicate
  - Associated with each edge
### Simple Statements



#### **Simple Statements**

#### **Simple Statements**

#### **Repetitive Statements**

. \_ \_ \_ \_

#### **Repetitive Statements**

- ·

#### **Repetitive Statements**



#### **Conditional Statements**

#### **Conditional Statements**

## Arrays

• Arr variableysArrays

#### **Pointers**

Impossible to determine statically the

#### **Records & Files**

# **Restricted Programs Class**

- Satisfying the following properties
   NSUP
  - No-syntactic-undefined-p-use Property
    - For every p-use of a variable x on aunedge (i,j), iun
      P, there is some path from the start node to edge (i,j), which contains a global definition of x

#### - NSL

- Non-straight-line property
  - P has at least one conditional or repetitiven statement
    - » At least one node iunP's flow-graph has moren thaunone successor
    - » At least one variable has a p-use in P

# Def-use graph

- Obtained from the flow graph
- Associate with each node the sets
  - C-use(i)

#### Definitions for def-use graph

\_ \_

### All-DU-paths criterion

 If variable x has a global definition i[ode i, the a(All-DU-path)]TJ\*rg0 Tc0.09

# Other DF testing criteria

- All-p-uses
- All-c-uses
- All-p-uses/some-c-uses
- All-c-uses/some-p-uses

#### **Definitions of DF criteria**

#### "includes"

#### Includes relationship



# Applicability

• It may be the case that no test set for

#### **Recall Definition**

- •

# Equivalently

- fdcu(x,i) =
  - { $j \in dcu(x,i)$  | the association (i,j,k) is executable}
- fdpu(x,i) =
  - $\{(j,k) \in dpu(x,i) \mid the association (i,(j,k),x) is executable\}$
- Intuitively
  - new criterion C\* for each DF criterion C
  - By selecting the required associations from fdcu(x,i) and fdpu(x,i) instead of from dcu(x,i) and dpu(x,i)

# Feasible Data-flow Criteria (FDF)

A + 600 + • • • • • •	ا د گرگی؟ ۲۰ میدیدینی شوهه می در در در در در د. ۱۲ د گرگی؟ ۲۰ میدید در در میشوشه می در
$\{1, 2, 3, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5,$	
- <u>ACC - ACC - ACC</u>	

# DU-PATHS)\*

# Why the different relationships

Example

# The Program's DU-paths


# Why the different relationships

```
Let x = X (any integer)
And y = Y < 0
Path executed is
\{1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, 2, 5, 4, 7, 0, 10\}
```

```
3,5,6,7,9,10}
```

```
Are all DU-paths
shown earlier covered?
YES
```

But the associations (2, (6,8), y) and (2,8,x) are not! And they are executable by a test case that causes the execution of {1,2,3,4,3,4,3,5,6,8,9,10} Hence (all-du-paths)\*

# Interprocedural DF Testing

- Most DF testing methodologies deal with dependencies that exist within a procedure (i.e., <u>intraprocedural</u>)
- Data dependencies also exist among procedures
- Requires analysis of the flow of data across procedure boundaries
- Calls and Returns
- Direct dependencies (single call/return)
- Indirect dependencies (multiple calls/returns)





#### last element of array

Returns the largest element in array Global variable

Lets consider only reference parameters that reach across procedure boundaries



parameters at the that are bound reference called ctual parame can site that are bound to formal reference parameters in called procedures



### The Def-uses



#### A test case



### A test case



#### A test case



# Any missed

# Any missed def-uses?

