

## Test Coverage & Adequacy

- How much testing is enough?
- When to stop testing
- Test data selection criteria
- Test data adequacy criteria
  - Stopping rule
  - Degree of adequacy
- Test coverage criteria
- Objective measurement of test quality

## Preliminaries

- Test data selection
  - What test cases
- Test data adequacy criteria
  - When to stop testing
- Examples
  - Statement Coverage
  - Branch coverage
  - Def-use coverage
  - Path coverage

## Goodenough & Gerhart ['75]

- **What is a software test adequacy criterion**
  - Predicate that defines “what properties of a program must be exercised to constitute a thorough test”, i.e., one whose successful execution implies no errors in a tested program

## Goodenough & Gerhart ['75]

- **Reliability requirement**
  - “Test criterion always produces consistent test results”
  - If a program tested successfully on one test set that satisfies the criterion, then the program also tested successfully on all test sets that satisfy the criterion
- **Validity requirement**
  - “Test always produces a meaningful result”
  - For every error in a program, there exists a test set that satisfies the criterion and is capable of revealing the error
- **There is no computable criterion that satisfies the above requirements**

## Uses of test adequacy

- Objectives of testing
- In terms that can be measured
  - For example branch coverage
- Two levels of testing
  - First as a stopping rule
  - Then as a guideline for additional test cases

## Categories of Criteria

- Specification based
  - All-combination criterion
    - choices
  - Each-choice-used criterion
- Program based
  - Statement
  - Branch
- Note that in both the above types, the correctness of the output must be checked against the specifications

## Others

- Random testing
- Statistical testing
- Interface based

## Classification according to underlying testing approach

- Structural testing
  - Coverage of a particular set of elements in the structure of the program
- Fault-based testing
  - Some measurement of the fault detecting ability of test sets
- Error-based testing
  - Check on some error-prone points


## Structural Testing

- **Program-based structural testing**
  - **Control-flow based adequacy criteria**
    - Statement coverage
    - Branch coverage
    - Path coverage
      - Length-i path coverage
    - Cyclomatic number criterion
      - Set of  $v$  independent paths, where  $v = e - n + 1$
    - Multiple condition coverage
      - All possible combinations of truth values of predicates
  - **Data-flow based adequacy criteria**

## Structural Testing

- **Data-flow based adequacy criteria**
  - All definitions criterion
    - Each definition to some *reachable* use
  - All uses criterion
    - Definition to each reachable use
  - All def-use criterion
    - Each definition to each reachable use


# Data-flow Testing



# All Definitions Criterion


• A set P of execution paths satisfies the all-definitions criterion iff

- for all definition occurrences of a variable x such that
  - there is a use of x, which is feasibly reachable from that definition,
- there is at least one path p in P such that
  - p includes a subpath through which the definition of x reaches some use occurrence of x




# All Uses Criterion

- A set P of execution paths satisfies the all-uses criterion iff
  - for all definition occurrences of a variable x and all use occurrences of x,
    - that the definition feasibly reaches,
  - there is at least one path p in P such that
    - p includes a subpath through which that definition reaches the use



# All Uses Criterion



## All DU-paths criterion

- A set P of execution paths satisfies the all-DU paths criterion iff
  - for all definitions of a variable x and all paths q through which that definition reaches a use of x,
  - there is at least one path p in P such that
    - q is a subpath of p and q is cycle-free

## Fault-based Adequacy

- Error seeding
  - Introducing artificial faults to estimate the actual number of faults
- Program mutation testing
  - Distinguishing between original and mutants
    - Competent programmer assumption
      - Mutants are close to the program
    - Coupling effect assumption
      - Simple and complex errors are coupled



## Subsumption

- Criteria  $C_1$  subsumes criteria  $C_2$ , iff
  - For all programs  $p$  being tested with specifications  $s$
  - All test sets  $t$
  - $t$  is adequate according to  $C_1$  for testing  $p$  with respect to  $s$  implies that  $t$  is adequate according to  $C_2$  for testing  $p$  with respect to  $s$
- Path subsumes branch
- Path subsumes statement