


Predicate-based Testing

- **Predicates are conditions**
 - Divides the input domain into partitions
 - Define the paths of the program
- **Program P**
 - Input X; Predicate C
 - If outcome of C is incorrect,
 - Either C is incorrect,
 - Or statement(s) executed before C
 - Most likely, P's output is incorrect
 - Low probability of "coincidental correctness"
- **Predicate-based testing**
 - Require certain types of tests for each predicate in the program



Importance of Predicate-based Testing

- **Thorough testing of C used to**
 - Detect faults in C,
 - Statements executed before C
 - Statements executed after C

Terms Defined

- **Predicate**
 - Simple or compound predicate
- **Simple predicate**
 - Boolean variable, or
 - Relational expression,
 - May have one or more NOT (\neg) operators
- **Relational expression**
 - $E1 \langle \text{rop} \rangle E2$
 - E1 and E2 are arithmetic expressions
 - $\langle \text{rop} \rangle \in \{<, <=, >, >=, /=, =\}$

Terms Defined (2)

- **Compound predicate**
 - At least one "binary Boolean operator"
 - Two or more operands
 - Maybe NOT operators
 - Maybe parenthesis
- **Binary Boolean operators**
 - OR (\vee) and AND (\wedge)
- **Simple operand**
 - Operand without binary Boolean operators
- **Compound operand**
 - Operand with at least one binary Boolean operator

Terms Defined (3)

- **Boolean expression**
 - Predicate with no relational expressions
- B_i = Boolean expression
- E_i = Arithmetic expression
- $\langle \text{rop} \rangle$ or $\langle \text{rop}_i \rangle$ = relational operator
- $\langle \text{bop} \rangle$ or $\langle \text{bop}_i \rangle$ = binary Boolean operator

Assumptions

- Predicate has no syntactic faults

Types of Faults

- An "incorrect" predicate may have one or more of the following faults
 - **Boolean operator fault**
 - Incorrect AND/OR or missing/extra NOT
 - **Boolean variable fault**
 - Incorrect Boolean variable
 - **Parenthesis fault**
 - Incorrect location
 - **Relational operator fault**
 - Incorrect relational operator
 - **Arithmetic expression fault**
 - Various types

Yet More Terms

- Existence of one/more faults is "detected by a test" T if an execution of C with T produces an incorrect outcome of C
- Test set T for C "guarantees the detection" of certain type of faults F in C if the existence of F in C can be detected by at least one element in T, provided C doesn't contain faults of other types

Yet More Terms (2)

- Assume that C^* has the same set of variables as C and is not equivalent to C. Test set T "distinguishes" C from C^* if C and C^* produce different outcomes for T
- Assume that C contains faults and C' is the correct version of C. Test set T is "insensitive" to the faults in C if this test cannot distinguish C from C'

Testing Simple Predicates

- **Branch testing**
 - TRUE and FALSE branches be executed at least once
- **Relational Operator Testing**
 - Given E1 <rop> E2
 - Need 3 tests
 - E1 > E2; E1 < E2; E1 = E2
 - If only <rop> is incorrect and E1 and E2 are correct, then detection is guaranteed

Testing Compound Predicates

- **Complete branch testing**
 - All TRUE and FALSE branches of each simple/compound operand in compound predicate C be executed at least once
- **Exhaustive branch testing**
 - All combinations of TRUE and FALSE branches of simple operands in C be executed at least once
 - C has N Boolean Operators, then N+1 simple operands. Requires $2^{(n+1)}$ test cases

Testing Compound Predicates (2)

- **Complete relational operator testing**
 - Relational operator testing for each relational expression in C
 - Let $C\#$ be (E1 = E2) & (E3 != E4)
 - Assume T1 contains 3 tests
 - T11 makes E1 = E2 and E3 = E4
 - T12 makes E1 > E2 and E3 > E4
 - T13 makes E1 < E2 and E3 < E4
 - T1 satisfies relational operator testing for each simple operand of $C\#$
- If E1, E2, E3, and E4 are correct, what can we say about the correctness of operators?

Complete Relational Operator Testing

- Can the test cases T11, T12, and T13 distinguish between C# and
 - $(E1 = E2) \& (E3 < E4)$
 - $(E1 \neq E2) \& (E3 = E4)$

BR-constraints

- Given a predicate
 - $\langle \text{opd}_1 \rangle \langle \text{bop}_1 \rangle \langle \text{opd}_2 \rangle \langle \text{bop}_2 \rangle \dots \langle \text{opd}_n \rangle \langle \text{bop}_n \rangle$
 - $\langle \text{opd}_i \rangle$ is the i th simple operand
- BR-constraint
 - $(D1, D2, \dots, Dn)$
 - Each D_i is a symbol specifying a constraint on the Boolean variable or relational expression in $\langle \text{opd}_i \rangle$

BR-constraints (2)

- Constraints for a Boolean variable B
 - The value of B is TRUE
 - The value of B is FALSE
 - No constraint
- Symbols
 - †
 - f
 - *

BR-constraints (2)

- Constraints for a relational expression $(E1 \langle \text{rop} \rangle E2)$

• Value is TRUE	†
• Value is FALSE	f
• $(E1 - E2) > 0$	>
• $(E1 - E2) = 0$	=
• $(E1 - E2) < 0$	<
• No constraint	*

Constraint Satisfaction

- Definition
 - Constraint D on predicate C is covered (or satisfied) by a test if during the execution of C with this test, the value of each Boolean variable or relational expression in C satisfies the corresponding constraint in D
- E.g.,
 - $(=, <)$
 - for $((E1 \geq E2) \mid \neg(E3 > E4))$
- Coverage requires that $(E1 = E2)$ and $(E3 < E4)$

Constraint Satisfaction (2)

- Definition
 - Set S of BR-constraints on predicate C is covered (or satisfied) by a test set T if each constraint in S is covered for C by at least one test in T

Terms Redefined

- In terms of BR-constraints
 - Branch testing (E1 <rop> E2)
 - $\{(t), (f)\}$
 - Relational operator testing (E1 <rop> E2)
 - $\{(>), (=), (<)\}$
 - Complete branch testing ((E1 <rop1> E2) <bop> (E3 <rop2> E4))
 - $\{(t, *), (f, *), (*, t), (*, f)\}$
 - Complete relational operator testing ((E1 <rop1> E2) <bop> (E3 <rop2> E4))
 - $\{(>, *), (=, *), (<, *), (*, >), (*, =), (*, <)\}$

Terms Defined

- Concatenation
 - Let $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)$ and $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ be two sequences
 - $(u, v) = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$
- Other terms
 - Let A and B be two sets
 - $A \cup B$ denotes the union of A and B
 - $A * B$ is the product of A and B
 - $|A|$ is the size of A
 - $A \% B$ is called the onto from A to B
 - Minimal set of (u, v) such that $u \in A$ and every element in A appears in u at least once; $v \in B$ and every element in B appears in v at least once

Terms Defined

- Observations
 - $|A \% B| = \max(|A|, |B|)$
 - $A \% B$ may have several possible values
 - If $C = \{(a), (b)\}$ and $D = \{(c), (d)\}$
 - Then what is $C \% D$
 - $\{(a, c), (b, d)\}$
 - $\{(a, d), (b, c)\}$
 - How about if $E = \{(a), (b)\}$ and $F = \{(c), (d), (e)\}$

Expected Outcome


- Let X be a constraint that contains "t", "f", ">", "<", and "=" for a predicate C
- Value produced by C on any input covering X; $C(X)$
- X covers the TRUE branch of C if $C(X) = \text{TRUE}$, and
- X covers the FALSE branch of C if $C(X) = \text{FALSE}$
- Let S be a set of constraints for C
- Partition S into S_t and S_f
 - $S_t(C) = \{X \text{ in } S \mid C(X) = t\}$
 - $S_f(C) = \{X \text{ in } S \mid C(X) = f\}$

Lets Try Them Out

- E1 < E2
 - $S1 = \{(<), (>), (=)\}$
 - $S1_t = \{(<)\}$
 - $S1_f = \{(>), (=)\}$
- E3 >= E4
 - $S2 = \{(>), (=), (<)\}$
 - $S2_t = \{(>), (=)\}$
 - $S2_f = \{(<)\}$
- E5 = E6
 - $S3 = \{(<), (>), (=)\}$
 - $S3_t = \{(<)\}$
 - $S3_f = \{(>), (=)\}$


|&

- More complex predicates
 - $(E3 >= E4) \mid (E5 = E6)$
 - $S4_f = \{(<, <), (<, >)\}$
 - $(E3 >= E4) \& (E5 = E6)$
 - $S9_t = \{(>, =), (=, >)\}$
- How about $S4_t$ and $S9_f$?



Surprise Quiz

- How About S9_f?



What Next?

- Once all the constraints have been obtained, test cases may be generated