

CMSC 330: Organization of Programming Languages

Lambda Calculus and Types

Lambda Calculus

- A lambda calculus expression is defined as

$e ::= x$	variable
$ \lambda x.e$	function
$ e e$	function application

- $\lambda x.e$ is like `(fun x -> e)` in OCaml
- That's it! Only higher-order functions

CMSC 330

2

Beta-Reduction, Again

- Whenever we do a step of beta reduction...
 - $(\lambda x.e1) e2 \rightarrow e1[x/e2]$
 - ...alpha-convert variables as necessary
- Examples:
 - $(\lambda x.x (\lambda x.x)) z = (\lambda x.x (\lambda y.y)) z \rightarrow z (\lambda y.y)$
 - $(\lambda x.\lambda y.x y) y = (\lambda x.\lambda z.x z) y \rightarrow \lambda z.y z$

CMSC 330

3

Encodings

- It turns out that this language is Turing complete
- That means we can encode any computation we want in it
 - ...if we're sufficiently clever...

CMSC 330

4

Booleans

The lambda calculus was created by logician Alonzo Church in the 1930's to formulate a mathematical logical system

$\text{true} = \lambda x.\lambda y.x$

$\text{false} = \lambda x.\lambda y.y$

if a then b else c is defined to be the λ expression: $a b c$

- Examples:
 - if true then b else c $\rightarrow (\lambda x.\lambda y.x) b c \rightarrow (\lambda y.b) c \rightarrow b$
 - if false then b else c $\rightarrow (\lambda x.\lambda y.y) b c \rightarrow (\lambda y.y) c \rightarrow c$

CMSC 330

5

Booleans (continued)

Other Boolean operations:

- $\text{not} = \lambda x.((x \text{ false}) \text{ true})$
- $\text{not true} \rightarrow \lambda x.((x \text{ false}) \text{ true}) \text{ true} \rightarrow ((\text{true false}) \text{ true}) \rightarrow \text{false}$
- $\text{and} = \lambda x.\lambda y.((x y) \text{ false})$
- $\text{or} = \lambda x.\lambda y.((x \text{ true}) y)$
- Show not, and and or have the desired properties, ...
- Given these operations, can build up a logical inference system

CMSC 330

6

Pairs

$(a,b) = \lambda x. \text{if } x \text{ then } a \text{ else } b$

$\text{fst} = \lambda f. f \text{ true}$

$\text{snd} = \lambda f. f \text{ false}$

- Examples:

- $\text{fst } (a,b) = (\lambda f. f \text{ true}) (\lambda x. \text{if } x \text{ then } a \text{ else } b) \rightarrow$
 $(\lambda x. \text{if } x \text{ then } a \text{ else } b) \text{ true} \rightarrow$
 $\text{if true then } a \text{ else } b \rightarrow a$
- $\text{snd } (a,b) = (\lambda f. f \text{ false}) (\lambda x. \text{if } x \text{ then } a \text{ else } b) \rightarrow$
 $(\lambda x. \text{if } x \text{ then } a \text{ else } b) \text{ false} \rightarrow$
 $\text{if false then } a \text{ else } b \rightarrow b$

CMSC 330

7

Natural Numbers (Church*)

*(Named after Alonzo Church, developer of lambda calculus)

$0 = \lambda f. \lambda y. y$

$1 = \lambda f. \lambda y. f y$

$2 = \lambda f. \lambda y. f (f y)$

$3 = \lambda f. \lambda y. f (f (f y))$

i.e., $n = \lambda f. \lambda y. \text{<apply } f \text{ n times to } y\text{>}$

$\text{succ} = \lambda z. \lambda f. \lambda y. f (z f y)$

$\text{iszero} = \lambda g. g (\lambda y. \text{false}) \text{ true}$

– Recall that this is equivalent to $\lambda g. ((g (\lambda y. \text{false})) \text{ true})$

CMSC 330

8

Natural Numbers (cont'd)

- Examples:

$\text{succ } 0 =$

$(\lambda z. \lambda f. \lambda y. f (z f y)) (\lambda f. \lambda y. y) \rightarrow$

$\lambda f. \lambda y. f ((\lambda f. \lambda y. y) f y) \rightarrow$

$\lambda f. \lambda y. f y = 1$

$\text{iszero } 0 =$

$(\lambda z. z (\lambda y. \text{false}) \text{ true}) (\lambda f. \lambda y. y) \rightarrow$

$(\lambda f. \lambda y. y) (\lambda y. \text{false}) \text{ true} \rightarrow$

$(\lambda y. y) \text{ true} \rightarrow$

true

CMSC 330

9

Arithmetic defined

- Addition, if M and N are integers (as λ expressions):

$M + N = \lambda x. \lambda y. (M x)((N x) y)$

Equivalently: $+ = \lambda M. \lambda N. \lambda x. \lambda y. (M x)((N x) y)$

- Multiplication: $M * N = \lambda x. (M (N x))$

- Prove $1+1 = 2$.

$1+1 = \lambda x. \lambda y. (1 x)((1 x) y) \rightarrow$

$\lambda x. \lambda y. ((\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) x)((\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) x) y \rightarrow$

$\lambda x. \lambda y. (\lambda y. x y)((\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) x) y \rightarrow$

$\lambda x. \lambda y. (\lambda y. x y)(\lambda y. x y) y \rightarrow$

$\lambda x. \lambda y. x ((\lambda y. x y) y) \rightarrow$

$\lambda x. \lambda y. x (x y) = 2$

- With these definitions, can build a theory of integer arithmetic.

CMSC 330

10

Looping

- Define $D = \lambda x. x x$

- Then

– $D D = (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) \rightarrow (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) = D D$

- So $D D$ is an infinite loop

– In general, *self application* is how we get looping

CMSC 330

11

The “Paradoxical” Combinator

$Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$

- Then

$Y F =$

$(\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))) F \rightarrow$

$(\lambda x. F (x x)) (\lambda x. F (x x)) \rightarrow$

$F ((\lambda x. F (x x)) (\lambda x. F (x x)))$

$= F (Y F)$

- Thus $Y F = F (Y F) = F (F (Y F)) = \dots$

CMSC 330

12

Example

```
fact = λf. λn. if n = 0 then 1 else n * (f (n-1))
```

- The second argument to fact is the integer
- The first argument is the function to call in the body
 - We'll use Y to make this recursively call fact

```
(Y fact) 1 = (fact (Y fact)) 1
```

- if 1 = 0 then 1 else 1 * ((Y fact) 0)
- 1 * ((Y fact) 0)
- 1 * (fact (Y fact) 0)
- 1 * (if 0 = 0 then 1 else 0 * ((Y fact) (-1)))
- 1 * 1 → 1

CMSC 330

13

Discussion

- Using encodings we can represent pretty much anything we have in a "real" language
 - But programs would be pretty slow if we really implemented things this way
 - In practice, we use richer languages that include built-in primitives
- Lambda calculus shows all the issues with scoping and higher-order functions
- It's useful for understanding how languages work

CMSC 330

14

The Need for Types

- Consider the untyped lambda calculus
 - false = λx. λy. y
 - 0 = λx. λy. y
- Since everything is encoded as a function...
 - We can easily misuse terms
 - false 0 → λy. y
 - if 0 then ...
 - Everything evaluates to some function
- The same thing happens in assembly language
 - Everything is a machine word (a bunch of bits)
 - All operations take machine words to machine words

CMSC 330

15

What is a Type System?

- A *type system* is some mechanism for distinguishing good programs from bad
 - Good = well typed
 - Bad = ill typed or not typable; has a *type error*
- Examples
 - 0 + 1 // well typed
 - false 0 // ill-typed; can't apply a boolean

CMSC 330

16

Static versus Dynamic Typing

- In a *static type system*, we guarantee at compile time that all program executions will be free of type errors
 - OCaml and C have static type systems
- In a *dynamic type system*, we wait until runtime, and halt a program (or raise an exception) if we detect a type error
 - Ruby has a dynamic type system
- Java, C++ have a combination of the two

CMSC 330

17

Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus

- $e ::= n \mid x \mid \lambda x. t. e \mid e e$
 - We've added integers n as primitives
 - Without at least two distinct types (integer and function), can't have any type errors
 - Functions now include the type of their argument
- $t ::= \text{int} \mid t \rightarrow t$
 - int is the type of integers
 - $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ is the type of a function that takes arguments of type t_1 and returns a result of type t_2
 - t_1 is the *domain* and t_2 is the *range*
 - Notice this is a recursive definition, so that we can give types to higher-order functions

CMSC 330

18

Type Judgments

- We will construct a type system that proves *judgments* of the form

$$A \vdash e : t$$

- “In type environment A , expression e has type t ”
- If for a program e we can prove that it has some type, then the program type checks
 - Otherwise the program has a type error, and we’ll reject the program as bad

CMSC 330

19

Type Environments

- A *type environment* is a map from variables names to their types
 - Just like in our operational semantics for Scheme
- \bullet is the empty type environment
- $A, x:t$ is just like A , except x now has type t
- When we see a variable in the program, we’ll look up its type in the environment

CMSC 330

20

Type Rules

$$e ::= n \mid x \mid \lambda x.t \mid e \ e$$

$$\frac{}{A \vdash n : \text{int}} \quad \frac{x \in A}{A \vdash x : A(x)}$$

$$\frac{A, x:t \vdash e : t'}{A \vdash \lambda x.t : t \rightarrow t'} \quad \frac{A \vdash e : t \rightarrow t' \quad A \vdash e' : t}{A \vdash e \ e' : t'}$$

CMSC 330

21

Example

$A = + : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$
 $B = A, x : \text{int}$

$$\frac{B \vdash + : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \quad B \vdash x : \text{int}}{B \vdash + \ x : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}} \quad \frac{B \vdash + \ x : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \quad B \vdash 3 : \text{int}}{B \vdash + \ x \ 3 : \text{int}}$$

$$\frac{A \vdash (\lambda x.\text{int} + x \ 3) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \quad A \vdash 4 : \text{int}}{A \vdash (\lambda x.\text{int} + x \ 3) \ 4 : \text{int}}$$

CMSC 330

22

Discussion

- The type rules are a kind of logic for reasoning about types of programs
 - The tree of judgments we just saw is a kind of *proof* in this logic that the program has a valid type
- So the *type checking* problem is like solving a jigsaw puzzle
 - Can we apply the rules to a program in such a way as to produce a typing proof?
 - It turns out we can easily decide whether or not we can do this.

CMSC 330

23

An Algorithm for Type Checking

(Write this in OCaml!)

TypeCheck : type env \times expression \rightarrow type

```
TypeCheck(A, n) = int
TypeCheck(A, x) = if x in A then A(x) else fail
TypeCheck(A,  $\lambda x.t$ .e) =
  let t' = TypeCheck((A, x:t), e) in t  $\rightarrow$  t'
TypeCheck(A, e1 e2) =
  let t1 = TypeCheck(A, e1) in
  let t2 = TypeCheck(A, e2) in
  if dom(t1) = t2 then range(t1) else fail
```

CMSC 330

24

Type Inference

- We could extend the rules to show how a language could figure out, even if types aren't specified, what the types of everything are in a program
 - Can you believe there are languages which can actually do this?
- We could do these things, but we actually won't.

CMSC 330

25

Summary

- Lambda calculus shows all the issues with scoping and higher-order functions
- It's useful for understanding how languages work

CMSC 330

26

Practice

- Reduce the following:
 - $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x \ y \ y) (\lambda a. a) \ b$
 - $(\text{or true}) (\text{and true false})$
 - $(* \ 1 \ 2) \quad (* \ m \ n = \lambda M. \lambda N. \lambda x. (M \ (N \ x)))$
- Derive and prove the type of:
 - $(\lambda f: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}. \lambda n: \text{int}. f \ n) (\lambda x: \text{int}. 3 + x) \ 6$
 - $\lambda x: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}. \lambda y: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}. \lambda z: \text{int}. x \ z \ (y \ z)$

CMSC 330

27