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Abstract—This paper proposes an information architecture for personal history data
and describes how the data model can be extended to a runtime model for a compact
visualization using graphical timelines. Our information architecture was developed
for juvenile justice and medical patient records, but is usable in other application
domains such as personal resumes, financial histories, or customer support. Our
model groups personal history events into aggregates that are contained in facets
(e.g., doctor visits, hospitalizations, or lab tests). Crosslinks enable representation of
arbitrary relationships across events and aggregates. Data attributes, such as severity,
can be mapped by data administrators to visual attributes such as color and line
thickness. End-users have powerful controls over the display contents, and they can
modify the mapping to fit their tasks. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights
reserved
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1. INTRODUCTION

We all have personal stories to tell. Some people keep diaries, assemble scrapbooks and
photo albums, or write autobiographies. Some personal record keeping is more
business-like, such as financial transactions (checkbook registers or stock trades),
educational histories (transcript of courses), or medical records (blood pressure readings
or diet notes). Each person keeps these precious personal records in idiosyncratic
formats and annotates them in distinctive and diverse ways. These records could be
kept on a computer in generic word processor, spreadsheet, or web page forms to
support casual browsing or more structured searching such as by keyword.

As personal history records grow large or complex, current ad hoc modeling
approaches limit effective analysis of entire records, rapid browsing of facets, and
summarization of episodes. While many organizations keep personal data, such as
medical, banking, life insurance, and educational records, there is little discussion of
how to organize information on personal histories, or how to display this information
for rapid and accurate comprehension. More orderly record management with standard
terminology, notations, units, and display formats will enable more reliable data analysis and sharing. The source of the problem may be that data collection over long time periods is guided by the recording of events but analysis requires a more user-oriented approach.

To capture the user-oriented view, we applied the entity–relationship model, a common data modeling scheme. It provides a basis for representation of personal histories but needs to be extended to describe the semantics of personal histories and to support temporal queries, information presentation, and visualization. An entity–relationship diagram and a corresponding relational schema is a reasonable environment for making some queries, but it needs additional facilities to specify and extract appropriate overviews of a patient’s history, a loan applicant’s financial status, or a potential employee’s resume. We developed a series of progressively more complex entity–relationship diagrams and relational schemata to represent personal history data. We use those two techniques in an informal manner.

Our goal is to support the personal history visualization technique called LifeLines (Plaisant et al., 1996) in which an overview of a personal history is seen in a single screen as a series of timelines. Users can filter out unwanted information, zoom in on areas of interest, highlight related information and get details-on-demand. The benefits of this visualization was confirmed in an empirical study with 36 users (Lindwarm Alonso et al., 1998).

This paper does not deal with privacy protection, but our information architecture accommodates this crucial aspect of personal history systems. Access restrictions, audit trails and encryption methods have to be considered, and querying has to be possible without divulging personal identification data.

Previous work on visualizing personal histories has occasionally focused on the medical domain (Kilman and Forslund, 1997). Cousins and Kahn (1991) present a formal system for representing medical temporal data as events on timelines that can be summarized (“abstracted”). They also describe an interactive environment for visualizing patient data, but it is a limited model of how to characterize an entire patient history. Other temporal data presentations (Allen, 1983; Sanderson et al., 1992) have dealt with project management (e.g., Microsoft Project) and monitoring electronic equipment. For example, Karam (1994) proposes a method to describe any timeline generators through the definition of a set of mathematical functions. Hibino and Rundensteiner (1997) describe a visual query language for identifying temporal trends in video data. Allen (1995) describes the use of interactive timelines as an interface to information systems of historical events. He introduces implicit and explicit crosslinks between events. Kumar et al. (1998) propose a two layer object-oriented model of interactive timelines, namely a content layer and a display layer, based on a structured document model, but their solutions are not specifically tied to personal histories.

We begin with a brief example using Leonardo da Vinci, then focus on medical personal history and the corresponding data model. Finally, we propose a compact and convenient data file format for transferring summary data such as LifeLines display data, and we discuss the use of preference control panels for the visual presentation.

2. SOURCES OF PERSONAL HISTORY DATA

Personal history data will in most cases be exported from a database, but it also can be generated by users with a data entry form or authoring tool (e.g., when entering medical history in a doctor’s office or insurance history when applying for a new car insurance policy). Indeed, the personal history data could be stored in a credit card-size device for portability and emergency use.
The personal history data then can be displayed in variety of ways: a simple textual chronology, multiple tables grouping events by types, or via a graphical display method such as a perspective wall (Mackinlay et al., 1991), or LifeLines (Fig. 1).

3. SCHEMA 1: EVENTS ONLY (POINTS AND INTERVALS)

A simple representation of a personal history would be as a sequence of point events that have a date or interval events that have a range of dates. Point events include birth, death, marriage, and graduation, while interval events include the time they lived in a certain city, held a job, attended schools, or dealt with medical problems. Information presentations are usually organized by sequences of intervals: educational records show semesters, resumes show spans of time, and stock portfolios indicate ranges of dates. As an example we look at a simplified biography of Leonardo da Vinci:

Biography: Leonardo da Vinci, male, painter
1452 Birth in Vinci, Italy, Verrochio
1473–1474 Paints Ginevra de Benci, oil
1482 Travels to Milan, Italy
1495–1498 Paints Last Supper, fresco
1500 Travels to Florence, Italy
1504–1505 Paints Mona Lisa, oil
1509–1510 Paints St. Anne, oil
1517 Travels to France
1519 Death in Amboise, France, Frere Sebastien

Similar lists are found in the “chronology” sections of most biographical books. This simple representation is a good starting point, and with tens of thousands of events in a life, it is useful to have multiple event types. For example, in Leonardo’s life events might be categorized into Life-Cycle, Paintings, and Travels. The database administrator would prepare a set of event types and specify their attributes and permissible attribute values. This could be shown as an entity–relationship diagram (Fig. 2). When it comes to specifying the visual display properties, the database administrator might select default shape, size, and color attributes for the event markers.

The personal history users would be able to display event types selectively and have the instances appear on the display. They would be able to look at the early or late stages of a person’s life, or focus on events or intervals that occurred on a specific date. Users would be able to reset the control panel to alter the shape, size, and color coding to suit their needs.
Writing a query to produce the above biography would be relatively simple but would take days of training to learn and minutes to compose. Form fill-in or other interface approaches might be applied constructively to simplify the learning and query process.

The model can be converted to a relational schema for storage and querying on a database of millions of people. We assume that point events have only a single time (i.e. a START-TIME but no STOP-TIME) and interval events have a START-TIME and END-TIME. We use all capital names to indicate specified terms that will be used in a data definition:

- **Person** (NAME, sex, profession)
- **Life-Cycle-events** (START-TIME, END-TIME, transition, city, country, witness)
- **Paints-events** (START-TIME, END-TIME, title, media)
- **Travels-events** (DATE, city, country)

This is a good start, but the richness of human life and the complexity of the questions that users may have means that a more elaborate model is necessary.

### 4. SCHEMA 2: EVENTS AND FACETS

As the number of events and intervals grows large it becomes increasingly difficult to browse or review a personal life history. Individuals or decision-makers often segment personal data into categories such as educational, financial, and medical, or into further specifics such as physician visits, hospitalizations, medications, and lab tests. We call these *facets*. Small records may have a simple non-hierarchical list of facets while large and complex records will require a hierarchy of facets. For an academic resume, facets might be the research topics, journal articles, conference papers, grants, courses taught, positions held, and lectures given.

Facets are permanent and do not change over a person’s life. For end-users, facets are a natural level for assigning access privileges. For example end-users might decide to make their educational facet readable by all, but restrict the medical facet for their physicians. They might elect to protect the financial facet with an additional password.

Of course access management is a much larger problem; it will require restrictions to be set at any level of the data model down to a single event and may allow complex context-sensitive rules to be applied.
Our ERD (Fig. 3) shows that a person has multiple facets and that each facet has multiple event types. Based on our experience with juvenile justice data and medical histories we decided to limit complexity and therefore require that an event occur in only one facet. The facet is represented in the relation as an attribute:

Person (NAME, attrP1, attrP2, ...)
A-events (ID, START-TIME, STOP-TIME, FACET, attrA1, attrA2, ...)
B-events (ID, START-TIME, STOP-TIME, FACET, attrB1, attrB2, ...)
C-events (ID, START-TIME, STOP-TIME, FACET, attrC1, attrC2, ...)

Users can then view each facet separately, as well as limit data to a specific date or range of dates.

5. SCHEMA 3: EVENTS, FACETS, AGGREGATES, AND CROSSLINKS

Often groups of events are closely related and users would like to see them as an aggregate or provide information about all the events in an aggregate. For example, Leonardo’s painting of St. Anne can be seen as an aggregate of several events (sketches, drafts, studies of faces, etc.). A physician might order multiple medications for a single diagnosis, or a college experience could be seen as an aggregate of 8 semesters, and each semester an aggregate of 5 courses. A property of aggregates is that they are likely to be summarized and presented as a single event, for example, the many steps in making the painting of St. Anne. All courses taken by a student can be summarized as a college experience. A series of various antibiotic prescriptions at different dosage might be summarized and viewed as a single aggregate.

Within the ERD we now create an aggregate (Fig. 4), which combines several events or aggregates. Events that are close chronologically and reside within a single facet are grouped in an aggregate. More loosely related events spreading across facets will be grouped by crosslinks, which are described below. Aggregates are meant to represent tight clusters of events and intervals, whose span of time is limited. An event or interval may participate in at most one aggregate.

Within the relational schema, we can define aggregates by adding a unique identification number (ID) to each event and an Aggregate relation with a unique identifier for the aggregate and ID of one of the components. If there are 40 components to an aggregate, then there will be 40 tuples in the Aggregates relation.

Person (NAME, attrP1, attrP2, ...)
A-events (ID, START-DATETIME, STOP-DATETIME, FACET, attrA1, attrA2, ...)
B-events (ID, START-DATETIME, STOP-DATETIME, FACET, attrB1, attrB2, ...)
C-events (ID, START-DATETIME, STOP-DATETIME, FACET, attrC1, attrC2, ...)
Aggregates (AGGREGATE-NUM, AGGREGATE-TYPE, ID, FACET)

Tightly-clustered hierarchies of sequential or parallel aggregates within a facet accommodate many situations, but we introduce crosslinks as an additional mechanism to represent looser aggregations of events and intervals. A series of events or intervals may have a meaningful relationship even if they are widely separated in time or occur across several facets. A painting is generally executed over a limited period but may have used elements of studies done years earlier (i.e. separated in time) or directly inspired by a memorable travel or life-event such as the death of a relative (i.e., a crosslink across facets).

Our intention is that crosslinks will normally not be visible, but that when users select to view a crosslink, they will be able to see lines or highlight the crosslink components. Within the relational schema, we define crosslinks by an identification number (LINK-NUM), a crosslink type (LINK-TYPE), and an ID (a key value linking to another relation). Each crosslink is represented by a single tuple.

Person (NAME, attrP1, attrP2, ...)
A-events (ID, START-DATE, STOP-DATE, FACET, attrA1, attrA2, ...)
B-events (ID, START-DATE, STOP-DATE, FACET, attrB1, attrB2, ...)
C-events (ID, START-DATE, STOP-DATE, FACET, attrC1, attrC2, ...)
Aggregates (AGGREGATE-NUM, AGGREGATE-TYPE, ID, FACET)
Crosslinks (LINK-NUM, LINK-TYPE, ID)

An event or interval can participate in many crosslinks. Those crosslinks specified in the data are explicit crosslinks but we envision the need for implicit crosslinks generated at runtime (e.g., by searching all events sharing a common attribute value: e.g., “had influence on = St Anne” or “physician name = Jones”).

The organization of events in hierarchies of facets is a convenience dictated by user preferences, but the definition of aggregates, the type of events they can group and the attributes of events are dictated by real data and processes.

6. MEDICAL APPLICATION EXAMPLE

We now turn to the example of medical records. An imaging test ordered by a physician is an aggregate of one or more imaging orders (e.g., a shoulder X-ray + an
arm X-ray) and one or more imaging results (e.g., the two orders may be merged to produce a single X-ray of both arm and shoulder) reviewed by the physician. This aggregate can span several days or weeks but can be summarized as a single event, giving the outcome of the test. Similarly, several back-to-back orders of the same drug (e.g. a propanolol) can be aggregated as one event, and orders of Propanolol and Athenolol (both of the class betablocker) can be aggregated into a betablocker event when users zoom out to show a longer time period. Aggregates group tightly related events in a single facet.

On the other hand, a single visit can result in a variety of medical events including a physician note, lab tests, X-rays, drugs, and physical therapy. In this case, crosslinks need to be used to show the relationship between the events because they span several facets. The crosslink TYPE might be “note” since all events share a common initiating note. Those crosslinks can be pre-computed and saved as tables in the data, or generated on the fly as the result of a query on all events sharing the same Note ID as the event selected by user. Other types of crosslinks can be based on physician name, insurance claim ID, indication, etc. (Fig. 5).

7. FROM PERSONAL HISTORY DATA TO DISPLAY DATA

The data we described in the previous section gives semantic information about a person’s life. Before users can look at this information a conversion has to take place to map the event types and attributes to display attributes. If the display technique were chosen to be multiple textual tables, the application manager would have to decide the order of the tables, the attributes to show, their order, whether to use color or font-size

Fig. 5. Partial example for medical application domain — Events, facets, aggregates, and crosslinks
coding or not, etc. (Isakowitz et al., 1997). If a more visual display technique has been chosen, more options are available to designers to present the information (e.g., color, background, thickness, icons, animations, highlighting, etc.) Application managers should be able to set the default values of display options for large categories of users, and individual users can adjust those values and save their personal preferences.

Figure 6 shows the proposed system architecture: a preference module reads the personal history data and the preference settings. It gives access to control panels allowing users to modify the presentation parameters of the personal history data.

8. THE CASE OF LIFELINES

We developed a new user interface called LifeLines to visualize personal history records of delinquent youths (Plaisant et al., 1996; Milash et al., 1996) and later applied...
LifeLines to medical records (Plaisant and Rose, 1996). LifeLines provides a general visualization environment for personal histories. Its one-screen overview using timelines provides direct access to the data (Figs 7–9). For a patient record, medical problems, interventions, and progress notes can be displayed on the LifeLines when needed. (Note: The data we used is "based" on real data but modified so that the patients could not be identified. The photos are those of consenting UMd colleagues.)

Fig. 8. In this example of LifeLines for a cardiology patient record the facets chosen for initial display are problems, interventions and progress notes. Medication and lab test facets also can be displayed on the LifeLines when needed. (Note: This example is based on "cleansed" training data found off the web. The photo is of a consenting UMd colleague.)

Fig. 9. This design uses icons to show event type and line thickness to show severity of conditions or dosage of drugs. Color coding is by physician. The successive related diagnoses are aggregated to form lines of varying thickness. Drugs are crosslinked to ordering physicians and conditions. (Note: This example is based on "cleansed" training data found off the web. The photo is of a consenting UMd colleague.)
hospitalization and medications can be represented as horizontal lines, while icons represent discrete events such as physician consultations, progress notes or tests. Line color and thickness can illustrate relationships. Rescaling tools and filters allows users to focus on part of the information, revealing more details.

An experiment was conducted to study the benefits of such a graphical interface (Lindwarm-Alonso et al., 1998). Thirty-six participants used a static version of either LifeLines or a Tabular representation to answer questions about a database of personal history information. Results indicate that overall the LifeLines representation led to much faster response times, primarily for questions that involved interval comparisons and making intercategorical connections. A “first impression” test showed that LifeLines can reduce some of the biases of the tabular record summary. A post-experimental memory test led to significantly ($p < 0.004$) higher recall with LifeLines. Finally, the authors proposed simple interaction techniques to augment LifeLines’ ability to deal with precise dates, attribute coding, and overlaps.

Our information architecture relies on two novel data formats. We designed a personal history data structure (phd) which could be the output of a conversion program from existing databases. Alternatively, new data entry programs could create the phd data structure, that has information on facets, aggregates, crosslinks, and events. Then the control panel in the preference module enables a database administrator to specify the visual presentation and generate the LifeLines data (lld). Figure 10 shows the system architecture for LifeLines, and Fig. 11 the corresponding LifeLines data model. This data model is congruent to the personal history data (phd) model. It is much simpler since whether the personal history is concerned with drugs, education or paintings, the display data can be described as points or lines with color, thickness and labels.

9. SUMMARIZATION

Summarization is a key issue for the visualization of personal history records because of the potentially large range of life events. Since a lifetime can contain 50 million minutes, an effective visualization must deal with emergency room events as well as global overviews.

Generic summarization rules can be proposed (e.g., any “severe” or “red” event should leave a red mark on its summary) but in the examples we studied, the summarization rules were application specific and could only be specified by domain experts.

For example a drug in a patient record can correspond to a complex aggregate event: ordering of drug A, acknowledgment by pharmacy, dispensing of drug B (e.g., generic), re-dispensing, reordering, adverse reaction, etc. The summarization rule also can be complex:
e.g., if event type = drug, use as the summary label the label of the first event whose status is “dispensed” or “re-dispensed”. If color is assigned to status: use the color of the last event unless there is an event whose status is “adverse reaction”.

Similarly, a series of orders of the same antibiotic A can be summarized as one event labeled “Antibiotic A”, and a series of orders of antibiotics A, B and C can be summarized as an event labeled “Antibiotic”.

Application designers may have to work with users and managers to define policies for summarization. For example: if a youth is acquitted, should the case be shown on the record summary? If it is, should the label used be the alleged offense or the word “acquitted”? Those decisions can introduce bias in the interface and our experience with the Juvenile Justice system suggests that the issues will be actively discussed among users. On the other hand, those summarization rules are not necessarily specific to the display technique and may have already been defined to generate summaries tables, reports or statistics.

Eventually some of those rules could be specified with a rule editor and allow application programmers and advanced users to specify complex rules for data summarization. In the meantime the application domain dependent code should be regrouped in a section of the preference module.

10. PROPOSED FORMAT FOR LIFELINES EXCHANGE FILES

Both the “phd” data and the “ild” data will most likely exist only in database format, or as runtime data structures; but to facilitate our own development (i.e. to develop the LifeLines Module independently from the Preference Module) and to allow the rapid prototyping with data from other applications, we developed a simple data format to describe the LifeLines data. Our requirements were that it should be readable enough to allow someone to write simple test data files by hand, and flexible enough to allow changes as our project evolves.
%c comments
%person, name, sex, age, picturefile
%facet, title, bckcolor
%agg, type, #items, has-summary?
%event, startdate, enddate, color, thickness, label, URL, additional description
%summary, startdate, enddate, color, thickness, label, URL, additional description
e tc.

Format used in the sample lld file below

%person, Homer Simpson, M, 40, face.gif
%facet, Notes, darkgreen
%c This note is an aggregate of all the continuous diabetes diagnosis events
%c starting with a summary event. It also includes the record of a canceled visit
%c that will appear on the line. The summary event always come first in the
%c aggregate.
%agg, normal, 4, yes
%event, 1997-04-5, today, darkblue, thin, serious diabetes,
  note325.html, “physician = White office = greenbelt NoteID = 1”
%c note that the additional description contains attributes that have no visual
%c elements but can be searched or displayed in a separate table.
%event, 1997-03-22, 1997-04-5, lightblue, p2, borderline diabetes, “NoteID = 1”
%event, 1997-04-5, 1997-05-1, mediumblue, p4, diabetes, “NoteID = 1”
%event, 1997-05-01, today, red, p6, serious diabetes, “NoteID = 1”
%c Another simpler note:
%agg, normal, 1, no
%event, 1992-01-01, today, lightblue, p4, obesity, “physician = Rose,
  office = greenbelt, NoteID = 2”
%facet, Imaging, lightgreen
%c This aggregate groups together a battery of 4 culture tests (3 have been
%reviewed already, one is pending)
%agg, normal, 4, yes
%event, 1997-08-01, 1997-08-20, red, p2, Culture, “NoteID = 1”
%event, 1997-08-01, 1997-08-10, black, p2, normal test A, “NoteID = 1”
%event, 1997-08-01, 1997-08-10, red, p2, abnormal test B, “NoteID = 1”
%event, 1997-08-01, 1997-08-20, orange, p2, see note of test C,
  http://testcnote.html, “NoteID = 1”
%event, 1997-08-01, today, magenta, p2, testD result pending
  review, “NoteID = 1”
%c This imaging shows nested aggregates. The physician ordered 2 X-rays for arm
%c and shoulder but the provider combined the orders and returned a single
%c result. This %c kind of detail is useful for recent tests but may not be included in
%c the lld of %c older records.
%agg, normal, 2, yes
%summary, 1997-01-10, black, p2, Xrays, “provider = Carl and Smith,
  cost = $298, NoteID = 1”
%agg, normal, 1, no
%event, 1997-01-10, black, p2, ordered shoulder Xray,.
%event, 1997-01-10, black, p2, ordered arm Xray,.
%agg, normal, 1, no
%event, 1997-01-10, 1997-01-14, black, p2, normal results shoulder + arm Xray,.

Sample LifeLines data (lld) file containing two facets: notes and imaging.

The display of this sample data file is shown in Fig. 12a, b.
11. USER CONTROL OF LIFELINES DISPLAY ATTRIBUTES

The preference module will play an important role in the adaptation of the display to the user task. In harmony with general user requirements the application manager for a hospital might set different default settings for physicians and administrators. For example, administrators do not see the notes facet but the insurance payments facet instead. Different specialties will prioritize facets differently (e.g., the immunization facet will probably be most useful for pediatricians). But individual users also will need to modify the display parameters as their need varies. Color blind users may need to switch to colors or shades they can differentiate. The line thickness might be assigned to severity or associated cost, color might be assigned to physician or outcome. Many options are available to control the interactive display. The label of the event shown when the cursor passes over the event can be displayed in a temporary overlapping window or in a dedicated space below the LifeLines. Some users will prefer to use double clicking to zoom on an event instead of jumping to another screen showing all detail about the event. Some users might prefer to show crosslinks by drawing lines between crosslinked events, instead of having non-crosslinked events grayed out. Finally, summarizing rules may be customizable: some user may prefer to ignore unremarkable events during the summarization while others may want to keep that information too.

We categorize the type of preference settings as follows:

Preferences settings that can be specified using simple control panels and are application domain independent:

- Selection and ordering of facets.
- Mapping between personal history attributes and display attribute, and mapping of attribute values (a sample control panel mockup is shown in Fig. 13).
- Mapping of users’ actions to display actions (e.g., select, focus timeline, show menu of crosslinked events, show all attributes, jump to complete details).
- Simple window management (size, position and aspect ratio of LifeLines window).
Preferences that require specialized tools and application dependent code:

- Summarizing preferences (might require a complex rule editing module, or application domain dependent code)
- Advanced window management preferences (coupling among multiple LifeLines windows (overview/ detail timeline), placement and coupling of LifeLines vs full detail document windows. Multiple opening of documents related to a single event, etc.)

We have developed a Java prototype of the LifeLines module. The “phd” data is read from a relational database (Microsoft Access) using a data model similar to the one described in Fig. 5. A control panel written in Java allows users to select facets and map “phd” attributes to display attributes, and generates the “lld” data for the LifeLines applet. We have started the process of testing the Java prototype with clinical data from a fully computerized patient record system (in collaboration with IBM and Kaiser Permanente Colorado). A recent case study (Plaisant et al., 1998) shows how the 6 month record of a patient with multiple concurrent problems was effectively modeled and visualized (summarizing 25–30 screens of data). It illustrates how significant elements are easily spotted, and how correlations and their absence can be sought by scanning vertically and horizontally. The displays were found easy to interpret at a glance and feedback from 18 physicians was consistently positive. We are planning a test with a larger number of events, using intensive care data.

(a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACET SELECTION/OVERLAPPING</th>
<th>ATTRIBUTE MAPPING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Show</td>
<td>facet and event types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>Visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>Tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>Imaging order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>Imaging result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>Lab order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>Labs result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>Medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>drug order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>drug dispense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>adverse reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Facets can be moved up and down with direction arrows]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATTRIBUTE VALUE MAPPING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Values of: test name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>use as-is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allow truncating: yes/no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Values of: review</th>
<th>Values of: color</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>unremarkable</td>
<td>black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see note</td>
<td>orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abnormal</td>
<td>red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pending</td>
<td>purple</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Values of: cost</th>
<th>Values of: Thickness (pixels)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-200</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201-500</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-2000</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000+</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 13. (a).
Fig. 13. (a) Example control panel allowing users or application managers to modify the display parameters. Here the user chose not to show the immunization facet and is currently mapping the attributes of imaging results. Because the number of attributes can become very large users can also mark some attributes with an “ignore” flag. The corresponding data will not be available at runtime for querying or remapping (e.g., “insurance” will not be in the lld data, while “provider” remains available for rapid querying at runtime). Facets can be moved up and down with the arrow keys, or indented to the right and form a hierarchy to facilitate the browsing of long facet lists. (b) A draft implementation of the database (MS Access) and a Java control panel.
12. CONCLUSION

Records of personal histories are needed in a variety of applications. Members of the medical and legal professions examine a record to garner information that will allow them to make an informed decision regarding their patient or case. Decision making critically depends on gleaning the complete story, spotting trends, noting critical incidents or cause-effect relationships, and reviewing previous actions. Professional histories, in the form of résumés, help employers relate a prospect’s skills and experiences to employment and education. Financial and retirement plans associate past and upcoming events to culminate in an expected result. In most applications, delays in gathering the information to construct a meaningful overview of the record can have deleterious effects. Thus, in a medical situation, a patient’s treatment may be delayed while charts and lab results are assembled. In a social work situation, assistance to a youth in detention may be delayed for weeks while school and court records are brought together.

While more attention is now put on developing standards for gathering and exchanging personal records (especially in the medical field), little effort had been applied to designing appropriate visualization and navigation techniques to present and explore personal history records.

This paper proposes an information architecture for personal history data and describes how the data model can be extended to a runtime model for an intuitive visualization using graphical timelines. Our information architecture is developed for medical patient records, but is usable in other application domains such as juvenile justice or personal resumes. Future challenges include better algorithms to rapidly display and animate large records, visual rule editors for user control of the summarization process, and distributed architectures to gather data from multiple sources.

13. ONLINE PROJECT INFORMATION AND DEMONSTRATIONS
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