

Announcements


- Final Exam Friday, May 16th 8am
- Review Session here, Thursday 11am.



Lighting affects appearance



Photometric Stereo: using this variability to reconstruct




Albedos



Shape (normals only)




Recognition: Accounting for this variability in matching





Basics: How do we represent light? (1)

- Ideal distant point source:
 - No cast shadows
 - Light distant
 - Three parameters
 - Example: lab with controlled light




Basics: How do we represent light? (2)

- Environment map: $I(\theta, \phi)$
 - Light from all directions
 - Diffuse or point sources
 - Still distant
 - Still no cast shadows.
 - Example: outdoors (sky and sun)



Basics

- How do objects reflect light?
- Lambertian reflectance



Reflectance map

- Reflected light is function of surface normal: $i = f(\theta, \phi)$
- Suitable for environment map.
- Can be measured with calibration object.

Photometric stereo

- Given reflectance map:
 - $i = f(\theta, \phi)$ each image constrains normal to one degree of freedom.
- Given multiple images, solve at each point.

Lambertian + Point Source


$$\vec{l} = l \bullet \vec{l} \quad \begin{cases} \vec{l} \text{ is direction of light} \\ l \text{ is intensity of light} \end{cases}$$

$$i = \max(0, \lambda(\vec{l} \bullet \hat{n}))$$

i is radiance

λ is *albedo*


\hat{n} is surface normal



Lambertian, point sources, no shadows. (Shashua, Moses)

- *Whiteboard*
- Solution linear
- Linear ambiguity in recovering scaled normals
- Lighting, reflectance map not known.
- Recognition by linear combinations.


Linear basis for lighting




Integrability

- Means we can write height: $z=f(x,y)$.
- *Whiteboard*
- Reduces ambiguity to bas-relief ambiguity.
- Also useful in shape-from-shading and other photometric stereo.

Bas-relief Ambiguity



Shadows



With Shadows: Empirical Study






(Epstein, Hallinan and Yuille;
see also Hallinan; Belhumeur and Kriegman)

	Ball	Face	Phone	Parrot
#1	48.2	53.7	67.9	42.8
#3	94.4	90.2	88.2	76.3
#5	97.9	93.5	94.1	84.7
#7	99.1	95.3	96.3	88.5
#9	99.5	96.3	97.2	90.7



Dimension: $5 \pm 2D$

Attached Shadows

- Lambertian
- Environment map



Forming Harmonic Images




Experiments

- 3-D Models of 42 faces acquired with scanner.
- 30 query images for each of 10 faces (300 images).
- Pose automatically computed using manually selected features (Blicher and Roy).
- Best lighting found for each model; best fitting model wins.



Results

- 9D Linear Method: 90% correct.
- 9D Non-negative light: 88% correct.
- Ongoing work: Most errors seem due to pose problems. With better poses, results seem near 97%.





Summary

- Linear solutions are good.
- For pose variation with points, each image is linear combination of 2 others.
- For Lambertian lighting no shadows, each image is linear combination of 3.
- With attached shadows, linear combination of 9.
- Only diffuse lighting affects images, unless there are shadows or specularities.

This document was created with Win2PDF available at <http://www.daneprairie.com>.
The unregistered version of Win2PDF is for evaluation or non-commercial use only.