

# Proving DFA to CFG Construction

Justin Kruskal

April 25, 2012

## 1 Introduction

How does one prove that all DFA's recognize CFL's. Here are two ways. Let  $M$  be a DFA.

1. Since all DFA's are PDA's,  $M$  is a PDA. For all PDA's  $M$  there exists CFL  $G$  such that  $L(M) = L(G)$ . The drawback of this proof is that it requires PDA-to-CFG theorem.
2. For all DFA's  $M$  there exists a regular expression  $\alpha$  such that  $L(M) = L(\alpha)$ . By induction on the formation of a regular expression one can easily show that, for all regular expressions  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL. The drawback of this proof is that it requires the DFA-to-Reg Expression theorem.

Can one transform a DFA into a CFG directly? Yes. We give the proof in the next section. It resembles the proof that if  $M$  is DFA then there is a regular expression for  $\alpha$  such that  $L(M) = L(\alpha)$ . (The  $R(i, j, k)$  construction.)

## 2 The Direct Proof

**Theorem** If  $M$  is a DFA then there exists CFG  $G$  such that  $L(M) = L(G)$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$ . We can assume  $Q = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and that  $s = 1$ .

We want to form CFG  $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$  such that  $L(G) = L(M)$ .

- $N = \{[i, j, k] : (i, j, k) \in Q \times Q \times \{0, \dots, n\}\} \cup \{S\} \cup \{[k, k, k-1, *] : k \in \{2, \dots, n\}\}$ .

Our intention is that

$[i, j, k] \Rightarrow \{w \in \Sigma^* : \bar{\delta}(i, w) = j \text{ and the path only uses states } \leq k\}$ .

- $S$  is the start state.
- We define  $P$  the set of productions.
  - For every  $f \in F$  we have the production  $S \rightarrow (1, f, n)$ .

- *Generating base productions.* For every  $i, j \in Q$ ,
  - $[i, j, 0] \rightarrow \{\sigma \in \Sigma : \bar{\delta}(i, \sigma) = j\}$
  - $[i, i, 0] \rightarrow e$
- *Generating the rest of the productions.* For every  $i, j \in Q$ , and every  $1 \leq k \leq n$ :
  - $[i, j, k] \rightarrow [i, j, k - 1]$
  - $[i, j, k] \rightarrow [i, k, k - 1][k, k, k - 1, *][k, j, k - 1]$
  - $[k, k, k - 1, *] \rightarrow [k, k, k - 1][k, k, k - 1, *]$
  - $[k, k, k - 1, *] \rightarrow e$

The reader can show by induction on  $k$  that, for all  $k, i, j$ ,  
 $[i, j, k] \Rightarrow \{w \in \Sigma^* : \bar{\delta}(i, w) = j \text{ and the path only uses states } \leq k\}$ .