

Excerpt from  
Purely Combinatorial Proofs of Van Der Waerden-Type Theorems  
by William Gasarch and Andy Parrish

**Lemma 0.0.1** *For all  $k, s, c$ , there exists  $U = U(k, s, c)$  such that for every  $c$ -coloring  $\chi : [U] \rightarrow [c]$  there exists  $a, d$  such that*

$$\chi(a) = \chi(a + d) = \cdots = \chi(a + (k - 1)d) = \chi(sd)$$

**Proof:** We prove this by induction on  $c$ . Clearly, for all  $k, s$ ,

$$U(k, s, 1) = \max\{k, s\}.$$

We assume  $U(k, s, c - 1)$  exists and show that  $U(k, s, c)$  exists. We will show that

$$U(k, s, c) \leq W((k - 1)sU(k, s, c - 1) + 1, c).$$

Let  $\chi$  be a coloring of  $[W((k - 1)sU(k, s, c - 1) + 1, c)]$ . By the definition of  $W$  there exists  $a, d$  such that

$$\chi(a) = \chi(a + d) = \cdots = \chi(a + (k - 1)sU(k, s, c - 1)d).$$

Assume the color is RED. There are several cases.

**Case 1:** If  $sd$  is RED then since  $a, a + d, \dots, a + (k - 1)d$  are all RED, we are done.

**Case 2:** If  $2sd$  is RED then since  $a, a + 2d, a + 4d, \dots, a + 2(k - 1)d$  are all RED, we are done.

⋮

**Case U(k,s,c-1):** If  $U(k, s, c - 1)sd$  is RED then since  $a, a + U(k, s, c - 1)d, a + 2U(k, s, c - 1)d, \dots, a + (k - 1)U(k, s, c - 1)d$  are all RED, we are done.

**Case U(k,s,c-1)sd+1:** None of the above cases happen. Hence  $sd, 2sd, 3sd, \dots, U(k, s, c - 1)sd$  are all NOT RED.

Consider the coloring  $\chi' : [U(k, s, c - 1)] \rightarrow [c - 1]$  defined by

$$\chi'(x) = \chi(xsd).$$

2

The KEY is that NONE of these will be colored RED so there are only  $c - 1$  colors. By the inductive hypothesis there exists  $a', d'$  such that

$$\chi'(a') = \chi'(a' + d') = \cdots = \chi'(a' + (k - 1)d') = \chi'(sd')$$

so

$$\chi(a'sd) = \chi(a'sd + d'sd) = \cdots = \chi(a'sd + (k - 1)d'sd) = \chi(sd'sd)$$

Let  $A = a'sd$  and  $D = d'sd$ . Then

$$\chi(A) = \chi(A + D) = \cdots = \chi(A + (k - 1)D) = \chi(sD).$$

■