Sparse Sets and MOD Exposition by William Gasarch $SAT_{17} \leq_{m}^{p} S, S$ Sparse $\Rightarrow SAT_{17} \in P$

1 Introduction

$$SAT_{17} = \{ \phi \mid \#(\phi) \equiv 0 \pmod{17} \}.$$

How hard is this set? By a variant of the result of Valiant-Vazarani we know that if $SAT_{17} \in P$ then NP = R.

We ask a different question. Informally, how much information is in this set. One way to pin this down is to ask if it is reducible to a sparse set.

Lozano and Ogihara [1, 2] showed the following:

If there is a sparse set S such that $SAT_{17} \leq_{m}^{p} S$ then $SAT_{17} \in P$. We give an exposition of this results.

(They actually proved quite a bit more: they showed that if $SAT_{17} \leq_{btt} S$ then $SAT_{17} \in P$.)

2 Definitions and Easy Lemma

Def 2.1

- 1. $SAT_{17} = \{ \phi \mid \#(\phi) \equiv 0 \pmod{17} \}.$
- 2. LMOD₁₇ is the set of all triples $(\phi, y, i) \in FML \times \{0, 1\}^* \times \{0, \dots, 16\}$ such that
 - (a) ϕ is a formula on v variables.
 - (b) $y \in \{0,1\}^v$.
 - (c) $|\{\vec{b}: \vec{b} \le y \land \phi(b) = T\}| \equiv i \pmod{17}.$
- 3. We define the ordering \prec on $FML \times \{0, 1\}^* \times \{0, \dots, 16\}$ as follows:
 - (a) If $y_1 < y_2$ (lex) then, for any $\phi, i, j, (\phi, y_1, i) \prec (\phi, y_2, j)$.
 - (b) If $y_1 = y_2$ (lex) and i < j then $(\phi, y_1, i) \prec (\phi, y_2, j)$.

(c) If $\phi_1 \neq \phi_2$ then, for all $y_1, y_2, i_1, i_2, (\phi_1, y_1, i_1)$ is not comparable to (ϕ_2, y_2, i_2) .

Def 2.2 Let $v \in \mathbb{N}$. If $y \in \{0, 1\}^v$ then y^- is the string just below y in the lexicographic order.

Lemma 2.3 There is a polynomial time computable function g such that, for all ϕ, y, i

- 1. $(\phi, y, i) \in LMOD$ iff $g(\phi, y, i) \in LMOD$.
- 2. $g(\phi, y, i) \prec (\phi, y, i)$

Proof:

ALGORITHM FOR g

- 1. Input (ϕ, y, i)
- 2. Evaluate $\phi(y)$.
- 3. If $\phi(y) = TRUE$ then output $(\phi, y^-, i 1 \pmod{17})$.
- 4. If $\phi(y) = FALSE$ then output $(\phi, y^-, i \pmod{17})$.

The following easy lemmas we leave to the reader

Lemma 2.4 LMOD₁₇ \leq_{m}^{p} SAT₁₇.

Lemma 2.5 Let EASYCASE be the set of all $(\phi, 0^v, i)$ such that

- ϕ has v variables.
- The number of $b \in \{0, 1\}^v$ such that $\phi(b)$ and $b \leq 0^v$ is $\equiv i \pmod{17}$.

(This is $LMOD_{17}$ restricted to $y = 0^v$ and is as silly and as easy as it looks.) The set EASYCASE is in P.

3 Intuitions and Chains

Assume for this section that we have the following.

- S is a sparse set. s(n) is the polynomial such that $|S \cap \{0,1\}^n| \leq s(n)$.
- SAT₁₇ $\leq_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{p}} S$ via reduction f. Let p be such that f runs in time p(n).

Given ϕ , we want to determine if $\phi \in \text{SAT}_{17}$. Assume ϕ has v variables and is of length n. We think of this as trying to determine if $(\phi, 1^v, 0) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$.

Note that the question $(\phi, 0^v, i) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$ is easy (by Lemma 2.5). So we want to somehow make our problem equivalent to this one, for some *i*. We offer several bad ideas and then a good one.

Bad Idea I: Let g be the function from Lemma 2.3.

 $(\phi, 1^v, 0) \in \text{SAT}_{17}$ iff $g(\phi, 1^v, 0) \in MOD$ iff $g(g(\phi, 1^v, 0)) \in MOD$ etc.

The good news is that everytime we apply g we get a y-value that is one-lower in the lex ordering, since $g(\phi, y, i)$ is of the form (ϕ, y^-, j) for some j. More good news- each step is easy to compute.

The bad news- it will take 2^n steps before we get to $(\phi, 0^v, j)$.

The bad news sociologically- I didn't use the reduction to a sparse set.

Bad Idea II: Let $f(\phi, 1^v, 0) = z$. Lets look at $f(\phi, 0^v, 0)$, $f(\phi, 0^v, 1)$, $f(\phi, 0^v, 2), \ldots, f(\phi, 0^v, 16)$. If ANY of them are z then GREAT! We would have our problem equivalent to an easy problem. If not then ... oh well.

Bad Ideas III: Again let $f(\phi, 1^v, 0) = z$. Try to find a (ϕ, y, i) such that $f(\phi, y, i) = z$. If so then we have

$$(\phi, 1^v, 0) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$$
 iff $(\phi, y, i) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$.

This may be getting us closer to $(\phi, 0^v, i)$. However, if we keep doing this we could, as in Bad Idea I, be taking steps towards $(\phi, 0^v, i)$ that are too small to get there in polynomial time. Also, how do we find such a (ϕ, y, k) ?

Note that we do have two different ways to have membership-in-LMOD₁₇ be equivalent:

 $(\phi, y, i) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$ iff $g(\phi, y, i) \in LMOD$.

and also

If $f(\phi, y, i) = f(\phi, y', i')$ then

 $(\phi, y, i) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$ iff $(\phi, y', i') \in LMOD$.

We will use both of these to march towards 0^{v} . However realize- we might not get there!! We will set things up so that we either make progress or find out directly if $(\phi, 1^{n}, 0) \in SAT_{17}$.

Def 3.1 A chain of length m is a sequence of the form

- $((\phi, y_1, i_1), z_1))$
- $((\phi, y_2, i_2), z_2))$
- :
- $((\phi, y_m, i_m), z_m))$

such that the following hold.

- 1. $y_1 > y_2 > \cdots > y_m$ in lex order.
- 2. For all j, k

 $(\phi, y_i, i_i) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$ iff $(\phi, y_k, i_k) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$.

(Hence either all of the triples are in $LMOD_{17}$ or all are not in.

- 3. For all j, $f(\phi, y_j, i_j) = z_j$. (Hence, given the last point, either all of the z's are in S or all are not in S.)
- 4. All of the z_i are DIFFERENT.

Good Idea: We will try to build a chain. One of two things must happen.

- 1. The chain will go all the way down to $(\phi, 0^v, i)$ for some *i*. Then we have our question equivalent to an easy question.
- 2. The chain goes long enough that not all of the (different!) values of z's can be in S. Hence at least one is not in S. By the definition of chain, none of them are in S, and we know that $(\phi, 0^v, 0) \notin \text{LMOD}_{17}$.

4 The Key Lemma

Lemma 4.1 Assume there is a sparse set S such that $\text{LMOD}_{17} \leq_{\text{m}}^{\text{p}} S$. Then there is a polynomial time algorithm that does the following. The input is a chain of length m whose last element $y_m \neq 0^v$. The output is either

- 1. $((\phi, y_{m+1}, z_{m+1}))$ that extends the chain, or
- 2. The membership status in LMOD₁₇ of every (ϕ, y, i) on the chain. (Recall that they are either all in or all out.)

Proof:

Here is the algorithm

- 1. Input is
 - $((\phi, y_1, i_1), z_1))$
 - $((\phi, y_2, i_2), z_2))$
 - :
 - $((\phi, y_m, i_m), z_m))$
- 2. Compute $(\phi, y, i) = g(\phi, y_m, i_m)$. Compute $z = f(\phi, y, i)$. If $z \notin \{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$ then
 - (a) $y_{m+1} = y_m^-$
 - (b) $i_{m+1} = i$
 - (c) $z_{m+1} = z$.
 - (d) Note that $y_{m+1} = y_m^- < y_m$. Note that $(\phi, y_{m+1}, i_{m+1}) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$ iff $(\phi, y_m, i_m) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$.
- 3. (If you got here then $f(g(\phi, y_m, i_m)) \in \{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$.) Compute

 $f(\phi, 0^v, 0), f(\phi, 0^v, 1), \dots, f(\phi, 0^v, 16).$

If any of them are in $\{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$ then let *i* be such that $f(\phi, 0^v, i) \in \{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$. Note that

 $(\phi, y_1, z_1) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$ iff $(\phi, 0^v, i) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$.

By Lemma 2.5 we can determine $(\phi, 0^v, i) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$ in polynomial time. We do so, output the answer, and EXIT.

4. Let $y_{\text{begin}} = y_m$ and $y_{\text{end}} = 0^v$. Note that, since we got to this step,

(a)
$$(\exists i \in \{0, \dots, 16\})[f(\phi, y_{\text{begin}}, i) \in \{z_1, \dots, z_m\}]$$

(b) $(\forall i \in \{0, \dots, 16\})[f(\phi, y_{\text{end}}, i) \notin \{z_1, \dots, z_m\}]$

Both of these properties will hold for all of the values that
$$y_{\text{begin}}$$
 and y_{end} take later in the algorithm.

- 5. Let y_{mid} be the value halfway between y_{begin} and y_{end} lexicraphically.
- 6. Compute

$$\{f(\phi, y_{\text{mid}}, 0), f(\phi, y_{\text{mid}}, 1), \dots, f(\phi, y_{\text{mid}}, 16)\}$$

If

$$\{z_1, \ldots, z_m\} \cap \{f(\phi, y_{\text{mid}}, 0), f(\phi, y_{\text{mid}}, 1), \ldots, f(\phi, y_{\text{mid}}, 16)\} \neq \emptyset$$

then

 $y_{\text{begin}} = y_{\text{mid}}$ else $y_{\text{end}} = y_{\text{mid}}$. (The reader can easily check that the property we stated for $y_{\text{begin}}, y_{\text{end}}$ still holds.)

- 7. If $y_{\text{end}} = y_{\text{begin}}^{-}$ then note and do the following.
 - (a) There is an $i, 0 \le i \le 16$, such that $f(\phi, y, i) \in \{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$.
 - (b) For all $i, 0 \le i \le 16, f(\phi, y^-, i) \notin \{z_1, \dots, z_m\}.$
 - (c) Compute $g(\phi, y, i)$. Note that it is of the form (ϕ, y^-, j) . Note the following.
 - i. $f(\phi, y^-, j) \notin \{z_1, \dots, z_m\}.$
 - ii. $(\phi, y^-, j) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$ iff $(\phi, y, i) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$ iff $z \in S$ iff $(\phi, y_1, i_1) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$.
 - iii. Output the ordered pair $((\phi, y^-, j), f(\phi, y^-, j))$.
- 8. (We are only here if we didn't satisfy the IF of the last step.) GOTO Step 2.



5 The Main Theorem

Theorem 5.1 If there exists a sparse set S such that $SAT_{17} \leq_{m}^{p} S$ then $SAT_{17} \in P$.

Proof: By Lemma 2.4

$$LMOD_{17} \leq_{m}^{p} SAT_{17}.$$

By the premise

 $SAT_{17} \leq_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{p}} S.$

Hence we have

$$\mathrm{LMOD}_{17} \leq_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{p}} S.$$

Let f be the reduction and let p be the polynomial that bounds its running time. Let S be s(n)-sparse. That is, $|S \cap \{0,1\}^n| \leq s(n)$.

Here is the algorithm.

- 1. Input ϕ . v be the number of variables in ϕ . Let n be the length of $(\phi, 0^v, 0)$. We will write the last number with 5 bits, so formally its $(\phi, 0^v, 00000)$. This way all of the (ϕ, y, i) we deal with will be the same length. Let n be that length. Note that $|f(\phi, y, i)| \leq p(n)$.
- 2. Let $y_1 = 1^v$, $i_1 = 0$, and $z_1 = f(\phi, y_1, z_1)$. View $((\phi, y_1, i_1), z_1)$ as the first element of a chain.
- 3. Apply the algorithm from Lemma 4.1 over and over again to the chain until one of the following occurs.
 - (a) The algorithm returns the actual answer to $(\phi, y_1, i_1) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$. Output that answer and EXIT.
 - (b) The algorithm returns with $(\phi, 0^v, i)$. By Lemma 2.5 the question $(\phi, 0^v, i) \in \text{LMOD}_{17}$ can easily be answered. Do so and output the answer.
 - (c) The chain has s(p(n)) + 1 elements in it. Since S is sparse and the reduction is time p(n), these numbers cannot all be in S. Hence there exists some $z_i \notin S$. By the definition of a chain, none of them are in LMOD₁₇. Output NO and EXIT.

References

- M. Ogiwara and A. Lozano. On one query self-reducible sets. In Proceedings of the 6th IEEE Conference on Structure in Complexity Theory, Chicago IL, pages 139–151. IEEE Computer Society Press, 1991.
- [2] M. Ogiwara and A. Lozano. On sparse hard sets for counting classes. Theoretical Computer Science, 112:255–275, 1993.