## HW 4 CMSC 389. DUE Jan 9

NOTE- THERE ARE TWO PAGES TO THIS ASSIGNMENT!!!! NOTE- DO NOT HAND ME HARDCOPY. INSTEAD EMAIL THE TA michaelroberts94@gmail.com the hw. Any format fine, pdf prefered. You MUST email it to him BEFORE 1:00 on Friday.

- 1. (10 points) READ my NOTES on line. What is your name? Write it clearly. Staple your HW.
- 2. (0 points but you want to do this). Take all of the programs you've written for this course. Modify them as follows: (1) On inputting a text the first thing you do is remove all puncation and make all of the letters small letters, (2) consider the alphabet to be {a, b, c, ..., z, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9} and hence do operations mod 36 rather than mod 26, (3) break text into blocks of five.
- 3. (30 points) In this problem we use the affine cipher with f(x) = 2x (YES, I know that 2 is not rel prime to 26)
  - (a) Encode the message: Discrete
  - (b) Take the encoded message. List all of the ways it can be decoded (there are more than one which is why it's BAD to take the *a* to NOT be rel prime to 26).
  - (c) Give SOME way that the affine cipher can be modified so that it CAN work with any *a*. (there are many answers for this one question).
- 4. (30 points) You are given a text T that was coded by the Vigenere cipher. In the text you notice that the sequence a9q occurs with a in the 8th, 38th, 48th place. What are good guesses for the length of the key? (There is more than one good guess).
- 5. (30 points) Doctor Dogz has the following ideas to make ciphers MORE secure. For each one say if it makes it more secure or not. The notion of *more secure* is not a rigorous concept; even so, DISCUSS INTELLI-GENTLY (you can't just say YES or NO). All math is mod 36 (with alphabet  $\{a, \ldots, z, 0, \ldots, 9\}$ ).

- (a) Let  $f_1(x) = x + s_1$  and  $f_2(x) = x + s_2$  be two shift ciphers. If we use  $f_1(f_2(x))$  that will be more secure than either  $f_1$  or  $f_2$  alone!
- (b) Let  $f_1(x) = a_1x + s_1$  and  $f_2(x) = a_2x + s_2$  be two affine ciphers  $(a_1, a_2 \text{ are rel prime to 36})$ . If we use  $f_1(f_2(x))$  that will be more secure than either  $f_1$  or  $f_2$  alone!
- (c) Let  $f_1(x) = a_1x^2 + b_1x + c_1$  and  $f_2(x) = a_2x^2 + b_2x + c_2$ . AND they are both 1-1 so both CAN be used for a cipher. If we use  $f_1(f_2(x))$  that will be more secure than either  $f_1$  or  $f_2$  alone!
- (d) Let  $f_1$  code via a Vigenere cipher and let  $f_2(x) = x + s$ . If we take a text T and first apply  $f_1$  to the text and then apply  $f_2$  to what we get that will be more secure than  $f_1$  alone! (I am not bothering saying it's more secure than  $f_2$  alone since that is clearly true.)
- (e) Let  $f_1$  code via a Vigenere cipher and let  $f_2(x)$  be a general substitution cipher. If we take a text T and first apply  $f_1$  to the text and then apply  $f_2$  to what we get that will be more secure than  $f_1$ alone! (I am not bothering saying it's more secure than  $f_2$  alone since that is clearly true.)