A Sane Proof that $COL_k \leq COL_3$

By William Gasarch

1 Introduction

Let $A \leq B$ mean that there is a polynomial-time computable function f such that $x \in A$ iff $f(x) \in B$.

Def 1.1 Let $k \ge 2$. COL_k is the set of all graphs that are k-colorable

The following are well known.

- For all $k \ge 2$, $COL_k \le SAT$ (this is by the Cook-Levin Theorem).
- For all $k \ge 2$, For all $k \ge 3$, $SAT \le COL_k$, hence COL_k is NP-complete.
- If a < b then COL_a ≤ COL_b by an easy reduction (Take G and add K_{b-a} and an edge from every elements of K_{b-a} to the original graph.)

The proof that $COL_3 \leq COL_4$ is very easy: just add a vertex to G and connect it to all the elements of G. Is $COL_4 \leq COL_3$? Yes via

$$COL_4 \leq SAT \leq COL_3.$$

This is true but unsatisfying. One of my students said

It's counterintuitive and makes me sad.

So we asked informally: Is there a SANE reduction $COL_4 \leq COL_3$. There is and we present it here. In fact we show $COL_k \leq COL_3$.

A same proof is already known. Let $HCOL_k$ is the set of all hypergraphs that are k-colorable Lovasz [1] showed

$$COL_k \leq HCOL_2 \leq COL_3.$$

Our proof does not use $HCOL_2$ or any $HCOL_k$.

Def 1.2 GAD(x, y, z) is the following graph. (The vertices that don't have labels are never referred to so we don't need to label them.)

We leave the proof of the following easy lemma to the reader.

Lemma 1.3 If GAD(x, y, z) is three colored and x, y get the same color, then z also gets that color.

Def 1.4 $GAD(x_1, ..., x_k, z)$ consists of $GAD(x_1, x_2, y_1)$, $GAD(y_1, x_3, y_2)$, $GAD(y_2, x_4, y_3)$, ..., $GAD(y_{k-3}, x_{k-1}, y_{k-2})$, and $GAD(y_{k-2}, x_k, z)$. Note that, (1) not including $x_1, ..., x_k, z$, $GAD(y_{k-2}, x_k, z)$ has $3(k-2) + 1 = 3k - 5 \le 3k$ vertices, and (2) $5(k-1) = 5k - 5 \le 5k$ edges.

We leave the proof of the following easy lemma to the reader.

Lemma 1.5 Let $k \ge 2$. If $GAD(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k, z)$ is three colored and $x_1, ..., x_k$ get the same color, then z also gets that color.

Theorem 1.6 Let $k \ge 2$. $COL_k \le COL_3$ by a simple reduction. Let f be the reduction. If G has n vertices and e edges then f(G) = G' has $\le 2k^2n + 2ke$ vertices and $\le 3k^2n + 2ke$ edges.

- **Proof:** Let G have vertices v_1, \ldots, v_n and edge set E. We construct G':
 - 1. Vertices T, F, R which will form a triangle. In any coloring they have different colors which we call T, F, R. This is 3 vertices and 3 edges. (We won't count these in the end since our crude upper bounds on the vertices and edges in G' will clearly be over by at least 3.)
 - 2. For $1 \le i \le n$ and $1 \le j \le k$ vertex v_{ij} . All of these will be connected by an edge to vertex R. This will be kn vertices and kn edges. Here is our intent and how we achieve it:
 - (a) For all 1 ≤ i ≤ n our intent is: v_{ij} is colored T means that vertex v_i in G is colored j;
 v_{ij} is colored F means that vertex v_i in G is NOT colored j.
 - (b) For all 1 ≤ i ≤ n we need that at least one of v_{i1},..., v_{in} is colored T. Hence we need it to NOT be the case that v_{i1}, v_{i2},..., v_{in} are all colored F. We place the gadget G(v_{i1},..., v_{in}, T) in the graph. If v_{i1},..., v_{in} are all colored F then this gadget will not be 3-colorable. This is ≤ 3kn vertices and ≤ 5kn edges.
 - (c) For all 1 ≤ i ≤ n we need that at most one of v_{i1},..., v_{ik} is colored T. Hence we need that for each pair at most one is colored T. For each 1 ≤ j₁ < j₂ ≤ k we place the gadget GAD(v_{ij1}, v_{ij2}, F). This is n(^k₂) × 2 ≤ k²n vertices and n(^k₂) × 5 ≤ 2.5k²n edges.
 - For each edge (v_i, v_j) in the original graph we want to make sure that v_i and v_j are not the same color. Place the gadgets GAD(v_{i1}, v_{j1}, F), GAD(v_{i2}, v_{j2}, F),..., GAD(v_{ik}, v_{jk}, F). This is 2ke vertices and 5ke edges.

Note that the number of vertices in G' is $\leq kn + 3kn + k^2n + 2ke \leq 2k^2n + 2ke$ vertices and $\leq kn + 5kn + 2.5k^2n + 2ke \leq 3k^2n + 2ke$ edges.

Clearly G is k-colorable iff G' is 3-colorable.

References

[1] L. Lovasz. Coverings and colorings of hypergraphs. In *Proc. of the 4th Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Computing*, pages 3–12, 1973.