# BILL AND NATHAN START RECORDING

# **Context Free Languages**

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

I am supposed to say:



I am supposed to say:

Most prog langs are Context Free Languages



I am supposed to say:

Most prog langs are Context Free Languages

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

I am supposed to say:

#### Most prog langs are Context Free Languages

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

However, most programming languages are almost context free.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

I am supposed to say:

### Most prog langs are Context Free Languages

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

However, most programming languages are **almost context free**. Our interest in CFL's is:

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

I am supposed to say:

### Most prog langs are Context Free Languages

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

However, most programming languages are almost context free.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

Our interest in CFL's is:

1) Languages that are CFL but not Regular.

I am supposed to say:

### Most prog langs are Context Free Languages

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

However, most programming languages are almost context free.

Our interest in CFL's is:

1) Languages that are CFL but not Regular.

2) CFL's are all in P (poly time).

I am supposed to say:

### Most prog langs are Context Free Languages

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

However, most programming languages are almost context free.

Our interest in CFL's is:

1) Languages that are CFL but not Regular.

2) CFL's are all in P (poly time).

3) Closure properties of CFLs.

I am supposed to say:

### Most prog langs are Context Free Languages

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

However, most programming languages are almost context free.

Our interest in CFL's is:

- 1) Languages that are CFL but not Regular.
- 2) CFL's are all in P (poly time).
- 3) Closure properties of CFLs.
- 4) Languages that require a LARGE NFA but a SMALL CFG.

I am supposed to say:

### Most prog langs are Context Free Languages

However, this is not quite true. PL people - discuss!

However, most programming languages are almost context free.

Our interest in CFL's is:

- 1) Languages that are CFL but not Regular.
- 2) CFL's are all in P (poly time).
- 3) Closure properties of CFLs.
- 4) Languages that require a LARGE NFA but a SMALL CFG.

5) Which languages are not context free?

**Examples of Context Free Grammars** 

S 
ightarrow aSbS 
ightarrow e

The set of all strings Generated is

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

### **Examples of Context Free Grammars**

S 
ightarrow aSbS 
ightarrow e

The set of all strings Generated is

$$L = \{a^n b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

### **Examples of Context Free Grammars**

S 
ightarrow aSbS 
ightarrow e

The set of all strings Generated is

$$L = \{a^n b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

**Note** *L* is a context free lang that is not regular.

# Context Free Grammar for $\{a^{2n}b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

 $S 
ightarrow aaSb \ S 
ightarrow e$ 

The set of all strings Generated is



# Context Free Grammar for $\{a^{2n}b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

 $S 
ightarrow aaSb \ S 
ightarrow e$ 

The set of all strings Generated is

$$L = \{a^{2n}b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへの

# Context Free Grammar for $\{a^{2n}b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

 $S 
ightarrow aaSb \ S 
ightarrow e$ 

The set of all strings Generated is

$$L = \{a^{2n}b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

▲ロト ▲周 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト 一 ヨ … の Q ()

**Note** *L* is context free lang that is not regular.

### Context Free Grammar for $\{a^m b^n : m > n\}$

#### DO WITH YOUR TABLE MATES- NO PERSON IS AN ISLAND.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - のへで

Context Free Grammar for  $\{a^m b^n : m > n\}$ 

#### DO WITH YOUR TABLE MATES- NO PERSON IS AN ISLAND. $S \rightarrow AT$ $T \rightarrow aTb$ $T \rightarrow e$ $A \rightarrow Aa$ $A \rightarrow a$

▲ロト ▲周 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト 一 ヨ … の Q ()

### **Context Free Grammars**

**Def** A **Context Free Grammar** is a tuple  $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$ 

- ► *N* is a finite set of **nonterminals**.
- $\Sigma$  is a finite **alphabet**. Note  $\Sigma \cap N = \emptyset$ .
- $R \subseteq N \times (N \cup \Sigma)^*$  and are called **Rules**.
- $S \in N$ , the start symbol.

If A is non-terminal then the CFG gives us gives us rules like:

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○



If A is non-terminal then the CFG gives us gives us rules like:

$$\blacktriangleright A \to AB$$

$$\blacktriangleright$$
  $A \rightarrow a$ 

For any string of **terminals and non-terminals**  $\alpha$ ,  $A \Rightarrow \alpha$  means that, starting from A, some combination of the rules produces  $\alpha$ .

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

If A is non-terminal then the CFG gives us gives us rules like:

$$\blacktriangleright A \to AB$$

$$\blacktriangleright$$
  $A \rightarrow a$ 

For any string of **terminals and non-terminals**  $\alpha$ ,  $A \Rightarrow \alpha$  means that, starting from A, some combination of the rules produces  $\alpha$ . **Examples:** 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - のへで

$$\blacktriangleright A \Rightarrow a$$

$$\blacktriangleright A \Rightarrow aB$$

If A is non-terminal then the CFG gives us gives us rules like:

$$\blacktriangleright A \to AB$$

$$\blacktriangleright$$
  $A \rightarrow a$ 

For any string of **terminals and non-terminals**  $\alpha$ ,  $A \Rightarrow \alpha$  means that, starting from A, some combination of the rules produces  $\alpha$ . **Examples:** 

$$\blacktriangleright A \Rightarrow a$$

$$\blacktriangleright A \Rightarrow aB$$

Then, if w is string of **terminals only**, we define L(G) by:

$$L(G) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid S \Rightarrow w\}$$

\*ロト \*目 \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Number of a's = Number of b's

ls

$$L = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$$

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

context free?

YES

Let G be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb$   $S \rightarrow bSa$   $S \rightarrow SS$  $S \rightarrow e$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

YES

Let G be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb$   $S \rightarrow bSa$   $S \rightarrow SS$   $S \rightarrow e$ Thm  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}.$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Let *G* be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb$   $S \rightarrow bSa$   $S \rightarrow SS$   $S \rightarrow e$ Thm  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}.$ 

Note This Theorem is not obvious. Deserves a proof!



Let *G* be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb$   $S \rightarrow bSa$   $S \rightarrow SS$   $S \rightarrow e$ Thm  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}.$ Note This Theorem is not obvious. Deserves a proof!

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

Contrast

Thm  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}.$ 

Note This Theorem is not obvious. Deserves a proof!

#### Contrast

Never proved a DFA recognized language we claimed it did.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

Thm  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}.$ 

Note This Theorem is not obvious. Deserves a proof!

#### Contrast

Never proved a DFA recognized language we claimed it did. Never proved a regex generated the language we claimed it did.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

Thm 
$$L(G) = \{ w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w) \}.$$

Note This Theorem is not obvious. Deserves a proof!

#### Contrast

Never proved a DFA recognized language we claimed it did. Never proved a regex generated the language we claimed it did. Gasarch's Principle Never prove an obvious Theorem.

Thm 
$$L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}.$$

Note This Theorem is not obvious. Deserves a proof!

#### Contrast

Never proved a DFA recognized language we claimed it did. Never proved a regex generated the language we claimed it did. **Gasarch's Principle** Never prove an obvious Theorem. (Exception: a course on foundations. I proved x + y = y + x.)

### Deserves a Proof But...

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Let G be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb$   $S \rightarrow bSa$   $S \rightarrow SS$  $S \rightarrow e$ 

### Deserves a Proof But...

Let G be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb$   $S \rightarrow bSa$   $S \rightarrow SS$   $S \rightarrow e$ Thm  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}.$ 

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへで

#### Deserves a Proof But...

Let G be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb$   $S \rightarrow bSa$   $S \rightarrow SS$   $S \rightarrow e$ Thm  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}.$ 

Note This Theorem is not obvious. Deserves a proof!

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

#### Deserves a Proof But...

Let *G* be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb$   $S \rightarrow bSa$   $S \rightarrow SS$   $S \rightarrow e$ Thm  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}.$ Note This Theorem is not obvious. Deserves a proof! Note Proof is messy.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

#### Deserves a Proof But...

Let G be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb$   $S \rightarrow bSa$   $S \rightarrow SS$   $S \rightarrow e$ There L(G) (m)

Thm  $L(G) = \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}.$ 

Note This Theorem is not obvious. Deserves a proof!

Note Proof is messy.

**Solution** The proof is on the slides, but I won't go over it, and you don't need to know it for a HW or Exam.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

Let *G* be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ 

Let *G* be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ Thm  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{ \alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha \}$  (Note that we allow S in  $\alpha$ .)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

Let G be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ Thm  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{ \alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha \}$  (Note that we allow S in  $\alpha$ .)

Thm  $L(G)' \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}.$ 

Let G be the CFG

 $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ 

**Thm**  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{ \alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha \}$  (Note that we allow S in  $\alpha$ .) Thm  $L(G)' \subseteq \{ w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w) \}$ .

This is by induction on numb of steps in the derivation from S.

Let G be the CFG

 $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ 

**Thm**  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{ \alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha \}$  (Note that we allow S in  $\alpha$ .) Thm  $L(G)' \subseteq \{ w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w) \}$ .

This is by induction on numb of steps in the derivation from S.

**Base Case** In one step can only get  $\alpha \in \{aSb, bSa, SS, e\}$ .

Let G be the CFG

 $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ 

**Thm**  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{ \alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha \}$  (Note that we allow S in  $\alpha$ .) Thm  $L(G)' \subseteq \{ w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w) \}$ .

This is by induction on numb of steps in the derivation from S.

**Base Case** In one step can only get  $\alpha \in \{aSb, bSa, SS, e\}$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $S \Rightarrow \beta$  in n-1 steps then  $\#_a(\beta) = \#_b(\beta)$ .

Let G be the CFG

 $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ 

**Thm**  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{ \alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha \}$  (Note that we allow S in  $\alpha$ .) Thm  $L(G)' \subseteq \{ w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w) \}$ .

This is by induction on numb of steps in the derivation from S.

**Base Case** In one step can only get  $\alpha \in \{aSb, bSa, SS, e\}$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $S \Rightarrow \beta$  in n-1 steps then  $\#_a(\beta) = \#_b(\beta)$ .

**Ind Step** Assume  $S \Rightarrow \alpha$  in *n* steps. Look at the last step.

Let G be the CFG

 $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ 

Thm  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{ \alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha \}$  (Note that we allow S in  $\alpha$ .) Thm  $L(G)' \subseteq \{ w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w) \}.$ 

This is by induction on numb of steps in the derivation from S.

**Base Case** In one step can only get  $\alpha \in \{aSb, bSa, SS, e\}$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $S \Rightarrow \beta$  in n-1 steps then  $\#_a(\beta) = \#_b(\beta)$ .

Ind Step Assume  $S \Rightarrow \alpha$  in *n* steps. Look at the last step. Case  $1 \ S \Rightarrow \alpha' S \alpha'' \to \alpha' a Sb \alpha$ . By IH  $\#_a(\alpha' S \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'')$ .  $\#_a(\alpha' a Sb \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'') + 1$ .  $\#_b(\alpha' a Sb \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'') + 1$ .

Let G be the CFG

 $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ 

**Thm**  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{ \alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha \}$  (Note that we allow S in  $\alpha$ .) Thm  $L(G)' \subseteq \{ w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w) \}$ .

This is by induction on numb of steps in the derivation from S.

**Base Case** In one step can only get  $\alpha \in \{aSb, bSa, SS, e\}$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $S \Rightarrow \beta$  in n-1 steps then  $\#_a(\beta) = \#_b(\beta)$ .

Ind Step Assume  $S \Rightarrow \alpha$  in *n* steps. Look at the last step. Case  $1 \ S \Rightarrow \alpha' S \alpha'' \to \alpha' a Sb \alpha$ . By IH  $\#_a(\alpha' S \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'')$ .  $\#_a(\alpha' a Sb \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'') + 1$ .  $\#_b(\alpha' a Sb \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'') + 1$ .

Hence

 $#_{a}(\alpha' aSb\alpha'') = #_{b}(\alpha' aSb\alpha'')$ 

Let G be the CFG

 $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ 

**Thm**  $L(G) \subseteq \{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\}$ . We prove something stronger.

Let  $L(G)' = \{ \alpha \in \{S, a, b\}^* : S \Rightarrow \alpha \}$  (Note that we allow S in  $\alpha$ .) Thm  $L(G)' \subseteq \{ w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w) \}.$ 

This is by induction on numb of steps in the derivation from S.

**Base Case** In one step can only get  $\alpha \in \{aSb, bSa, SS, e\}$ .

**Ind Hyp** If  $S \Rightarrow \beta$  in n-1 steps then  $\#_a(\beta) = \#_b(\beta)$ .

Ind Step Assume  $S \Rightarrow \alpha$  in *n* steps. Look at the last step. Case  $1 \ S \Rightarrow \alpha' S \alpha'' \to \alpha' a Sb \alpha$ . By IH  $\#_a(\alpha' S \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'')$ .  $\#_a(\alpha' a Sb \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'') + 1$ .  $\#_b(\alpha' a Sb \alpha'') = \#_b(\alpha' S \alpha'') + 1$ .

Hence

 $\#_{a}(\alpha' a Sb\alpha'') = \#_{b}(\alpha' a Sb\alpha'')$ 

Case 2 Other cases for last step similar.

Let *G* be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ 



Let G be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ Thm  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ . This is not obvious!

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

Let G be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ Thm  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ . This is not obvious!

We must show that every w with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated.

Let *G* be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ Thm  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ . This is not obvious! We must show that every *w* with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated. DISCUSS WITH YOUR TABLE MATES.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □ ● ●

Let G be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ Thm  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ . This is not obvious! We must show that every w with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated. DISCUSS WITH YOUR TABLE MATES. We use induction on |w|.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Let G be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ Thm  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ . This is not obvious! We must show that every w with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated. DISCUSS WITH YOUR TABLE MATES. We use induction on |w|.

**Base Case** |w| = 0. So w = e. Can be generated by  $S \rightarrow e$ .

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

Let *G* be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ Thm  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ . This is not obvious! We must show that every *w* with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated. DISCUSS WITH YOUR TABLE MATES. We use induction on |w|.

**Base Case** |w| = 0. So w = e. Can be generated by  $S \rightarrow e$ .

Ind Hyp If  $|w'| \leq n-1$  and  $\#_a(w') = \#_b(w')$  then  $w' \in L(G)$ .

Let *G* be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ Thm  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ . This is not obvious! We must show that every *w* with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated. DISCUSS WITH YOUR TABLE MATES. We use induction on |w|. Base Case |w| = 0. So w = e. Can be generated by  $S \rightarrow e$ .

Ind Hyp If  $|w'| \le n - 1$  and  $\#_a(w') = \#_b(w')$  then  $w' \in L(G)$ . Ind Step Let w be such that  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$ .

Let G be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ Thm  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ . This is not obvious! We must show that every w with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated. DISCUSS WITH YOUR TABLE MATES. We use induction on |w|. **Base Case** |w| = 0. So w = e. Can be generated by  $S \rightarrow e$ . Ind Hyp If  $|w'| \leq n-1$  and  $\#_a(w') = \#_b(w')$  then  $w' \in L(G)$ . **Ind Step** Let w be such that  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$ .

**Case 1** w = aw'b. Then  $w' \in L(G)$ . By IH  $S \Rightarrow w'$ .  $S \rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aw'b$ 

Let G be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ Thm  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ . This is not obvious! We must show that every w with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated. DISCUSS WITH YOUR TABLE MATES. We use induction on |w|. **Base Case** |w| = 0. So w = e. Can be generated by  $S \rightarrow e$ . Ind Hyp If  $|w'| \leq n-1$  and  $\#_a(w') = \#_b(w')$  then  $w' \in L(G)$ . **Ind Step** Let w be such that  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$ . **Case 1** w = aw'b. Then  $w' \in L(G)$ . By IH  $S \Rightarrow w'$ .  $S \rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aw'b$ 

**Case 2** w = bw'a. Similar.

Let G be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ Thm  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ . This is not obvious! We must show that every w with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated. DISCUSS WITH YOUR TABLE MATES. We use induction on |w|. **Base Case** |w| = 0. So w = e. Can be generated by  $S \rightarrow e$ . Ind Hyp If  $|w'| \leq n-1$  and  $\#_a(w') = \#_b(w')$  then  $w' \in L(G)$ . **Ind Step** Let w be such that  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$ . **Case 1** w = aw'b. Then  $w' \in L(G)$ . By IH  $S \Rightarrow w'$ .  $S \rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aw'b$ **Case 2** w = bw'a. Similar. **Case 3** w = aw'a. This is first NON-OBVIOUS part!

Let G be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ Thm  $\{w \mid \#_a(w) = \#_b(w)\} \subseteq L(G)$ . This is not obvious! We must show that every w with  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$  can be generated. DISCUSS WITH YOUR TABLE MATES. We use induction on |w|. **Base Case** |w| = 0. So w = e. Can be generated by  $S \rightarrow e$ . Ind Hyp If  $|w'| \leq n-1$  and  $\#_a(w') = \#_b(w')$  then  $w' \in L(G)$ . **Ind Step** Let w be such that  $\#_a(w) = \#_b(w)$ . **Case 1** w = aw'b. Then  $w' \in L(G)$ . By IH  $S \Rightarrow w'$ .  $S \rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aw'b$ **Case 2** w = bw'a. Similar. **Case 3** w = aw'a. This is first NON-OBVIOUS part! Next Slide.

Let *G* be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ 



Let *G* be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$ **Case 3** w = aw'a. Let  $w = a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}a$ . Look at prefixes of *w*:

▲ロト ▲周 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト 一 ヨ … の Q ()

Let *G* be the CFG  $S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$  **Case 3** w = aw'a. Let  $w = a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}a$ . Look at prefixes of w:  $a: \#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$ 

Let G be the CFG  

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$
  
**Case 3**  $w = aw'a$ . Let  $w = a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}a$ . Look at prefixes of  $w$ :  
 $a: \#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$   
For all  $2 \le i \le n-1$ , EITHER  
 $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .  
OR  
 $\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .  
But NOT both.

Let G be the CFG  

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$
  
**Case 3**  $w = aw'a$ . Let  $w = a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}a$ . Look at prefixes of  $w$ :  
 $a: \#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$   
For all  $2 \le i \le n-1$ , EITHER  
 $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .  
OR  
 $\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .  
But NOT both.  
 $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) = \frac{n}{2} - 1$   
 $\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) = \frac{n}{2}$ 

Let *G* be the CFG  

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$
  
**Case 3**  $w = aw'a$ . Let  $w = a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}a$ . Look at prefixes of  $w$ :  
 $a: \#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$   
For all  $2 \le i \le n-1$ , EITHER  
 $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .  
OR  
 $\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .  
But NOT both.  
 $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) = \frac{n}{2} - 1$   
 $\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) = \frac{n}{2}$   
Hence

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

Let *G* be the CFG  

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid bSa \mid SS \mid e$$
  
**Case 3**  $w = aw'a$ . Let  $w = a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}a$ . Look at prefixes of *w*:  
 $a: \#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$   
For all  $2 \le i \le n-1$ , EITHER  
 $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .  
OR  
 $\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .  
But NOT both.  
 $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) = \frac{n}{2} - 1$   
 $\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) = \frac{n}{2}$   
Hence  
 $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) < \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1})$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

1) a:  $\#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$ 

1) a: 
$$\#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$$
  
2) For all  $2 \le i \le n - 1$ , EITHER  
 $\#_a(a\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .  
OR  
 $\#_b(a\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

1) a: 
$$\#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$$
  
2) For all  $2 \le i \le n - 1$ , EITHER  
 $\#_a(a\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .  
OR  
 $\#_b(a\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .  
3)  $\#_a(a\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{n-1}) < \#_b(a\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{n-1})$   
Hence there exists  $2 \le i \le n - 1$   
 $\#_a(a\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_i) = \#_b(a\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{i-1})$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

1) a: 
$$\#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$$
  
2) For all  $2 \le i \le n - 1$ , EITHER  
 $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .  
OR  
 $\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .  
3)  $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) < \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1})$   
Hence there exists  $2 \le i \le n - 1$   
 $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1})$ .  
So  $w = w'w''$  where  $w, w' \in L(G)$ . Since  $|w'| < |w|$  and  $|w''| < |w|$ , by IH  
 $S \Rightarrow w'$  and  $S \Rightarrow w''$ .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ ▲国▼ 釣∝⊙

# Recap

1) a: 
$$\#_a(a) > \#_b(a)$$
  
2) For all  $2 \le i \le n - 1$ , EITHER  
 $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .  
OR  
 $\#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1}) + 1$ .  
3)  $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1}) < \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{n-1})$   
Hence there exists  $2 \le i \le n - 1$   
 $\#_a(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i) = \#_b(a\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{i-1})$ .  
So  $w = w'w''$  where  $w, w' \in L(G)$ . Since  $|w'| < |w|$  and  
 $|w''| < |w|$ , by IH  
 $S \Rightarrow w'$  and  $S \Rightarrow w''$ .  
So  
 $S \rightarrow SS \Rightarrow w'w'' = w$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

1)  $\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is NOT a CFL.

▲ロト ▲周 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト 一 ヨ … の Q ()

1)  $\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is NOT a CFL. 2)  $\{a^{n^2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is NOT a CFL.

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

# CLOSURE PROPERTIES AND REG CFL

▲ロト ▲周 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト 一 ヨ … の Q ()

#### **Closure Properties: PROVE or DISPROVE**

If  $L_1, L_2$  are Context Free Languages then

- 1. IS  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is a context free Lang?
- 2. IS  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a context free Lang?
- 3. IS  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  is a context free Lang?
- 4. IS  $\overline{L_1}$  is a context free Lang?
- 5. IS  $L_1^*$  is a context free Lang?

DISCUSS WITH YOUR TABLE MATES.

# $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2 \text{ CFL}$

 $L_1$  is CFL via CFG ( $N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1$ ).  $L_2$  is CFL via CFG ( $N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2$ ).



# $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2 \text{ CFL}$

 $\begin{array}{l} {L_1 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1).} \\ {L_2 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2).} \\ \text{The following CFG generates } {L_1 \cup L_2}. \\ {L_1 \cup L_2 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N, \Sigma, R, S) \text{ where}} \end{array}$ 

# $\textit{L}_1,\textit{L}_2 \;\textit{CFL} \rightarrow \textit{L}_1 \cup \textit{L}_2 \;\textit{CFL}$

 $\begin{array}{l} L_1 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1). \\ L_2 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2). \\ \text{The following CFG generates } L_1 \cup L_2. \\ L_1 \cup L_2 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N, \Sigma, R, S) \text{ where } \\ N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \{S\} \end{array}$ 

# $\textit{L}_1,\textit{L}_2 \;\textit{CFL} \rightarrow \textit{L}_1 \cup \textit{L}_2 \;\textit{CFL}$

 $L_1$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$ .  $L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$ . The following CFG generates  $L_1 \cup L_2$ .  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$  where  $N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \{S\}$ S is start state.

# $\textit{L}_1,\textit{L}_2 \;\textit{CFL} \rightarrow \textit{L}_1 \cup \textit{L}_2 \;\textit{CFL}$

 $L_1$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$ .  $L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$ . The following CFG generates  $L_1 \cup L_2$ .  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$  where  $N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \{S\}$  *S* is start state.  $R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1 \mid S_2\}$ 

# $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2 \text{ CFL}$

 $\begin{array}{l} L_1 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1). \\ L_2 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2). \end{array}$ The following CFG generates  $L_1 \cup L_2.$   $L_1 \cup L_2 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N, \Sigma, R, S) \text{ where } N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \{S\}$ S is start state.  $R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1 \mid S_2\}$ 

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

**Note** We assume  $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$ .

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are regular then  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is regular. This is true for 3 languages or 4 languages or 98 languages.

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are regular then  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is regular. This is true for 3 languages or 4 languages or 98 languages. But if  $L_1, L_2, L_3, \cdots$  is an **infinite** set of regular languages, is  $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots$  regular?

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are regular then  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is regular.

This is true for 3 languages or 4 languages or 98 languages.

But if  $L_1, L_2, L_3, \cdots$  is an **infinite** set of regular languages, is  $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots$  regular?

No, because:

• 
$$L_1 = \{ab\}$$
 is regular.

• 
$$L_k = \{a^k b^k\}$$
 is regular.

• 
$$L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \cdots = \{a^n b^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$
 is not regular.

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are regular then  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is regular.

This is true for 3 languages or 4 languages or 98 languages.

But if  $L_1, L_2, L_3, \cdots$  is an **infinite** set of regular languages, is  $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots$  regular?

No, because:

What about for CFLs?

• 
$$L_1 = \{abc\}$$
 is a CFL.

• 
$$L_k = \{a^k b^k c^k\}$$
 is a CFL.

• We will see later that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L_i = \{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is not CFL.

#### $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cap L_2 \text{ CFL}$

#### NOT TRUE: $a^n b^n c^* \cap a^* b^n c^n = a^n b^n c^n$ .

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

## $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cdot L_2 \text{ CFL}$

 $L_1$  is CFL via CFG ( $N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1$ ).  $L_2$  is CFL via CFG ( $N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2$ ).



## $L_1, L_2 \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L_1 \cdot L_2 \text{ CFL}$

 $\begin{array}{l} L_1 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1). \\ L_2 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2). \\ \text{The following CFG generates } L_1 \cdot L_2. \\ L_1 \cdot L_2 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N, \Sigma, R, S) \text{ where} \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} L_1 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1). \\ L_2 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2). \end{array} \\ The following CFG generates <math>L_1 \cdot L_2. \\ L_1 \cdot L_2 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N, \Sigma, R, S) \text{ where } \\ N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \{S\} \end{array}$ 

*L*<sub>1</sub> is CFL via CFG ( $N_1$ ,  $\Sigma$ ,  $R_1$ ,  $S_1$ ). *L*<sub>2</sub> is CFL via CFG ( $N_2$ ,  $\Sigma$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $S_2$ ). The following CFG generates  $L_1 \cdot L_2$ . *L*<sub>1</sub> · *L*<sub>2</sub> is CFL via CFG (N,  $\Sigma$ , R, S) where  $N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \{S\}$ *S* is the start state.

 $L_1$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$ .  $L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$ . The following CFG generates  $L_1 \cdot L_2$ .  $L_1 \cdot L_2$  is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$  where  $N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \{S\}$ *S* is the start state.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

 $R=R_1\cup R_2\cup \{S\to S_1\cdot S_2\}.$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} L_1 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1). \\ L_2 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2). \\ \text{The following CFG generates } L_1 \cdot L_2. \\ L_1 \cdot L_2 \text{ is CFL via CFG } (N, \Sigma, R, S) \text{ where } \\ N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \{S\} \\ S \text{ is the start state.} \\ R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1 \cdot S_2\}. \end{array}$ 

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ = ● ● ●

**Note** We assume  $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$ .

# $L \operatorname{CFL} \to \overline{L} \operatorname{CFL}$

FALSE. Let

$$L = \overline{\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$$

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

# $L \operatorname{CFL} \to \overline{L} \operatorname{CFL}$

#### FALSE. Let

$$L = \overline{\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$$

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

This is a CFL. This will be a HW.

#### $L \text{ CFL} \rightarrow L^* \text{ CFL}$

#### L is CFL via CFG $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

#### *L* is CFL via CFG $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ . Here is a CFL for $L^*$ : $(N', \Sigma, R', S')$ where

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへで

*L* is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ . Here is a CFL for *L*<sup>\*</sup>:  $(N', \Sigma, R', S')$  where *S'* is new start nonterminal.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへで

*L* is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ . Here is a CFL for *L*<sup>\*</sup>:  $(N', \Sigma, R', S')$  where *S'* is new start nonterminal.  $N' = N \cup \{S'\}$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへの

*L* is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ . Here is a CFL for *L*<sup>\*</sup>:  $(N', \Sigma, R', S')$  where *S'* is new start nonterminal.  $N' = N \cup \{S'\}$ . *R'* has *R* and also

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへの

*L* is CFL via CFG  $(N, \Sigma, R, S)$ . Here is a CFL for *L*<sup>\*</sup>:  $(N', \Sigma, R', S')$  where *S'* is new start nonterminal.  $N' = N \cup \{S'\}$ . *R'* has *R* and also  $S' \rightarrow e$ 

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

```
L is CFL via CFG (N, \Sigma, R, S).
Here is a CFL for L^*: (N', \Sigma, R', S') where
S' is new start nonterminal.
N' = N \cup \{S'\}.
R' has R and also
S' \rightarrow e
S' \rightarrow S'S
```

#### **REG** contained in CFL

# **Thm** If L is regular then L is CFL. DISCUSS

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

#### **REG** contained in CFL

For every **regex**  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL.

#### **REG** contained in CFL

For every regex  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL. Prove by ind on the length of  $\alpha$ .



For every regex  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL.

Prove by ind on the length of  $\alpha$ .

**Base Case**  $|\alpha| = 1$  then  $\alpha$  is  $\sigma$  or e. Both  $\{\sigma\}$  and  $\{e\}$  are CFL's.

For every regex  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL.

Prove by ind on the length of  $\alpha$ .

**Base Case**  $|\alpha| = 1$  then  $\alpha$  is  $\sigma$  or e. Both  $\{\sigma\}$  and  $\{e\}$  are CFL's. **Ind Hyp** For all regex  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| < n$  there exists CFG G such that  $L(\beta) = L(G)$ .

For every **regex**  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL.

Prove by ind on the length of  $\alpha$ .

**Base Case**  $|\alpha| = 1$  then  $\alpha$  is  $\sigma$  or e. Both  $\{\sigma\}$  and  $\{e\}$  are CFL's. **Ind Hyp** For all regex  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| < n$  there exists CFG G such that  $L(\beta) = L(G)$ . **Ind Step**  $|\alpha| = n$ .

For every regex  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL.

Prove by ind on the length of  $\alpha$ .

**Base Case**  $|\alpha| = 1$  then  $\alpha$  is  $\sigma$  or e. Both  $\{\sigma\}$  and  $\{e\}$  are CFL's. **Ind Hyp** For all regex  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| < n$  there exists CFG G such that  $L(\beta) = L(G)$ .

**Ind Step**  $|\alpha| = n$ .

**Case 1**  $\alpha = \beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ . By IH  $L(\beta_1)$  and  $L(\beta_2)$  are CFL's. By closure under  $\cup$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is CFL.

For every regex  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL.

Prove by ind on the length of  $\alpha$ .

**Base Case**  $|\alpha| = 1$  then  $\alpha$  is  $\sigma$  or e. Both  $\{\sigma\}$  and  $\{e\}$  are CFL's. **Ind Hyp** For all regex  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| < n$  there exists CFG G such that  $L(\beta) = L(G)$ .

**Ind Step**  $|\alpha| = n$ .

**Case 1**  $\alpha = \beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ . By IH  $L(\beta_1)$  and  $L(\beta_2)$  are CFL's. By closure under  $\cup$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is CFL.

**Case 2**  $\alpha = \beta_1 \cdot \beta_2$ . By IH  $L(\beta_1)$  and  $L(\beta_2)$  are CFL's. By closure under  $\cdot$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is CFL.

For every regex  $\alpha$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is a CFL.

Prove by ind on the length of  $\alpha$ .

**Base Case**  $|\alpha| = 1$  then  $\alpha$  is  $\sigma$  or e. Both  $\{\sigma\}$  and  $\{e\}$  are CFL's. **Ind Hyp** For all regex  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| < n$  there exists CFG G such that  $L(\beta) = L(G)$ .

Ind Step  $|\alpha| = n$ .

**Case 1**  $\alpha = \beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ . By IH  $L(\beta_1)$  and  $L(\beta_2)$  are CFL's. By closure under  $\cup$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is CFL.

**Case 2**  $\alpha = \beta_1 \cdot \beta_2$ . By IH  $L(\beta_1)$  and  $L(\beta_2)$  are CFL's. By closure under  $\cdot$ ,  $L(\alpha)$  is CFL.

**Case 3**  $\alpha = \beta^*$ . By IH  $L(\beta)$  is CFL. By closure under \*,  $L(\alpha)$  is CFL.

(ロ)、

# Examples of CFL's and Size of CFG's

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や



#### How big is a CFL for the language $\{aaaaaaaa\}$ (there are 8 a's).

How big is a CFL for the language  $\{aaaaaaaa\}$  (there are 8 a's). We could say the size is 1:

S 
ightarrow aaaaaaaaa

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - のへで

How big is a CFL for the language  $\{aaaaaaaa\}$  (there are 8 a's). We could say the size is 1:

S 
ightarrow aaaaaaaaa

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

This does not seem quite right.

How big is a CFL for the language  $\{aaaaaaaa\}$  (there are 8 *a*'s). We could say the size is 1:

S 
ightarrow aaaaaaaaa

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

This does not seem quite right.

Next slide has a standard form for CFL's that make size make sense.

# **Def** CFG *G* is in **Chomsky Normal Form** if the rules are all of the following form:

(ロト (個) (E) (E) (E) (E) のへの

## **Def** CFG *G* is in **Chomsky Normal Form** if the rules are all of the following form: 1) $A \rightarrow BC$ where $A, B, C \in N$ (nonterminals).

## **Def** CFG *G* is in **Chomsky Normal Form** if the rules are all of the following form: 1) $A \rightarrow BC$ where $A, B, C \in N$ (nonterminals). 2) $A \rightarrow \sigma$ (where $A \in N$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ).

**Def** CFG *G* is in **Chomsky Normal Form** if the rules are all of the following form:

- 1)  $A \rightarrow BC$  where  $A, B, C \in N$  (nonterminals).
- 2)  $A \rightarrow \sigma$  (where  $A \in N$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ).
- 3)  $S \rightarrow e$  (where S is the start state).

Recall the CFG:

S 
ightarrow aaaaaaaaa

Recall the CFG:

S 
ightarrow aaaaaaaaa

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

DISCUSS TO FIND A CHOMSKY NORMAL FORM CFG FOR {aaaaaaaa}.

Recall the CFG:  $S \rightarrow aaaaaaaaa$ 



Recall the CFG:

S 
ightarrow aaaaaaaaa

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates same lang:  $S \rightarrow AA$ 

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

Recall the CFG:

S 
ightarrow aaaaaaaaa

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates same lang:

▲ロト ▲周 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト 一 ヨ … の Q ()

- $S \rightarrow AA$
- $A \rightarrow BB$

Recall the CFG:

S 
ightarrow aaaaaaaaa

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates same lang:

- $S \rightarrow AA$
- A 
  ightarrow BB
- $B \rightarrow CC$

Recall the CFG:

S 
ightarrow aaaaaaaaa

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates same lang:

- $S \rightarrow AA$
- A 
  ightarrow BB
- $B \rightarrow CC$
- C 
  ightarrow a

Recall the CFG:

S 
ightarrow aaaaaaaaa

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates same lang:

- $S \rightarrow AA$
- $A \rightarrow BB$
- $B \rightarrow CC$
- $C \rightarrow a$

We measure the size of a Chomsky Normal Form CFG by the number of rules.

Recall the CFG:

S 
ightarrow aaaaaaaaa

Chomsky Normal form CFG that generates same lang:

- $S \rightarrow AA$
- $A \rightarrow BB$
- $B \rightarrow CC$
- $C \rightarrow a$

We measure the size of a Chomsky Normal Form CFG by the number of rules.

```
So {aaaaaaaa} has a Chomsky Normal Form CFG of size 4.
```

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

We say that  $\{a^8\}$  has a CNF CFG of size 4.

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・ヨー つへぐ

We say that  $\{a^8\}$  has a CNF CFG of size 4. What about  $\{a^{16}\}$ ? Vote

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

We say that {*a*<sup>8</sup>} has a CNF CFG of size 4. What about {*a*<sup>16</sup>}? Vote 1) Size 8 2) Size 5

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

```
We say that {a<sup>8</sup>} has a CNF CFG of size 4.
What about {a<sup>16</sup>}? Vote
1) Size 8
2) Size 5
The answer is 5. Next slide.
```

 $S \rightarrow AA$ 

▲□▶▲圖▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 の�?

 $S \rightarrow AA$  $A \rightarrow BB$ 



- $S \rightarrow AA$
- $A \rightarrow BB$
- $B \to CC$

- $S \to AA$
- $A \rightarrow BB$
- $B \to CC$
- $C \rightarrow DD$

- $S \rightarrow AA$
- $A \rightarrow BB$
- $B \to CC$
- $C \to DD$
- D 
  ightarrow a

- $S \rightarrow AA$
- $A \rightarrow BB$
- $B \rightarrow CC$
- $C \rightarrow DD$
- D 
  ightarrow a

What to do if n is not a power of 2. HW.

## $L = \{a^n\}$

#### Upshot

For  $L_n = \{a^n\}$ :

Any DFA or NFA that recognizes  $L_n$  has  $n + \Omega(1)$  states.

• There is a CFG that generates  $L_n$  with  $O(\log n)$  rules.

Our Old Friend  $L = \{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$ 

1) We showed that L requires a  $2^{n+1}$  size DFA.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへで

Our Old Friend  $L = \{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$ 

1) We showed that L requires a  $2^{n+1}$  size DFA.

2) We have an NFA of size n + 2. There is no NFA of size n since then there would be a DFA of size  $2^n < 2^{n+1}$ .

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

Our Old Friend  $L = \{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$ 

- 1) We showed that L requires a  $2^{n+1}$  size DFA.
- 2) We have an NFA of size n + 2. There is no NFA of size n since then there would be a DFA of size  $2^n < 2^{n+1}$ .

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

3) DISCUSS for getting a CFG of size  $\ll n$ .

 $L = L_1 \cdot L_2$  where



 $L = L_1 \cdot L_2$  where  $L_1 = \{a, b\}^* a$ . Has 5-rule Chomsky Normal Form CFG:  $S \rightarrow AS \mid BS \mid a$   $A \rightarrow a$  $B \rightarrow b$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ - つくぐ

 $L = L_1 \cdot L_2$  where  $L_1 = \{a, b\}^*a$ . Has 5-rule Chomsky Normal Form CFG:  $S \rightarrow AS \mid BS \mid a$  $A \rightarrow a$  $B \rightarrow b$  $L_2 = \{a, b\}^n$ . A  $\lg(n) + O(1)$  rule Chomsky Normal Form CFG.  $S \rightarrow S_1 S_1$  $S_1 \rightarrow S_2 S_2$  $S_{\lg(n)-1} \rightarrow S_{\lg(n)}S_{\lg(n)}$  $S_{\lg(n)} \rightarrow a \mid b$ **Note** We are assuming *n* is a power of 2.

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー シック・

$$L = \{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$$

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

$$L = \{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$$

1) DFA of size  $\Theta(2^n)$ .



$$L = \{a,b\}^* a \{a,b\}^n$$
 of size  $\Theta(2^n).$ 

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへで

DFA of size Θ(2<sup>n</sup>).
 NFA of size n + Θ(1).

$$L = \{a, b\}^* a \{a, b\}^n$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

DFA of size Θ(2<sup>n</sup>).
 NFA of size n + Θ(1).
 CFG of size Θ(lg(n)).

#### Any CFG can be Put Into Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG for  $\{a^m b^n : m > n\}$ . We put it into Chomsky Normal Form.

1)  $S \rightarrow AT$ 2)  $T \rightarrow aTb$ 3)  $T \rightarrow e$ 4)  $A \rightarrow Aa$ 5)  $A \rightarrow a$ 

## Any CFG can be Put Into Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG for  $\{a^m b^n : m > n\}$ . We put it into Chomsky Normal Form.

1)  $S \rightarrow AT$ 2)  $T \rightarrow aTb$ 3)  $T \rightarrow e$ 4)  $A \rightarrow Aa$ 5)  $A \rightarrow a$ New nonterminals [aT], [b], [a]. Replace  $T \rightarrow aTb$  with:  $T \rightarrow [aT][b]$   $[aT] \rightarrow [a]T$   $[b] \rightarrow b.$  $[a] \rightarrow a$ 

## Any CFG can be Put Into Chomsky Normal Form

Recall the CFG for  $\{a^m b^n : m > n\}$ . We put it into Chomsky Normal Form.

1)  $S \rightarrow AT$ 2)  $T \rightarrow aTb$ 3)  $T \rightarrow e$ 4)  $A \rightarrow Aa$ 5)  $A \rightarrow a$ New nonterminals [aT], [b], [a]. Replace  $T \rightarrow aTb$  with:  $T \rightarrow [aT][b]$  $[aT] \rightarrow [a]T$  $[b] \rightarrow b.$  $[a] \rightarrow a$ Repeat the process with the other rules.





1) There is a pumping theorem for CFL's but we won't be doing it.

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

1) There is a pumping theorem for CFL's but we won't be doing it. 2) If  $L_1$  is a CFL and  $L_2$  is regular then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a CFL.

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

1) There is a pumping theorem for CFL's but we won't be doing it. 2) If  $L_1$  is a CFL and  $L_2$  is regular then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a CFL. 3) Recall: DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**. CFG's are **Generators**. There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it: PDAs

 There is a pumping theorem for CFL's but we won't be doing it.
 If L<sub>1</sub> is a CFL and L<sub>2</sub> is regular then L<sub>1</sub> ∩ L<sub>2</sub> is a CFL.
 Recall: DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.
 CFG's are **Generators**. There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it: PDAs

PDA does not stand for Public Display of Affection

 There is a pumping theorem for CFL's but we won't be doing it.
 If L<sub>1</sub> is a CFL and L<sub>2</sub> is regular then L<sub>1</sub> ∩ L<sub>2</sub> is a CFL.
 Recall: DFA's are Recognizers, Regex are Generators.
 CFG's are Generators. There is a Recognizer equivalent to it: PDAs
 PDA does not stand for Public Display of Affection
 PDA does stand for Push Down Automata.

They are NFAs with a stack.

 There is a pumping theorem for CFL's but we won't be doing it.
 If L<sub>1</sub> is a CFL and L<sub>2</sub> is regular then L<sub>1</sub> ∩ L<sub>2</sub> is a CFL.
 Recall: DFA's are Recognizers, Regex are Generators.
 CFG's are Generators. There is a Recognizer equivalent to it: PDAs

 PDA does not stand for Public Display of Affection

 PDA does stand for Push Down Automata.

 They are NFAs with a stack.

 Deterministic CFG's are defined by DPDA's where are DFAs with
 a stack.

 There is a pumping theorem for CFL's but we won't be doing it.
 If L<sub>1</sub> is a CFL and L<sub>2</sub> is regular then L<sub>1</sub> ∩ L<sub>2</sub> is a CFL.
 Recall: DFA's are **Recognizers**, Regex are **Generators**.
 CFG's are **Generators**. There is a **Recognizer** equivalent to it: PDAs

PDA does not stand for **Public Display of Affection** 

PDA does stand for **Push Down Automata**.

They are NFAs with a stack.

Deterministic CFG's are **defined** by DPDA's where are DFAs with a stack.

The proof that PDA-recognizers and CFG-generators are equivalent is messy so we won't be doing it. We won't deal with PDA's in this course at all.