Number of States for DFAs and NFAs

$$\Sigma = \{a\}.$$



 $\Sigma = \{a\}.$

 $L = \{aaa\}$



 $\Sigma = \{a\}.$

$$L = \{aaa\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

With your table draw a DFA for this language.

 $\Sigma = \{a\}.$

$$L = \{aaa\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - のへで

With your table draw a DFA for this language. How many states does it have?

 $\Sigma = \{a\}.$

$$L = \{aaa\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへで

With your table draw a DFA for this language. How many states does it have?

5

 $\Sigma = \{a\}.$

$$L = \{aaa\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

With your table draw a DFA for this language. How many states does it have?

5

Is there a DFA for L that has 4 states? Discuss.

Assume there exists a DFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states.

Assume there exists a DFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input aaa

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Assume there exists a DFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input aaa

Starts in state $s = q_0$



Assume there exists a DFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input aaa

Starts in state $s = q_0$

an *a* is processed.

Assume there exists a DFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input aaaStarts in state $s = q_0$

an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$.

Assume there exists a DFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input aaaStarts in state $s = q_0$

an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$. another *a* is processed.

Assume there exists a DFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input aaa

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

Starts in state $s = q_0$ an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_2 . $q_2 \notin F$.

Assume there exists a DFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input aaa

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

Starts in state $s = q_0$ an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_2 . $q_2 \notin F$. another *a* is processed.

Assume there exists a DFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input aaa

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

Starts in state $s = q_0$ an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_2 . $q_2 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_3 . $q_3 \in F$.

Assume there exists a DFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input aaa

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

Starts in state $s = q_0$

an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_2 . $q_2 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_3 . $q_3 \in F$.

 $\delta(q_3, a)$ has to be one of q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 .

Assume there exists a DFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input aaa

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

Starts in state $s = q_0$

an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_2 . $q_2 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_3 . $q_3 \in F$.

 $\delta(q_3, a)$ has to be one of q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 . Let say its q_1 (the other cases are similar).

Assume there exists a DFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input aaa

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

Starts in state $s = q_0$

an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_2 . $q_2 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_3 . $q_3 \in F$.

 $\delta(q_3, a)$ has to be one of q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 . Let say its q_1 (the other cases are similar). *aaa* ends in state q_3 .

Assume there exists a DFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input aaa

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

Starts in state $s = q_0$

an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_2 . $q_2 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_3 . $q_3 \in F$.

```
\delta(q_3, a) has to be one of q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3.
Let say its q_1 (the other cases are similar).
aaa ends in state q_3.
aaaa ends in state q_1.
```

Assume there exists a DFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input aaa

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

Starts in state $s = q_0$

an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_2 . $q_2 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_3 . $q_3 \in F$.

```
\delta(q_3, a) has to be one of q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3.
Let say its q_1 (the other cases are similar).
aaa ends in state q_3.
aaaa ends in state q_1.
aaaaa ends in state q_2.
```

Assume there exists a DFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input aaa

Starts in state $s = q_0$

an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_2 . $q_2 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_3 . $q_3 \in F$.

```
\delta(q_3, a) has to be one of q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3.
Let say its q_1 (the other cases are similar).
aaa ends in state q_3.
aaaa ends in state q_1.
aaaaa ends in state q_2.
aaaaaa ends in state q_3, so aaaaaa is accepted. Contradiction.
```

What about $\{a^n\}$?

More generally: For all n



What about $\{a^n\}$?

More generally: For all n

There is a DFA for $\{a^n\}$ with n + 2 states.



What about $\{a^n\}$?

More generally: For all n

There is a DFA for $\{a^n\}$ with n+2 states.

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

Any DFA for $\{a^n\}$ has $\geq n+2$ states.

 $\Sigma = \{a\}.$



 $\Sigma = \{a\}.$

 $L = \{aaa\}$



 $\Sigma = \{a\}.$

$$L = \{aaa\}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○臣 ○ のへぐ

With your table draw an NFA for this language.

 $\Sigma = \{a\}.$

$$L = \{aaa\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

With your table draw an NFA for this language. How many states does it have?

 $\Sigma = \{a\}.$

$$L = \{aaa\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

With your table draw an NFA for this language. How many states does it have?

4

 $\Sigma = \{a\}.$

$$L = \{aaa\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

With your table draw an NFA for this language. How many states does it have?

4

Is there an NFA for L that has 3 states? Discuss.

Assume there exists a NFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○臣 ○ のへぐ

Assume there exists a NFA M for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input *aaa*. We look at the ACCEPTING path.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

Assume there exists a NFA *M* for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input *aaa*. We look at the ACCEPTING path. Starts in state $s = q_0$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Assume there exists a NFA *M* for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input *aaa*. We look at the ACCEPTING path. Starts in state $s = q_0$ an *a* is processed.

Assume there exists a NFA *M* for {*aaa*} with 4 states. Input *aaa*. We look at the ACCEPTING path. Starts in state $s = q_0$ an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$.

```
Assume there exists a NFA M for {aaa} with 4 states.
Input aaa. We look at the ACCEPTING path.
Starts in state s = q_0
an a is processed. Now in state q_1. q_1 \notin F.
another a is processed.
```

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Assume there exists a NFA *M* for {*aaa*} with 4 states. Input *aaa*. We look at the ACCEPTING path. Starts in state $s = q_0$ an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_2 . $q_2 \notin F$.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

```
Assume there exists a NFA M for {aaa} with 4 states.
Input aaa. We look at the ACCEPTING path.
Starts in state s = q_0
an a is processed. Now in state q_1. q_1 \notin F.
another a is processed. Now in state q_2. q_2 \notin F.
another a is processed.
```

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくり

Assume there exists a NFA *M* for {*aaa*} with 4 states. Input *aaa*. We look at the ACCEPTING path. Starts in state $s = q_0$ an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_2 . $q_2 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_3 . $q_3 \in F$.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Assume there exists a NFA *M* for {*aaa*} with 4 states. Input *aaa*. We look at the ACCEPTING path. Starts in state $s = q_0$ an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_2 . $q_2 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_3 . $q_3 \in F$.

Look at q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 .

Assume there exists a NFA *M* for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input *aaa*. We look at the ACCEPTING path. Starts in state $s = q_0$ an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_2 . $q_2 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_3 . $q_3 \in F$.

Look at q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 . Two of them have to be the same.

Assume there exists a NFA *M* for $\{aaa\}$ with 4 states. Input *aaa*. We look at the ACCEPTING path. Starts in state $s = q_0$ an *a* is processed. Now in state q_1 . $q_1 \notin F$. another *a* is processed. Now in state q_2 . $q_2 \notin F$.

another *a* is processed. Now in state q_3 . $q_3 \in F$.

Look at q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 . Two of them have to be the same.

Can use this to find a shorter string that is accepted. Contradiction.

For all n



For all n

There is a DFA for $\{a^n\}$ with n + 2 states.



For all n

There is a DFA for $\{a^n\}$ with n + 2 states. Any DFA for $\{a^n\}$ has $\ge n + 2$ states.

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

For all n

There is a DFA for $\{a^n\}$ with n + 2 states. Any DFA for $\{a^n\}$ has $\ge n + 2$ states.

There is an NFA for $\{a^n\}$ with n+1 states.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへの

For all n

There is a DFA for $\{a^n\}$ with n + 2 states. Any DFA for $\{a^n\}$ has $\ge n + 2$ states.

There is an NFA for $\{a^n\}$ with n + 1 states. Any NFA for $\{a^n\}$ has $\ge n + 1$ states.

 $L = \{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$



 $L = \{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$ There is a DFA for this with 1002 states.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへで

 $L = \{a^{i} : i \neq 1000\}$ There is a DFA for this with 1002 states. Is there a DFA with ≤ 1001 states.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへの

$$\begin{split} L &= \{a^i: i \neq 1000\}\\ \text{There is a DFA for this with 1002 states.}\\ \text{Is there a DFA with} \leq 1001 \text{ states.}\\ \text{No.} \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへの

 $L = \{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$ There is a DFA for this with 1002 states. Is there a DFA with ≤ 1001 states. No. If there was, then complement it to get

a DFA for $\{a^{1000}\}$ with ≤ 1001 states.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくり

```
L = \{a^i : i \neq 1000\}
There is a DFA for this with 1002 states.
Is there a DFA with \leq 1001 states.
No.
If there was, then complement it to get
a DFA for \{a^{1000}\} with \leq 1001 states.
```

Contradiction.

There is an NFA for L that has 1001 states.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

There is an NFA for *L* that has 1001 states. Work in groups to see if you can do better, and not just be a few. For definitness: Can you get an NFA with \leq 900 states?

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

There is an NFA for *L* that has 1001 states.

Work in groups to see if you can do better, and not just be a few. For definitness: Can you get an NFA with \leq 900 states? VOTE

There is an NFA for L that has 1001 states.

Work in groups to see if you can do better, and not just be a few. For definitness: Can you get an NFA with \leq 900 states? VOTE

1. There is an NFA for L with \leq 900 states.

There is an NFA for L that has 1001 states.

Work in groups to see if you can do better, and not just be a few. For definitness: Can you get an NFA with \leq 900 states? VOTE

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

- 1. There is an NFA for L with \leq 900 states.
- 2. All NFA's for L have \sim 1000 states.

Much Less Than 1000 States

There is a an NFA for

 ${a^i: i \neq 1000}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへで

with MUCH LESS than 1000 states. That will be the next lecture.

This Slide Packet / Future Slide Packets

This slide packet had langs where NFAs were not much more powerful then DFA.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

This Slide Packet / Future Slide Packets

This slide packet had langs where NFAs were not much more powerful then DFA.

We now go to a slide packet where NFAs do MUCH better than DFAs.