# **A Small NFA for** $\{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$

#### YOU" VE BEEN PUNKED!

The last slide of the last talk said that

 $L = \{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

requires an NFA of size  $\sim$  1000.

# YOU" VE BEEN PUNKED!

The last slide of the last talk said that

 $L = \{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$ 

requires an NFA of size  $\sim$  1000.

And that the proof used Ramsey Theory.

# YOU"VE BEEN PUNKED!

The last slide of the last talk said that

$$L = \{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$$

requires an NFA of size  $\sim 1000$ .

And that the proof used Ramsey Theory.

I did that in case someone cheated on the vote and looked ahead.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

# YOU" VE BEEN PUNKED!

The last slide of the last talk said that

$$L = \{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$$

requires an NFA of size  $\sim 1000$ .

And that the proof used Ramsey Theory.

I did that in case someone cheated on the vote and looked ahead.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

Actually *L* can be done with a **smaller** NFA.

# How Small is the NFA for L

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

# How Small is the NFA for L

VOTE. Let s be numb states in smallest NFA for L that Bill knows.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 二目 - のへで

# How Small is the NFA for L

VOTE. Let s be numb states in smallest NFA for L that Bill knows.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ - つくぐ

**1**.  $700 \le s \le 900$ 

# How Small is the NFA for L

VOTE. Let s be numb states in smallest NFA for L that Bill knows.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

- 1. 700 ≤ *s* ≤ 900
- **2**. 400 ≤ *s* ≤ 699

# How Small is the NFA for L

VOTE. Let s be numb states in smallest NFA for L that Bill knows.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

- 1. 700 ≤ *s* ≤ 900
- **2**. 400 ≤ *s* ≤ 699
- **3**.  $100 \le s \le 399$

# How Small is the NFA for L

VOTE. Let s be numb states in smallest NFA for L that Bill knows.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

- 1. 700 ≤ *s* ≤ 900
- **2**. 400 ≤ *s* ≤ 699
- **3**.  $100 \le s \le 399$
- **4**. *s* ≤ 99

# $L = \{a^n : n \neq 1000\}$

#### Answer There is an NFA for L with 70 states.



# $L = \{a^n : n \neq 1000\}$

**Answer** There is an NFA for *L* with 70 states. This will take a few slides.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 二目 - のへで

**Answer** There is an NFA for *L* with 70 states. This will take a few slides. And there will be an **important moral to the story**.

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

<ロト < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 の < で</p>

Two NFA's:

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ の�?

Two NFA's: NFA A:

Two NFA's: NFA A: ► Does NOT accept *a*<sup>1000</sup>.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Two NFA's: NFA A:

- ▶ Does NOT accept *a*<sup>1000</sup>.
- Accepts all words longer than 1000.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへで

#### Two NFA's: NFA A:

- ▶ Does NOT accept *a*<sup>1000</sup>.
- Accepts all words longer than 1000.
- We have no comment on what it does on words ≤ 999.

#### Two NFA's: NFA A:

- ▶ Does NOT accept *a*<sup>1000</sup>.
- Accepts all words longer than 1000.
- We have no comment on what it does on words ≤ 999.

NFA B:

#### Two NFA's: NFA A:

- ▶ Does NOT accept *a*<sup>1000</sup>.
- Accepts all words longer than 1000.
- We have no comment on what it does on words ≤ 999.

NFA B:

▶ Does NOT accept  $a^{1000}$ .

#### Two NFA's: NFA A:

- ▶ Does NOT accept *a*<sup>1000</sup>.
- Accepts all words longer than 1000.
- We have no comment on what it does on words ≤ 999.

#### NFA B:

- ▶ Does NOT accept *a*<sup>1000</sup>.
- Accepts all words shorter than 1000.

#### Two NFA's: NFA A:

- ▶ Does NOT accept *a*<sup>1000</sup>.
- Accepts all words longer than 1000.
- We have no comment on what it does on words ≤ 999.

#### NFA B:

- Does NOT accept a<sup>1000</sup>.
- Accepts all words shorter than 1000.
- We have no comment on what it does on words ≥ 1001.

#### Two NFA's: NFA A:

- ▶ Does NOT accept  $a^{1000}$ .
- Accepts all words longer than 1000.
- We have no comment on what it does on words ≤ 999.

#### NFA B:

- Does NOT accept a<sup>1000</sup>.
- Accepts all words shorter than 1000.
- We have no comment on what it does on words ≥ 1001.

Create the union of NFA's A and B.





▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 のへで

#### Thm

#### Thm

# 1. For all $n \ge 992$ there exists $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n = 32x + 33y.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

#### Thm

- 1. For all  $n \ge 992$  there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that n = 32x + 33y.
- 2. There does not exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that 991 = 32x + 33y.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへの

#### Thm

1. For all  $n \ge 992$  there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that n = 32x + 33y.

2. There does not exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that 991 = 32x + 33y. Write down this theorem! Will prove on next few slides and you need to know what I am proving.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

#### Thm

1. For all  $n \ge 992$  there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that n = 32x + 33y.

2. There does not exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that 991 = 32x + 33y.

Write down this theorem! Will prove on next few slides and you need to know what I am proving.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

We will prove this by induction.

**Base Case**  $992 = 32 \times 31 + 33 \times 0$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ - つくぐ

Inductive Hypothesis  $n \ge 993$  and  $(\exists x', y')[n-1 = 32x' + 33y'].$ 

**Inductive Hypothesis**  $n \ge 993$  and  $(\exists x', y')[n-1 = 32x' + 33y']$ . **Intuition** Want to swap coins in and out to increase by 1. Can swap out a 32-coin and put in a 33-coin

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

**Inductive Hypothesis**  $n \ge 993$  and  $(\exists x', y')[n - 1 = 32x' + 33y']$ . **Intuition** Want to swap coins in and out to increase by 1. Can swap out a 32-coin and put in a 33-coin if I HAVE a 32-coin.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Inductive Hypothesis  $n \ge 993$  and  $(\exists x', y')[n - 1 = 32x' + 33y']$ . Intuition Want to swap coins in and out to increase by 1. Can swap out a 32-coin and put in a 33-coin if I HAVE a 32-coin. Case 1  $x' \ge 1$ . Then n = 32(x' - 1) + 33(y' + 1).

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

Inductive Hypothesis  $n \ge 993$  and  $(\exists x', y')[n - 1 = 32x' + 33y']$ . Intuition Want to swap coins in and out to increase by 1. Can swap out a 32-coin and put in a 33-coin if I HAVE a 32-coin. Case 1  $x' \ge 1$ . Then n = 32(x' - 1) + 33(y' + 1). Intuition What to do if x' = 0. Need to remove some 33's and add some 32's. Use that  $32 \times 32 - 31 \times 33 = 1024 - 1023 = 1$ . Can swap out 31 33-coins and put in 32 32-coins
# $(\forall n \ge 992)(\exists x, y \in N)[n = 32x + 33y]$

Inductive Hypothesis  $n \ge 993$  and  $(\exists x', y')[n-1 = 32x' + 33y']$ . Intuition Want to swap coins in and out to increase by 1. Can swap out a 32-coin and put in a 33-coin if I HAVE a 32-coin. Case 1  $x' \ge 1$ . Then n = 32(x' - 1) + 33(y' + 1). Intuition What to do if x' = 0. Need to remove some 33's and add some 32's. Use that  $32 \times 32 - 31 \times 33 = 1024 - 1023 = 1$ . Can swap out 31 33-coins and put in 32 32-coinsif I HAVE 31 33-coins.

## $(\forall n \ge 992)(\exists x, y \in N)[n = 32x + 33y]$

**Inductive Hypothesis** *n* > 993 and  $(\exists x', y')[n-1 = 32x' + 33y'].$ Intuition Want to swap coins in and out to increase by 1. Can swap out a 32-coin and put in a 33-coin if I HAVE a 32-coin. **Case 1** x' > 1. Then n = 32(x' - 1) + 33(y' + 1). **Intuition** What to do if x' = 0. Need to remove some 33's and add some 32's. Use that  $32 \times 32 - 31 \times 33 = 1024 - 1023 = 1$ . Can swap out 31 33-coins and put in 32 32-coinsif I HAVE 31 33-coins. **Case 2** y' > 31. Then n = 32(x' + 32) + 33(y' - 31).

(日本本語を本語を注意をする)

## $(\forall n \ge 992)(\exists x, y \in N)[n = 32x + 33y]$

**Inductive Hypothesis** *n* > 993 and  $(\exists x', y')[n-1 = 32x' + 33y'].$ Intuition Want to swap coins in and out to increase by 1. Can swap out a 32-coin and put in a 33-coin if I HAVE a 32-coin. **Case 1** x' > 1. Then n = 32(x' - 1) + 33(y' + 1). **Intuition** What to do if x' = 0. Need to remove some 33's and add some 32's. Use that  $32 \times 32 - 31 \times 33 = 1024 - 1023 = 1$ . Can swap out 31 33-coins and put in 32 32-coinsif I HAVE 31 33-coins. **Case 2** y' > 31. Then n = 32(x' + 32) + 33(y' - 31). **Case 3** x' < 0 and y' < 30. Then  $n-1 = 32x' + 33y' < 33 \times 30 = 990 < 993$ , so cannot occur.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

There is no  $x, y \in N$  with 991 = 32x + 33yPf by contradiction.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●

### Pf by contradiction.

Assume there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

991 = 32x + 33y

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

### Pf by contradiction.

Assume there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$991 = 32x + 33y$$

Then

 $991 \equiv 32x + 33y \pmod{32}$ 

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

### Pf by contradiction.

Assume there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$991 = 32x + 33y$$

Then

 $991 \equiv 32x + 33y \pmod{32}$ 

 $31 \equiv 0x + 1y \pmod{32}$ 

### Pf by contradiction.

Assume there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$991 = 32x + 33y$$

Then

$$991 \equiv 32x + 33y \pmod{32}$$
$$31 \equiv 0x + 1y \pmod{32}$$
$$31 \equiv y \pmod{32}$$
So  $y \ge 31$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

### Pf by contradiction.

Assume there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$991 = 32x + 33y$$

Then

$$991 \equiv 32x + 33y \pmod{32}$$

 $31 \equiv 0x + 1y \pmod{32}$ 

$$31 \equiv y \pmod{32}$$
 So  $y \ge 31$ 

 $991 = 32x + 33y \ge 32x + 33 \times 31 \ge 1023$  Contradiction!

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

#### Thm

1) For all  $n \ge 1001$  there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that n = 32x + 33y + 9.



### Thm

- 1) For all  $n \ge 1001$  there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that
- n=32x+33y+9.
- 2) There does not exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that 1000 = 32x + 33y + 9.

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

### Thm

1) For all  $n \ge 1001$  there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

n=32x+33y+9.

2) There does not exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that 1000 = 32x + 33y + 9. Pf

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

### Thm

1) For all  $n \ge 1001$  there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

n=32x+33y+9.

2) There does not exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that 1000 = 32x + 33y + 9. Pf

1) If  $n \ge 1001$  then  $n - 9 \ge 992$  so by prior Thm

 $(\exists x, y \in \mathbb{N})[n-9 = 32x + 33y]$ 

### Thm

1) For all  $n \ge 1001$  there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

n=32x+33y+9.

2) There does not exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that 1000 = 32x + 33y + 9. Pf

1) If  $n \ge 1001$  then  $n - 9 \ge 992$  so by prior Thm

$$(\exists x, y \in \mathbb{N})[n-9=32x+33y]$$

$$(\exists x, y \in \mathbb{N})[n = 32x + 33y + 9]$$

### Thm

1) For all  $n \ge 1001$  there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

n=32x+33y+9.

2) There does not exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that 1000 = 32x + 33y + 9. Pf

1) If  $n \ge 1001$  then  $n - 9 \ge 992$  so by prior Thm

$$(\exists x, y \in \mathbb{N})[n-9=32x+33y]$$

$$(\exists x, y \in \mathbb{N})[n = 32x + 33y + 9]$$

2) Assume, by way of contradiction,

$$(\exists x, y)[1000 = 32x + 33y + 9]$$

### Thm

1) For all  $n \ge 1001$  there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

n=32x+33y+9.

2) There does not exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  such that 1000 = 32x + 33y + 9. Pf

1) If  $n \ge 1001$  then  $n - 9 \ge 992$  so by prior Thm

$$(\exists x, y \in \mathbb{N})[n-9=32x+33y]$$

$$(\exists x, y \in \mathbb{N})[n = 32x + 33y + 9]$$

2) Assume, by way of contradiction,

$$(\exists x, y)[1000 = 32x + 33y + 9]$$

$$(\exists x, y)[992 = 32x + 33y]$$

This contradicts prior Thm.

## NFA A

**Idea** Start state, then 8 states, then a loop of size 33 with a shortcut at 32.

## NFA A

**Idea** Start state, then 8 states, then a loop of size 33 with a shortcut at 32.



▲□▶▲圖▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 の�?

1. Start state



- 1. Start state
- 2. A chain of 9 states including the start state.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 二目 - のへで

- 1. Start state
- 2. A chain of 9 states including the start state.
- 3. A loop of 33 states. The shortcut on 32 does not affect the number of states.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- 1. Start state
- 2. A chain of 9 states including the start state.
- 3. A loop of 33 states. The shortcut on 32 does not affect the number of states.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Total number of states: 9 + 33 = 42.



▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへで

<ロト < 課 > < 注 > < 注 > 注 の < で</p>

Idea

・ロト・個ト・モト・モト・ ヨー りゅぐ

### Idea

1000  $\equiv$  0 (mod 2) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 0 \pmod{2}$ }. 2-state DFA.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

### Idea

1000  $\equiv$  0 (mod 2) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 0 \pmod{2}$ }. 2-state DFA.

1000  $\equiv$  1 (mod 3) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 1 \pmod{3}$ }. 3-state DFA.

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

### ldea

1000  $\equiv$  0 (mod 2) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 0 \pmod{2}$ }. 2-state DFA.

1000  $\equiv$  1 (mod 3) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 1 \pmod{3}$ }. 3-state DFA.

1000  $\equiv$  0 (mod 5) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 0 \pmod{5}$ }. 5-state DFA.

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

### ldea

1000  $\equiv$  0 (mod 2) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 0 \pmod{2}$ }. 2-state DFA.

1000  $\equiv$  1 (mod 3) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 1 \pmod{3}$ }. 3-state DFA.

1000  $\equiv$  0 (mod 5) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ }. 5-state DFA.

1000  $\equiv$  6 (mod 7) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \not\equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ }. 7-state DFA.

\*ロ \* \* ● \* \* ● \* \* ● \* ● \* ● \* ●

### ldea

1000  $\equiv$  0 (mod 2) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 0 \pmod{2}$ }. 2-state DFA.

1000  $\equiv$  1 (mod 3) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 1 \pmod{3}$ }. 3-state DFA.

1000  $\equiv$  0 (mod 5) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 0 \pmod{5}$ }. 5-state DFA.

1000  $\equiv$  6 (mod 7) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 6 \pmod{7}$ }. 7-state DFA.

 $1000 \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$  SO want to accept  $\{a^i : i \not\equiv 10 \pmod{11}\}$ . 11-state DFA.

### ldea

1000  $\equiv$  0 (mod 2) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 0 \pmod{2}$ }. 2-state DFA.

1000  $\equiv$  1 (mod 3) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 1 \pmod{3}$ }. 3-state DFA.

1000  $\equiv$  0 (mod 5) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 0 \pmod{5}$ }. 5-state DFA.

1000  $\equiv$  6 (mod 7) SO want to accept { $a^i : i \neq 6 \pmod{7}$ }. 7-state DFA.

 $1000 \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$  SO want to accept  $\{a^i : i \not\equiv 10 \pmod{11}\}$ . 11-state DFA.

Could go on to 13,17, etc. But we will see we can stop here.

## Machine B

## Machine B



・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

# NFA for $\{a^i : i \leq 999\}$ AND More, but NOT $a^{1000}$

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

**Thm** Let *M* be the NFA from the last slide.  $M(a^{1000})$  is rejected. This is obvious. For all  $0 \le i \le 999$ ,  $M(a^i)$  is accepted.
**Thm** Let *M* be the NFA from the last slide.  $M(a^{1000})$  is rejected. This is obvious. For all  $0 \le i \le 999$ ,  $M(a^i)$  is accepted. **Pf** We show that if  $M(a^i)$  is rejected then  $i \ge 1000$ . Assume  $M(a^i)$  rejected. Then

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

```
Thm Let M be the NFA from the last slide.

M(a^{1000}) is rejected. This is obvious.

For all 0 \le i \le 999, M(a^i) is accepted.

Pf We show that if M(a^i) is rejected then i \ge 1000. Assume

M(a^i) rejected. Then

i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}

i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}

i \equiv 0 \pmod{5}

i \equiv 6 \pmod{7}

i = 10 \pmod{11}
```

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

 $i \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$ 

```
Thm Let M be the NFA from the last slide.
M(a^{1000}) is rejected. This is obvious.
For all 0 < i < 999, M(a^i) is accepted.
Pf We show that if M(a^i) is rejected then i > 1000. Assume
M(a^i) rejected. Then
i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}
i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}
i \equiv 0 \pmod{5}
i \equiv 6 \pmod{7}
i \equiv 10 \pmod{11}
```

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

Continued on next slide

▲ロト ▲圖 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○臣 - のへで

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへで

 $i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  $i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ - つくぐ

 $i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$   $i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ Hence  $i \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ .

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

 $i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$   $i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ Hence  $i \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ .  $i \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$  $i \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ 

 $i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$   $i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ Hence  $i \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ .  $i \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$   $i \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ Hence  $i \equiv 20 \pmod{35}$ .

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

 $i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$   $i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ Hence  $i \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ .  $i \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$   $i \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ Hence  $i \equiv 20 \pmod{35}$ .  $i \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$ 

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

```
i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}
i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}
Hence i \equiv 4 \pmod{6}.
i \equiv 0 \pmod{5}
i \equiv 6 \pmod{7}
Hence i \equiv 20 \pmod{35}.
i \equiv 10 \pmod{11}
So we have
i \equiv 4 \pmod{6}
i \equiv 20 \pmod{35}
i \equiv 10 \pmod{11}.
Continued on next slide
```

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

#### From:

- $i \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$
- $i \equiv 20 \pmod{35}$
- $i \equiv 10 \pmod{11}$ .
- One can show
- $i \equiv 1000 \pmod{6 \times 35 \times 11}$

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

#### From:

 $i \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$   $i \equiv 20 \pmod{35}$   $i \equiv 10 \pmod{11}.$ One can show  $i \equiv 1000 \pmod{6 \times 35 \times 11}$ So  $i \equiv 1000 \pmod{2310}$ Hence  $i \geq 1000.$ 

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

#### From:

 $i \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$   $i \equiv 20 \pmod{35}$   $i \equiv 10 \pmod{11}.$ One can show  $i \equiv 1000 \pmod{6 \times 35 \times 11}$ So  $i \equiv 1000 \pmod{2310}$ Hence  $i \ge 1000.$ Recap If  $a^i$  is rejected then  $i \ge 1000.$ Hence If  $i \le 999$  then  $a^i$  is accepted. How Many States for  $\{a^i : i \leq 999\}$  AND More, but NOT  $a^{1000}$ ?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ - つくぐ

2 + 3 + 5 + 7 + 11 = 28 states. Plus the start state, so 29.

|▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ = ● のへで

1. We have an NFA on 42 states that accepts  $\{a^i : i \ge 1001\}$ This includes the start state.

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

- 1. We have an NFA on 42 states that accepts  $\{a^i : i \ge 1001\}$ This includes the start state.
- 2. We have an NFA on 29 states that accepts  $\{a^i : i \le 999\}$  and other stuff, but NOT  $a^{1000}$ . This includes the start state.

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

- 1. We have an NFA on 42 states that accepts  $\{a^i : i \ge 1001\}$ This includes the start state.
- 2. We have an NFA on 29 states that accepts  $\{a^i : i \le 999\}$  and other stuff, but NOT  $a^{1000}$ . This includes the start state.

Take NFA of union using *e*-transitions for an NFA and do not count start state twice, so have

42 + 29 - 1 = 70 states.

<ロト < 置 > < 置 > < 置 > < 置 > の < @</p>

1. In the Springs of 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021, Gasarch has given this problem to the students in CMSC 452.

- In the Springs of 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021, Gasarch has given this problem to the students in CMSC 452.
- 2. Every year almost everyone thinks The NFA requires  $\sim n$  states.

- In the Springs of 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021, Gasarch has given this problem to the students in CMSC 452.
- 2. Every year almost everyone thinks The NFA requires  $\sim n$  states.

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

3. Why is this? They did not know the trick.

- In the Springs of 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021, Gasarch has given this problem to the students in CMSC 452.
- Every year almost everyone thinks The NFA requires ~ n states.
- 3. Why is this? They did not know the trick.
- 4. **Moral Lesson** Lower bounds are hard! You have to rule out that someone does not have a very clever trick that you just had not thought of.

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

You thought this was a lecture on sizes of NFAs.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

You thought this was a lecture on sizes of NFAs. It was not.

You thought this was a lecture on sizes of NFAs. It was not.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

► This is a lecture on NP-completeness.

You thought this was a lecture on sizes of NFAs. It was not.

- ► This is a lecture on NP-completeness.
- Just because you cannot think of an algorithm for SAT in P does not mean that there is not one.

You thought this was a lecture on sizes of NFAs. It was not.

- ► This is a lecture on NP-completeness.
- Just because you cannot think of an algorithm for SAT in P does not mean that there is not one.
- It is possible that someone will come up with a technique you didn't think of, or some use math you did not know.

You thought this was a lecture on sizes of NFAs. It was not.

- ► This is a lecture on NP-completeness.
- Just because you cannot think of an algorithm for SAT in P does not mean that there is not one.
- It is possible that someone will come up with a technique you didn't think of, or some use math you did not know.

Is this just a vague possibility?

You thought this was a lecture on sizes of NFAs. It was not.

- ► This is a lecture on NP-completeness.
- Just because you cannot think of an algorithm for SAT in P does not mean that there is not one.
- It is possible that someone will come up with a technique you didn't think of, or some use math you did not know.

Is this just a vague possibility?
 It just happened to you in a different context!

You thought this was a lecture on sizes of NFAs. It was not.

- ► This is a lecture on NP-completeness.
- Just because you cannot think of an algorithm for SAT in P does not mean that there is not one.
- It is possible that someone will come up with a technique you didn't think of, or some use math you did not know.

Is this just a vague possibility?
 It just happened to you in a different context!
 You thought {a<sup>i</sup> : i ≠ 1000} required a ~ 1000 state NFA.

You thought this was a lecture on sizes of NFAs. It was not.

- ► This is a lecture on NP-completeness.
- Just because you cannot think of an algorithm for SAT in P does not mean that there is not one.
- It is possible that someone will come up with a technique you didn't think of, or some use math you did not know.
- Is this just a vague possibility?
   It just happened to you in a different context!
   You thought {a<sup>i</sup> : i ≠ 1000} required a ~ 1000 state NFA.
   But a technique and some math got it to 70 states.

You thought this was a lecture on sizes of NFAs. It was not.

- ► This is a lecture on NP-completeness.
- Just because you cannot think of an algorithm for SAT in P does not mean that there is not one.
- It is possible that someone will come up with a technique you didn't think of, or some use math you did not know.
- Is this just a vague possibility?
   It just happened to you in a different context!
   You thought {a<sup>i</sup> : i ≠ 1000} required a ~ 1000 state NFA.
   But a technique and some math got it to 70 states.
- Upshot Lower bounds are hard to prove since they must rule out techniques you have not thought of.

You thought this was a lecture on sizes of NFAs. It was not.

- ► This is a lecture on NP-completeness.
- Just because you cannot think of an algorithm for SAT in P does not mean that there is not one.
- It is possible that someone will come up with a technique you didn't think of, or some use math you did not know.
- Is this just a vague possibility?
   It just happened to you in a different context!
   You thought {a<sup>i</sup> : i ≠ 1000} required a ~ 1000 state NFA.
   But a technique and some math got it to 70 states.
- Upshot Lower bounds are hard to prove since they must rule out techniques you have not thought of.
- Respect the difficulty of lower bounds!

#### Can We Do Better than 70 States?

There is a 70-state NFA for  $\{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

#### Can We Do Better than 70 States?

There is a 70-state NFA for  $\{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$ . Is there a smaller NFA?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 二目 - のへで
There is a 70-state NFA for  $\{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$ .

# Is there a smaller NFA?

Vote:



There is a 70-state NFA for  $\{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$ .

# Is there a smaller NFA?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ | 目 | のへの

Vote:

1. Bill knows an NFA with  $\leq$  69 states.

There is a 70-state NFA for  $\{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$ .

# Is there a smaller NFA?

Vote:

- 1. Bill knows an NFA with  $\leq$  69 states.
- 2. Bill can prove that any NFA for L has  $\geq$  70 states.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

There is a 70-state NFA for  $\{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$ .

# Is there a smaller NFA?

#### Vote:

- 1. Bill knows an NFA with  $\leq$  69 states.
- 2. Bill can prove that any NFA for L has  $\geq$  70 states.

3. The answer is UNKNOWN TO BILL!

There is a 70-state NFA for  $\{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$ .

# Is there a smaller NFA?

#### Vote:

1. Bill knows an NFA with  $\leq$  69 states.

2. Bill can prove that any NFA for L has  $\geq$  70 states.

3. The answer is UNKNOWN TO BILL!

Bill knows an NFA with  $\leq$  69 states.

There is a 70-state NFA for  $\{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$ .

# Is there a smaller NFA?

#### Vote:

1. Bill knows an NFA with  $\leq$  69 states.

2. Bill can prove that any NFA for L has  $\geq$  70 states.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

3. The answer is UNKNOWN TO BILL!

Bill knows an NFA with  $\leq$  69 states. There is an NFA for *L* with 59 states.

There is a 70-state NFA for  $\{a^i : i \neq 1000\}$ .

# Is there a smaller NFA?

#### Vote:

1. Bill knows an NFA with  $\leq$  69 states.

2. Bill can prove that any NFA for L has  $\geq$  70 states.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

3. The answer is UNKNOWN TO BILL!

Bill knows an NFA with  $\leq$  69 states. There is an NFA for *L* with 59 states. See next slide.

#### The 59-state NFA for L



Figure: 59 State NFA for L

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三)

э

To get {a<sup>i</sup> : i ≤ 999}, we used DFAs that picked out specific values mod {2,3,5,7,11}.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

1. To get  $\{a^i : i \le 999\}$ , we used DFAs that picked out specific values mod  $\{2, 3, 5, 7, 11\}$ .

The same proof works for any set of coprime numbers that multiply to  $\geq$  1000.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

1. To get  $\{a^i : i \le 999\}$ , we used DFAs that picked out specific values mod  $\{2, 3, 5, 7, 11\}$ .

The same proof works for any set of coprime numbers that multiply to  $\geq$  1000.

Optimally, we would use  $\{4, 5, 7, 9\}$ , saving 3 states.

 To get {a<sup>i</sup> : i ≤ 999}, we used DFAs that picked out specific values mod {2,3,5,7,11}.

The same proof works for any set of coprime numbers that multiply to  $\geq$  1000.

Optimally, we would use  $\{4, 5, 7, 9\}$ , saving 3 states.

2. To get  $\{a^i : i \ge 1001\}$ , we calculated  $32 \times 33 - 32 - 33 = 991$ , and then added 9 additional states before the loop.

 To get {a<sup>i</sup> : i ≤ 999}, we used DFAs that picked out specific values mod {2,3,5,7,11}.

The same proof works for any set of coprime numbers that multiply to  $\geq$  1000.

Optimally, we would use  $\{4, 5, 7, 9\}$ , saving 3 states.

2. To get  $\{a^i : i \ge 1001\}$ , we calculated  $32 \times 33 - 32 - 33 = 991$ , and then added 9 additional states before the loop.

However, we could have instead made the 9th state of the loop accept, and have the shortcut go to the 9th state instead.

 To get {a<sup>i</sup> : i ≤ 999}, we used DFAs that picked out specific values mod {2,3,5,7,11}.

The same proof works for any set of coprime numbers that multiply to  $\geq$  1000.

Optimally, we would use  $\{4, 5, 7, 9\}$ , saving 3 states.

2. To get  $\{a^i : i \ge 1001\}$ , we calculated  $32 \times 33 - 32 - 33 = 991$ , and then added 9 additional states before the loop.

However, we could have instead made the 9th state of the loop accept, and have the shortcut go to the 9th state instead. This would save us 8 states, because we still need a distinct start state.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ つへぐ

#### Vote:

- 1. No, 59 is optimal
- 2. Yes, but not by much
- 3. Yes, substantially!
- 4. Unknown to science!

#### Vote:

- 1. No, 59 is optimal
- 2. Yes, but not by much
- 3. Yes, substantially!
- 4. Unknown to science!

Answer: Unknown to science.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

Frobenius Thm (aka The Chicken McNugget Thm)

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・日・ つへぐ

Frobenius Thm (aka The Chicken McNugget Thm)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

**Thm** If x, y are relatively prime then

Frobenius Thm (aka The Chicken McNugget Thm)

**Thm** If x, y are relatively prime then

For all  $z \ge xy - x - y + 1$  there exists  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that z = cx + dy.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Frobenius Thm (aka The Chicken McNugget Thm)

**Thm** If x, y are relatively prime then

For all  $z \ge xy - x - y + 1$  there exists  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that z = cx + dy.

▶ There is no  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that xy - x - y = cx + dy.

Frobenius Thm (aka The Chicken McNugget Thm)

Thm If x, y are relatively prime then

- For all  $z \ge xy x y + 1$  there exists  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that z = cx + dy.
- ▶ There is no  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that xy x y = cx + dy.

We use this to get an NFA for  $\{a^i : i \ge n+1\}$  by using  $x, y \approx \sqrt{n}$ .

Frobenius Thm (aka The Chicken McNugget Thm)

Thm If x, y are relatively prime then

- For all  $z \ge xy x y + 1$  there exists  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that z = cx + dy.
- ▶ There is no  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that xy x y = cx + dy.

We use this to get an NFA for  $\{a^i : i \ge n+1\}$  by using  $x, y \approx \sqrt{n}$ . 1) Find x, y rel prime such that  $xy - x - y \le n$  (try to make it close to n).

Frobenius Thm (aka The Chicken McNugget Thm)

Thm If x, y are relatively prime then

- For all  $z \ge xy x y + 1$  there exists  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that z = cx + dy.
- ▶ There is no  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that xy x y = cx + dy.

We use this to get an NFA for  $\{a^i : i \ge n+1\}$  by using  $x, y \approx \sqrt{n}$ . 1) Find x, y rel prime such that  $xy - x - y \le n$  (try to make it close to n). 2) Find t such that (xy - x - y + 1) + t = n + 1.

Use this x, y for the loop, and t for the tail.

Frobenius Thm (aka The Chicken McNugget Thm)

Thm If x, y are relatively prime then

- For all  $z \ge xy x y + 1$  there exists  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that z = cx + dy.
- ▶ There is no  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that xy x y = cx + dy.

We use this to get an NFA for  $\{a^i : i \ge n+1\}$  by using  $x, y \approx \sqrt{n}$ . 1) Find x, y rel prime such that  $xy - x - y \le n$  (try to make it close to n).

2) Find t such that (xy - x - y + 1) + t = n + 1.

Use this x, y for the loop, and t for the tail.

Try to take x and y close to  $\sqrt{n}$ , so there is roughly  $\sqrt{n}$  states for the loop and shortcut.

Frobenius Thm (aka The Chicken McNugget Thm)

Thm If x, y are relatively prime then

- For all  $z \ge xy x y + 1$  there exists  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that z = cx + dy.
- ▶ There is no  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that xy x y = cx + dy.

We use this to get an NFA for  $\{a^i : i \ge n+1\}$  by using  $x, y \approx \sqrt{n}$ . 1) Find x, y rel prime such that  $xy - x - y \le n$  (try to make it close to n).

2) Find t such that 
$$(xy - x - y + 1) + t = n + 1$$
.

Use this x, y for the loop, and t for the tail.

Try to take x and y close to  $\sqrt{n}$ , so there is roughly  $\sqrt{n}$  states for the loop and shortcut.

t will be  $\leq \sqrt{n}$  (usually much less).

Frobenius Thm (aka The Chicken McNugget Thm)

Thm If x, y are relatively prime then

- For all  $z \ge xy x y + 1$  there exists  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that z = cx + dy.
- ▶ There is no  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that xy x y = cx + dy.

We use this to get an NFA for  $\{a^i : i \ge n+1\}$  by using  $x, y \approx \sqrt{n}$ . 1) Find x, y rel prime such that  $xy - x - y \le n$  (try to make it close to n).

2) Find t such that (xy - x - y + 1) + t = n + 1.

Use this x, y for the loop, and t for the tail.

Try to take x and y close to  $\sqrt{n}$ , so there is roughly  $\sqrt{n}$  states for the loop and shortcut.

t will be  $\leq \sqrt{n}$  (usually much less).

So the total number of states for this part is  $\sim 2\sqrt{n}$ .

```
Thm Let n \in \mathbb{N}. Let q_1, \ldots, q_k be rel prime such that

\prod_{i=1}^k q_i \ge n. Then the set of all i such that

i \ne n \pmod{q_1}.

\vdots

i \ne n \pmod{q_k}.

Contains \{1, \ldots, n-1\} and does not contain n
```

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

```
Thm Let n \in \mathbb{N}. Let q_1, \ldots, q_k be rel prime such that

\prod_{i=1}^k q_i \ge n. Then the set of all i such that

i \not\equiv n \pmod{q_1}.

\vdots

i \not\equiv n \pmod{q_k}.

Contains \{1, \ldots, n-1\} and does not contain n

Number theory tells us that can find such a q_1, \ldots, q_k with
```

$$\sum_{i=1}^k q_i \leq (\log n)^2 \log \log n$$

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

Thm Let 
$$n \in \mathbb{N}$$
. Let  $q_1, \ldots, q_k$  be rel prime such that  
 $\prod_{i=1}^k q_i \ge n$ . Then the set of all  $i$  such that  
 $i \not\equiv n \pmod{q_1}$ .  
 $\vdots$   
 $i \not\equiv n \pmod{q_k}$ .  
Contains  $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$  and **does not contain**  $n$ 

Number theory tells us that can find such a  $q_1, \ldots, q_k$  with

$$\sum_{i=1}^k q_i \leq (\log n)^2 \log \log n.$$

So can use this to get NFA for  $\{a^i : i \le n-1\}$  (and other stuff but not  $a^n$ ) with  $\le (\log n)^2 \log \log n$  states.

No details, but from the last two slides you can get that  $\{a^i : i \neq n\}$  has an NFA of size  $\leq 2\sqrt{n} + (\log n)^2 \log \log n$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ つへぐ

No details, but from the last two slides you can get that  $\{a^i : i \neq n\}$  has an NFA of size  $\leq 2\sqrt{n} + (\log n)^2 \log \log n$ .

Can be improved:

No details, but from the last two slides you can get that  $\{a^i : i \neq n\}$  has an NFA of size  $\leq 2\sqrt{n} + (\log n)^2 \log \log n$ .

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

Can be improved:

**Thm** The language  $\{a^i : i \neq n\}$  has an NFA of size  $\sqrt{n} + O((\log n)^2 / \log \log n).$ 

No details, but from the last two slides you can get that  $\{a^i : i \neq n\}$  has an NFA of size  $\leq 2\sqrt{n} + (\log n)^2 \log \log n$ .

Can be improved:

**Thm** The language  $\{a^i : i \neq n\}$  has an NFA of size  $\sqrt{n} + O((\log n)^2 / \log \log n).$ 

The bound is fairly tight:

No details, but from the last two slides you can get that  $\{a^i : i \neq n\}$  has an NFA of size  $\leq 2\sqrt{n} + (\log n)^2 \log \log n$ .

Can be improved:

**Thm** The language  $\{a^i : i \neq n\}$  has an NFA of size  $\sqrt{n} + O((\log n)^2 / \log \log n).$ 

#### The bound is fairly tight:

**Thm** Any NFA for  $\{a^i : i \neq n\}$  requires at least  $\sqrt{n}$  states.

No details, but from the last two slides you can get that  $\{a^i : i \neq n\}$  has an NFA of size  $\leq 2\sqrt{n} + (\log n)^2 \log \log n$ .

Can be improved:

**Thm** The language  $\{a^i : i \neq n\}$  has an NFA of size  $\sqrt{n} + O((\log n)^2 / \log \log n).$ 

The bound is fairly tight:

**Thm** Any NFA for  $\{a^i : i \neq n\}$  requires at least  $\sqrt{n}$  states. **Paper by Gasarch-Metz-Xu-Shen-Zbarsky.** 

### General size for DFA vs. NFA for one letter alphabet
**Thm** If language over a one letter alphabet is accepted by an NFA of size *n*, then it is accepted by a DFA of size  $O\left(e^{\sqrt{n \ln n}}\right)$ .

**Thm** If language over a one letter alphabet is accepted by an NFA of size *n*, then it is accepted by a DFA of size  $O\left(e^{\sqrt{n \ln n}}\right)$ .

The bound is tight:



**Thm** If language over a one letter alphabet is accepted by an NFA of size *n*, then it is accepted by a DFA of size  $O\left(e^{\sqrt{n \ln n}}\right)$ .

### The bound is tight:

**Thm** There exists a language over a one letter alphabet that is accepted on an NFA of size *n*, but any DFA for the language has size (at least)  $\Omega\left(e^{\sqrt{n \ln n}}\right)$  )on a DFA.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

**Thm** If language over a one letter alphabet is accepted by an NFA of size *n*, then it is accepted by a DFA of size  $O\left(e^{\sqrt{n \ln n}}\right)$ .

### The bound is tight:

**Thm** There exists a language over a one letter alphabet that is accepted on an NFA of size *n*, but any DFA for the language has size (at least)  $\Omega\left(e^{\sqrt{n \ln n}}\right)$  )on a DFA.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

### Is this interesting and/or important?

## **NP-Completeness**

・ロト・日下・日下・日、 日、 りへぐ

## **NP-Completeness**

#### Another reason this lecture is about NP-Completeness



## **NP-Completeness**

#### Another reason this lecture is about NP-Completeness

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < ○ < ○

Determinism versus Nondeterminism.