

Comp Security and Psuedo One Time Pads

Do PRGs exist?

1. We don't know

Do PRGs exist?

1. We don't know ... Would imply $P \neq NP$
2. We will *assume* certain algorithms are PRGs
3. Can *construct* PRGs from weaker assumptions (Chap 7)

Using Pseudo one-time pad

- ▶ Let G be a deterministic algorithm, with $|G(k)| = p(|k|)$
- ▶ $Gen(1^n)$: output uniform n -bit key k
 - ▶ Security parameter $n \Rightarrow$ message space $\{0, 1\}^{p(n)}$
- ▶ $Enc_k(m)$: output $G(k) \oplus m$
- ▶ $Dec_k(n)$: output $G(k) \oplus c$
- ▶ correctness is obvious

Security of pseudo-OTP?

Theorem: Pseudo-OTP is comp secure.

Proof Sketch: Can show that if not comp secure then G is not PRG. We omit details.

Stepping back

- ▶ *Proof* that the pseudo OTP is secure ...
- ▶ ... with some caveats
 - ▶ Assuming G is a pseudorandom generator
 - ▶ Relative to our definition
- ▶ The *Only* way the scheme can be broken is:
 - ▶ If a weakness is found in G
 - ▶ If the definition isn't sufficiently strong ...

Have we gained anything?

- ▶ YES: the pseudo-OTP has a key shorter than the message
 - ▶ n bits vs. $p(n)$ bits
- ▶ The fact that the parties *internally* generate a $p(n)$ -bit string to encrypt/decrypt is irrelevant
 - ▶ The *key* is what the parties share *in advance*
 - ▶ In real-world implementation, could avoid storing entire $p(n)$ -bit temporary value

Recall . . .

- ▶ Perfect secrecy has two limitations/drawbacks
 - ▶ Key as long as the message
 - ▶ Key can only be used once
- ▶ We have seen how to circumvent the first
- ▶ the pseudo OTP still has the second limitation (for the same reason as the OTP)
- ▶ How can we circumvent the second?

Our Goal

With psuedo OTP can securely send one n -bit message. Yeah!

Our Goal

With psuedo OTP can securely send one n -bit message. Yeah!

If use same key then cannot send another n -bit message. Boo!

Our Goal

With psuedo OTP can securely send one n -bit message. Yeah!

If use same key then cannot send another n -bit message. Boo!

We want to send multiple message with same key.

But first . . .

- ▶ Develop an appropriate security definition
- ▶ Recall that security definitions have two parts
 - ▶ Security goal
 - ▶ Threat model
- ▶ We will keep the security goal the same, but strengthen the threat model