BILL, RECORD LECTURE!!!!

BILL RECORD LECTURE!!!

Something Wrong With All Ciphers So Far. Fix it with Randomization

September 25, 2021

Let *C* be any of Shift, Affine, Gen Sub, Vig, Matrix, (NOT one-time pad, Book-Vig, Autokey-Vig, Book-Cipher). Assume Eve does not know how to crack *C*.

Let *C* be any of Shift, Affine, Gen Sub, Vig, Matrix, (NOT one-time pad, Book-Vig, Autokey-Vig, Book-Cipher). Assume Eve does not know how to crack *C*. **But** Eve can still tell if two messages are **the same** or **not**. EASILY!

Is this a problem?

Let *C* be any of Shift, Affine, Gen Sub, Vig, Matrix, (NOT one-time pad, Book-Vig, Autokey-Vig, Book-Cipher). Assume Eve does not know how to crack *C*. **But** Eve can still tell if two messages are **the same** or **not**.

EASILY!

Is this a problem?

YES! Eve knows that the message will say where the spy is. The message will be of the form a city and then a state, so for example IthacaNewYork

Let *C* be any of Shift, Affine, Gen Sub, Vig, Matrix, (NOT one-time pad, Book-Vig, Autokey-Vig, Book-Cipher). Assume Eve does not know how to crack *C*. **But** Eve can still tell if two messages are **the same** or **not**.

EASILY!

Is this a problem?

YES! Eve knows that the message will say where the spy is. The message will be of the form a city and then a state, so for example IthacaNewYork

Alice sends to Bob adecn aapad ecnaa p.

Let *C* be any of Shift, Affine, Gen Sub, Vig, Matrix, (NOT one-time pad, Book-Vig, Autokey-Vig, Book-Cipher). Assume Eve does not know how to crack *C*.

But Eve can still tell if two messages are the same or not. FASILY!

Is this a problem?

YES! Eve knows that the message will say where the spy is. The message will be of the form a city and then a state, so for example IthacaNewYork

Alice sends to Bob adecn aapad ecnaa p.

Eve notices adecnaap adecnaap.

Let *C* be any of Shift, Affine, Gen Sub, Vig, Matrix, (NOT one-time pad, Book-Vig, Autokey-Vig, Book-Cipher). Assume Eve does not know how to crack *C*.

But Eve can still tell if two messages are the same or not.

EASILY!

Is this a problem?

YES! Eve knows that the message will say where the spy is. The message will be of the form a city and then a state, so for example IthacaNewYork

Alice sends to Bob adecn aapad ecnaa p.

Eve notices adecnaap adecnaap.

Eve knows that the city and state are the same!

What Does Eve Know?

Cities with a state's name. * means no longer a city.

What Does Eve Know?

Cities with a state's name. * means no longer a city.

Alabama*, Arizona*, Arkansas, California, Colorado*, Delaware, Florida, New Georgia*, Idaho, Illinois*, Indianapolis, Iowa, Jersey, Kansas, Maryland*, Minneapolis, Minnesota, Mississippi*, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada*, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Tennessee*, Texas, Utah*, Virginia*, Virginia Beach, Wisconsin Dells, Wisconsin Rapids.

What Does Eve Know?

Cities with a state's name. * means no longer a city.

Alabama*, Arizona*, Arkansas, California, Colorado*, Delaware, Florida, New Georgia*, Idaho, Illinois*, Indianapolis, Iowa, Jersey, Kansas, Maryland*, Minneapolis, Minnesota, Mississippi*, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada*, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Tennessee*, Texas, Utah*, Virginia*, Virginia Beach, Wisconsin Dells, Wisconsin Rapids.

There are 33 such cities, 22 of which still exist. Eve's search for the spy is reduced!

Terminology

The problem of the same message leading to the same ciphertext is called

The NY,NY Problem.

Problem If C is any of the ciphers discussed (except 1-time pad, Book-Vig) then Eve can tell when two messages are the same.

Discuss Is there a cipher for which Eve cannot tell this?

Problem If C is any of the ciphers discussed (except 1-time pad, Book-Vig) then Eve can tell when two messages are the same.

Discuss Is there a cipher for which Eve cannot tell this? Need that even if x = y could have $C(x) \neq C(y)$.

Discuss How can we do that?

Problem If C is any of the ciphers discussed (except 1-time pad, Book-Vig) then Eve can tell when two messages are the same.

Discuss Is there a cipher for which Eve cannot tell this? Need that even if x = y could have $C(x) \neq C(y)$.

Discuss How can we do that?

Use a very long key and keep using different parts of it, which is the 1-time pad, Book-Vig. Is there an easier way?

Problem If C is any of the ciphers discussed (except 1-time pad, Book-Vig) then Eve can tell when two messages are the same.

Discuss Is there a cipher for which Eve cannot tell this? Need that even if x = y could have $C(x) \neq C(y)$.

Discuss How can we do that?

Use a very long key and keep using different parts of it, which is the 1-time pad, Book-Vig. Is there an easier way?

Discuss Can we do this without a long key?

Obstacle All of our ciphers are deterministic. Need Rand.

Obstacle All of our ciphers are deterministic. Need Rand. **Recall Deterministic Shift** Key is $s \in S$. Math is mod 26.

- 1. To send message (m_1, \ldots, m_L) send $(m_1 + s, \ldots, m_L + s)$.
- 2. To decode message (c_1, \ldots, c_L) find $(c_1 s, \ldots, c_L s)$.

Obstacle All of our ciphers are deterministic. Need Rand. **Recall Deterministic Shift** Key is $s \in S$. Math is mod 26.

- 1. To send message (m_1, \ldots, m_L) send $(m_1 + s, \ldots, m_L + s)$.
- 2. To decode message (c_1, \ldots, c_L) find $(c_1 s, \ldots, c_L s)$.

Randomized Shift Key is a function $f: S \rightarrow S$.

- 1. To send message (m_1, \ldots, m_L) (each m_i is a character):
 - 1.1 Pick random $r_1, \ldots, r_L \in S$.
 - 1.2 Send $((r_1; m_1 + f(r_1)), \ldots, (r_L; m_L + f(r_L)))$.

Obstacle All of our ciphers are deterministic. Need Rand. **Recall Deterministic Shift** Key is $s \in S$. Math is mod 26.

- 1. To send message (m_1, \ldots, m_L) send $(m_1 + s, \ldots, m_L + s)$.
- 2. To decode message (c_1, \ldots, c_L) find $(c_1 s, \ldots, c_L s)$.

Randomized Shift Key is a function $f: S \rightarrow S$.

- 1. To send message (m_1, \ldots, m_L) (each m_i is a character):
 - 1.1 Pick random $r_1, \ldots, r_L \in S$.
 - 1.2 Send $((r_1; m_1 + f(r_1)), \ldots, (r_L; m_L + f(r_L)))$.
- 2. To decode message $((r_1; c_1), \ldots, (r_L; c_L))$:
 - 2.1 Find $(c_1 f(r_1), \ldots, c_L f(r_L))$.

Example

The key is f(r) = 2r + 7. Alice wants to send **NY,NY** which we interpret as **nyny**. Need four shifts.

Pick random r=4, so first shift is $2\times 4+7=15$ Pick random r=10, so second shift is $2\times 10+7=1$ Pick random r=1, so third shift is $2\times 1+7=9$ Pick random r=17, so fourth shift is $2\times 17+7=15$

Send (4;C), (10;Z), (1;W), (17;N)

Eve will not be able to tell that is of the form XYXY.

Discuss

Discuss

PRO If Alice sends NY,NY Eve can't tell its XYXY.

Discuss

PRO If Alice sends NY, NY Eve can't tell its XYXY.

PRO Generally, Eve cannot tell if 2 messages are same.

Discuss

PRO If Alice sends NY,NY Eve can't tell its XYXY.

PRO Generally, Eve cannot tell if 2 messages are same.

CON More effort on Alice and Bob's part.

Discuss

PRO If Alice sends NY, NY Eve can't tell its XYXY.

PRO Generally, Eve cannot tell if 2 messages are same.

CON More effort on Alice and Bob's part.

Question Is Randomized Shift crackable? Discuss.

Cracking Randomized Shift

September 25, 2021

Cracking Randomized Shift

With a long text Rand Shift **is** crackable. If *N* is long and Eve sees:

$$(r_1; \sigma_1)(r_2; \sigma_2) \cdots (r_N; \sigma_N).$$

View as:

- 1. There are only 26 possible r.
- 2. There are N pairs of the form (r_i, σ_i) .
- 3. Some r appears N/26 times by PHP (Pigeon Hole Princ).

So have, with $L = \frac{N}{26}$:

$$(r; \sigma_{i_1}) \cdots (r; \sigma_{i_2}) \cdots (r; \sigma_{i_L})$$

So we have:

$$(r; \sigma_{i_1}) \cdots (r; \sigma_{i_2}) \cdots (r; \sigma_{i_L})$$

where L is large.

So $\sigma_{i_1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i_L}$ are all coded by the same shift.

So we have:

$$(r; \sigma_{i_1}) \cdots (r; \sigma_{i_2}) \cdots (r; \sigma_{i_L})$$

where L is large.

So $\sigma_{i_1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i_L}$ are all coded by the same shift.

1. From our study of Vig we know that taking every *m*th letter in a text has the same distribution of letters as a normal text.

So we have:

$$(r; \sigma_{i_1}) \cdots (r; \sigma_{i_2}) \cdots (r; \sigma_{i_L})$$

where L is large.

So $\sigma_{i_1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i_L}$ are all coded by the same shift.

- 1. From our study of Vig we know that taking every *m*th letter in a text has the same distribution of letters as a normal text.
- 2. It turns out that taking a **random** set of letters also has the same distribution as a normal text.

So we have:

$$(r; \sigma_{i_1}) \cdots (r; \sigma_{i_2}) \cdots (r; \sigma_{i_L})$$

where L is large.

So $\sigma_{i_1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i_L}$ are all coded by the same shift.

- 1. From our study of Vig we know that taking every *m*th letter in a text has the same distribution of letters as a normal text.
- 2. It turns out that taking a **random** set of letters also has the same distribution as a normal text.

Good News Try all shifts and use Is English.

So we have:

$$(r; \sigma_{i_1}) \cdots (r; \sigma_{i_2}) \cdots (r; \sigma_{i_L})$$

where L is large.

So $\sigma_{i_1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i_L}$ are all coded by the same shift.

- 1. From our study of Vig we know that taking every *m*th letter in a text has the same distribution of letters as a normal text.
- 2. It turns out that taking a **random** set of letters also has the same distribution as a normal text.

Good News Try all shifts and use **Is English**. **Bad News** Just tells us which shift this particular *r* maps to.

So we have:

$$(r; \sigma_{i_1}) \cdots (r; \sigma_{i_2}) \cdots (r; \sigma_{i_L})$$

where L is large.

So $\sigma_{i_1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i_L}$ are all coded by the same shift.

- 1. From our study of Vig we know that taking every *m*th letter in a text has the same distribution of letters as a normal text.
- 2. It turns out that taking a **random** set of letters also has the same distribution as a normal text.

Good News Try all shifts and use **Is English**. **Bad News** Just tells us which shift this particular r maps to.

Next Slide deals with this.

Many r Will Appear Many Times

Recall the following reasoning:

$$(r_1; \sigma_1)(r_2; \sigma_2) \cdots (r_N; \sigma_N)$$

View as:

- 1. There are only 26 possible r.
- 2. There are N pairs of the form (r_i, σ_i) .
- 3. Some r appears N/26 times by Pigeon Hole Principle.

Many r Will Appear Many Times

Recall the following reasoning:

$$(r_1; \sigma_1)(r_2; \sigma_2) \cdots (r_N; \sigma_N)$$

View as:

- 1. There are only 26 possible r.
- 2. There are N pairs of the form (r_i, σ_i) .
- 3. Some r appears N/26 times by Pigeon Hole Principle.

We can do better.

Many r Will Appear Many Times

Recall the following reasoning:

$$(r_1; \sigma_1)(r_2; \sigma_2) \cdots (r_N; \sigma_N)$$

View as:

- 1. There are only 26 possible r.
- 2. There are N pairs of the form (r_i, σ_i) .
- 3. Some r appears N/26 times by Pigeon Hole Principle.

We can do better. The r's are picked unif at random.

Eve sees

$$(r_1,\sigma_1),(r_2,\sigma_2),\cdots,(r_N,\sigma_N)$$

Want that ALL r's appear LOTS of times.

Eve sees

$$(r_1,\sigma_1),(r_2,\sigma_2),\cdots,(r_N,\sigma_N)$$

Want that ALL *r*'s appear LOTS of times.

Wrong Question

Eve sees

$$(r_1,\sigma_1),(r_2,\sigma_2),\cdots,(r_N,\sigma_N)$$

Want that ALL r's appear LOTS of times.

Wrong Question

Eve sees

$$(r_1,\sigma_1),(r_2,\sigma_2),\cdots,(r_N,\sigma_N)$$

The r_i 's are picked uniformly from $\{0, \ldots, 25\}$.

Eve sees

$$(r_1,\sigma_1),(r_2,\sigma_2),\cdots,(r_N,\sigma_N)$$

Want that ALL r's appear LOTS of times.

Wrong Question

Eve sees

$$(r_1, \sigma_1), (r_2, \sigma_2), \cdots, (r_N, \sigma_N)$$

The r_i 's are picked uniformly from $\{0, \ldots, 25\}$.

Want the prob that MOST r appear ALOT of times is large.

Chebyshev's Inequality (Advertisement)

On the next slide we will have **Chebyshev's Inequality!**

Chebyshev's Inequality (Advertisement)

On the next slide we will have **Chebyshev's Inequality!**

Chebyshev's Inequality will tell us how likely it is that X differs a lot from E(X).

Chebyshev's Inequality (Advertisement)

On the next slide we will have **Chebyshev's Inequality!**

Chebyshev's Inequality will tell us how likely it is that X differs a lot from E(X).

Chebyshev's Inequality is very important and shows up in computer science a lot!

We put N balls into n bins uniformly at random. N big, n small.

We put N balls into n bins uniformly at random. N big, n small. Let X_r be the number of balls in bin r.

We put N balls into n bins uniformly at random. N big, n small.

Let X_r be the number of balls in bin r.

The expected value of X_r , denoted $E(X_r)$ is $\frac{N}{n}$.

We put N balls into n bins uniformly at random. N big, n small.

Let X_r be the number of balls in bin r.

The expected value of X_r , denoted $E(X_r)$ is $\frac{N}{n}$.

What is the probability that X_r will be much lower than $\frac{N}{n}$?

We put N balls into n bins uniformly at random. N big, n small.

Let X_r be the number of balls in bin r.

The expected value of X_r , denoted $E(X_r)$ is $\frac{N}{n}$.

What is the probability that X_r will be much lower than $\frac{N}{n}$?

We won't answer that, but we will say how to answer it: Chebyshev's Inequality If X is a random variable then

$$\Pr(|X - E(X)| \ge k\sigma) \le \frac{1}{k^2}$$

where $\sigma = \sqrt{V(X)}$, the Variance of X.

We put N balls into n bins uniformly at random. N big, n small.

Let X_r be the number of balls in bin r.

The expected value of X_r , denoted $E(X_r)$ is $\frac{N}{n}$.

What is the probability that X_r will be much lower than $\frac{N}{n}$?

We won't answer that, but we will say how to answer it: Chebyshev's Inequality If X is a random variable then

$$\Pr(|X - E(X)| \ge k\sigma) \le \frac{1}{k^2}$$

where $\sigma = \sqrt{V(X)}$, the Variance of X.

Using this we find that for our problem:

We put N balls into n bins uniformly at random. N big, n small.

Let X_r be the number of balls in bin r.

The expected value of X_r , denoted $E(X_r)$ is $\frac{N}{n}$.

What is the probability that X_r will be much lower than $\frac{N}{n}$?

We won't answer that, but we will say how to answer it: Chebyshev's Inequality If X is a random variable then

$$\Pr(|X - E(X)| \ge k\sigma) \le \frac{1}{k^2}$$

where $\sigma = \sqrt{V(X)}$, the Variance of X.

Using this we find that for our problem:



We put N balls into n bins uniformly at random. N big, n small.

Let X_r be the number of balls in bin r.

The expected value of X_r , denoted $E(X_r)$ is $\frac{N}{n}$.

What is the probability that X_r will be much lower than $\frac{N}{n}$?

We won't answer that, but we will say how to answer it: Chebyshev's Inequality If X is a random variable then

$$\Pr(|X - E(X)| \ge k\sigma) \le \frac{1}{k^2}$$

where $\sigma = \sqrt{V(X)}$, the Variance of X.

Using this we find that for our problem:



1. Input $(r_1; \sigma_1)(r_2; \sigma_2) \cdots (r_N; \sigma_N)$

- 1. Input $(r_1; \sigma_1)(r_2; \sigma_2) \cdots (r_N; \sigma_N)$
- 2. For each $r \in \{1, ..., 26\}$:

- 1. Input $(r_1; \sigma_1)(r_2; \sigma_2) \cdots (r_N; \sigma_N)$
- 2. For each $r \in \{1, ..., 26\}$:
 - 2.1 Look at the spots (r, σ) , so

$$(r,\sigma_{i_1})\cdots(r,\sigma_{i_2})\cdots(r,\sigma_{i_L}).$$

- 1. Input $(r_1; \sigma_1)(r_2; \sigma_2) \cdots (r_N; \sigma_N)$
- 2. For each $r \in \{1, ..., 26\}$:
 - 2.1 Look at the spots (r, σ) , so

$$(r, \sigma_{i_1}) \cdots (r, \sigma_{i_2}) \cdots (r, \sigma_{i_L}).$$

2.2 All of these σ_{i_j} 's used same shift.

- 1. Input $(r_1; \sigma_1)(r_2; \sigma_2) \cdots (r_N; \sigma_N)$
- 2. For each $r \in \{1, ..., 26\}$:
 - 2.1 Look at the spots (r, σ) , so

$$(r, \sigma_{i_1}) \cdots (r, \sigma_{i_2}) \cdots (r, \sigma_{i_L}).$$

- 2.2 All of these σ_{i_i} 's used same shift.
- 2.3 Guess each shift and use IS-ENGLISH to find out which shift is correct.

- 1. Input $(r_1; \sigma_1)(r_2; \sigma_2) \cdots (r_N; \sigma_N)$
- 2. For each $r \in \{1, ..., 26\}$:
 - 2.1 Look at the spots (r, σ) , so

$$(r,\sigma_{i_1})\cdots(r,\sigma_{i_2})\cdots(r,\sigma_{i_L}).$$

- 2.2 All of these σ_{i_i} 's used same shift.
- 2.3 Guess each shift and use IS-ENGLISH to find out which shift is correct.
- 3. We now have the mapping of r's to shifts. r maps to shift s_r .

- 1. Input $(r_1; \sigma_1)(r_2; \sigma_2) \cdots (r_N; \sigma_N)$
- 2. For each $r \in \{1, ..., 26\}$:
 - 2.1 Look at the spots (r, σ) , so

$$(r, \sigma_{i_1}) \cdots (r, \sigma_{i_2}) \cdots (r, \sigma_{i_L}).$$

- 2.2 All of these σ_{i_i} 's used same shift.
- 2.3 Guess each shift and use IS-ENGLISH to find out which shift is correct.
- 3. We now have the mapping of r's to shifts. r maps to shift s_r .
- 4. Can use the s_r 's to decode entire message.

One more PRO and CON for Randomized Shift

One more PRO and CON for Randomized Shift

CON Eve can crack it. (No surprise)

One more PRO and CON for Randomized Shift

CON Eve can crack it. (No surprise)

PRO In order for Eve to crack it she needs a longer text than to crack Shift. So Alice and Bob are making Eve work harder.

The Randomized Shift was invented in

The Randomized Shift was invented in 2019 by William Gasarch while preparing to teach CMSC/MATH/ENEE 456.

1. It has never been used.

- 1. It has never been used.
- 2. The general technique of adding randomness to a known cipher to avoid the NY,NY problem is used all of the time.

- 1. It has never been used.
- The general technique of adding randomness to a known cipher to avoid the NY,NY problem is used all of the time.
- The terminology NY,NY problem and the example we gave are also due to me.

- 1. It has never been used.
- The general technique of adding randomness to a known cipher to avoid the NY,NY problem is used all of the time.
- The terminology NY,NY problem and the example we gave are also due to me.
- 4. I am telling you this to warn you that if you are on a job interview with the NSA and you say I learned to use the randomized shift to solve the NY,NY problem they will not know what you are talking about.

1. Det. Ciphers: Message M always maps to the same thing. Boo!

- 1. Det. Ciphers: Message *M* always maps to the same thing. Boo!
- 2. We can turn any Det. Cipher into a randomized one. Will use this later in the course.

- Det. Ciphers: Message M always maps to the same thing. Boo!
- 2. We can turn any Det. Cipher into a randomized one. Will use this later in the course.
- 3. If turn a weak Det. Cipher (like Shift) into a randomized one, still crackable.

- Det. Ciphers: Message M always maps to the same thing. Boo!
- 2. We can turn any Det. Cipher into a randomized one. Will use this later in the course.
- 3. If turn a weak Det. Cipher (like Shift) into a randomized one, still crackable.
- 4. Cracking it takes a much longer text.

BILL, STOP RECORDING LECTURE!!!!

BILL STOP RECORDING LECTURE!!!