

Sparse Sets IV: SAT in coNP-sparse
Exposition by William Gasarch

1 SAT $\in \Pi_1^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$ **then** $\Sigma_3^p = \Pi_3^p$

Recall that $A \in \Sigma_1^p$ if there exists $B \in P$ such that

$$A = \{x \mid (\exists^p y)[B(x, y)]\}.$$

Notation 1.1

1. A set A is in $\Sigma_1^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$ if there exists a set B and a sparse set S such that $B \leq_T^p S$ and

$$A = \{x \mid (\exists^p y)[B(x, y)]\}.$$

2. A set A is in $\Pi_1^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$ if there exists a set B and a sparse set S such that $B \leq_T^p S$ and

$$A = \{x \mid (\forall^p y)[B(x, y)]\}.$$

3. For $i \geq 2$, $A \in \Sigma_i^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$ if there exists $B \in \Pi_{i-1}^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$ such that

$$A = \{x \mid (\exists^p y)[B(x, y)]\}.$$

4. For $i \geq 2$, $A \in \Pi_i^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$ if there exists $B \in \Pi_{i-1}^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$ such that

$$A = \{x \mid (\forall^p y)[B(x, y)]\}.$$

Our goal is to show that

$$\text{SAT} \in \Pi_1^{p, \text{SPARSE}} \rightarrow \Sigma_3^p = \Pi_3^p.$$

We will need this lemma that we had before:

Lemma 1.2 *Let $M^{(0)}$ be a POTM and let S be a sparse set. Then there exists a PTM N and a polynomial p such that the following holds.*

$$(\forall n \in \mathbf{N})(\exists u, |u| = p(n))(\forall w \in \{0, 1\}^{\leq n})[M^S(w) = N(w; u)].$$

Lemma 1.3 *If $\Pi_1^P \subseteq \Sigma_1^{P, \text{SPARSE}}$ then $\Sigma_2^{P, \text{SPARSE}} \subseteq \Sigma_1^{P, \text{SPARSE}}$.*

Proof: Let $A \in \Sigma_2^{P, \text{SPARSE}}$. Then by definition there exists a POTM $M^{(0)}$ and a sparse set S_1 such that

$$A = \{x \mid (\exists^p y)(\forall^p z)[M^{S_1}(x, y, z) = 1]\}.$$

Let p be such that M^{S_1} runs in time $p(n)$.

Let N be the PTM obtained by applying Lemma 1.2 to $M^{(0)}$. So

$$(\forall n)(\exists^p u)(\forall x \in \{0, 1\}^n)(\forall^p y)(\forall^p z)[M^{S_1}(x, y, z) = N(x, y, z, ; u)]$$

Let

$$B = \{\langle x, y, u \rangle \mid (\forall^p z)[N(x, y, z, ; u)] = 1\}.$$

We can define A in terms of B as follows:

$$A = \{x \mid (\exists^p u)(\exists^p y)[\langle x, y, u \rangle \in B \wedge (u \text{ codes } S_1 \cap \{0, 1\}^{\leq p(n)})]\}.$$

Note that $B \in \Pi_1^P$ (no oracle needed). By the hypothesis $B \in \Sigma_1^{P, \text{SPARSE}}$. Hence there exists a sparse set S_2 such that $B \leq_T^P S_2$. Let $M_1^{(0)}$ be the POTM that does that reduction.

We now rewrite A :

$$A = \{x \mid (\exists^p u)(\exists^p y)[M_1^{S_2}(x, y, u) = 1 \wedge (u \text{ codes } S_1 \cap \{0, 1\}^{\leq p(n)})]\}.$$

How can we tell if u codes $S_1 \cap \{0, 1\}^{\leq p(n)}$? We can determine that u codes the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_L\}$. If we have access to S_1 we can ask $v_1 \in S_1?$, $\dots$, $v_L \in S_1?$ If any of them say NO then u does not code $S_1 \cap \{0, 1\}^{\leq p(n)}$. If they all say YES we still do not know that u code $S_1 \cap \{0, 1\}^{\leq p(n)}$. It could be that there is some element of $S_1 \cap \{0, 1\}^{\leq p(n)}$ that is not in $\{v_1, \dots, v_L\}$. We need a third sparse set to help us. Let

$$S_3 = \{\langle 0^n, |S \cap \{0, 1\}^{\leq n}| \rangle \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

We can also assume we know the polynomial p . So, to test if u codes $S_1 \cap \{0, 1\}^{\leq p(n)}$ we (1) ask, for each i , $1 \leq i \leq L$, $v_i \in S_1$, (2) ask if $\langle 0^{p(n)}, L \rangle \in S_3$

S_3 . If the answer to all of these questions is YES then u codes $S_1 \cap \{0, 1\}^{\leq p(n)}$. Else it does not.

Let S be a sparse oracle that encodes S_1 , S_2 , and S_3 . Note that the set

$$\{(x, y, u) \mid M_B^{S_2}(x, y, u) = 1 \wedge (u \text{ codes } S_1 \cap \{0, 1\}^{\leq p(n)})\} \leq_T^P S$$

Hence we have shown that $A \in \Sigma_1^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$.

Exercise 1 Let S_1 and S_2 be sparse sets. Define a set S such that S is sparse, $S_1 \leq_m^P S$, and $S_2 \leq_m^P S$. **End of Exercise**

Exercise 2 Let $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. Show that if $\Sigma_i^P \subseteq \Pi_j^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$ then $\Pi_i^P \subseteq \Sigma_j^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$.
2. Show that if $\Pi_i^P \subseteq \Pi_j^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$ then $\Sigma_i^P \subseteq \Sigma_j^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$.
3. Show that if $\Sigma_2^{p, \text{SPARSE}} \subseteq \Sigma_1^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$ then $\Sigma_3^{p, \text{SPARSE}} \subseteq \Sigma_1^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$.
4. Show that if $\Sigma_2^{p, \text{SPARSE}} \subseteq \Sigma_1^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$ then, $(\forall k \geq 1)[\Sigma_k^{p, \text{SPARSE}} \subseteq \Sigma_1^{p, \text{SPARSE}}]$.
5. Show that if $\Sigma_i^{p, \text{SPARSE}} \subseteq \Pi_j^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$ then $\Pi_i^{p, \text{SPARSE}} \subseteq \Sigma_j^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$.
6. Show that if $A \in \Sigma_i^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$ then $\bar{A} \in \Pi_i^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$.

End of Exercise

Our eventual goal is to show that if $\text{SAT} \in \Pi_1^{p, \text{SPARSE}}$ then $\Sigma_3^P = \Pi_3^P$. Hence we need to look at sets that are complete for Σ_3^P or Π_3^P . We will look at sets of quantified boolean formulas. In what follows keep in mind that ϕ is an arbitrary Boolean formula and the quantifiers are over Boolean values 0 and 1.

Def 1.4

1. QBF_3 is the set of all sentences of the form

$$(\exists x_1, \dots, x_{n_1})(\forall y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})(\exists z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})[\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})]$$

that are true. (ϕ is quantifier free.)

This set is Σ_3^P -complete. Note that any of n_1, n_2 , or n_3 be 0, but not all three.

2. $\overline{QBF}_3$ is the set of all sentences of the form

$$(\forall x_1, \dots, x_{n_1})(\exists y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})(\forall z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})[\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})]$$

that are true. (ϕ is quantifier free.)

This set is Π_3^P -complete. Note that any of n_1, n_2 , or n_3 be 0, but not all three.

We will use the following alternative definition of $\overline{QBF}_3$. The definition is inductive on the number of variables.

Def 1.5 A sentence ψ is in $\overline{QBF}_3$ if any of the following hold. (A sentence is NOT in $\overline{QBF}_3$ if none of them hold.) In the below items n_2 and/or n_3 could be 0 which will cover cases with less than three alternations of quantifiers.

1. $\psi = (\forall x)[\phi(x)]$ and both $\phi(0)$ and $\phi(1)$ are true.
2. $\psi = (\exists x)[\phi(x)]$ and either $\phi(0)$ or $\phi(1)$ is true.
3. $\psi = (\exists x_1, \dots, x_{n_1})(\forall y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})[\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})]$ and one of $(\exists x_2, \dots, x_{n_1})(\forall y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})[\phi(0, x_2, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})]$ or $(\exists x_2, \dots, x_{n_1})(\forall y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})[\phi(1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})]$ is in $\overline{QBF}_3$. (Note that this is inductive on the number of variables. We are basing membership of ψ in $\overline{QBF}_3$ on membership of sentences with less variables.)
4. $\psi = (\forall x_1, \dots, x_{n_1})(\exists y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})(\forall z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})[\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})]$ and both $(\forall x_2, \dots, x_{n_1})(\exists y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})(\forall z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})[\phi(0, x_2, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})]$ and $(\forall x_2, \dots, x_{n_1})(\exists y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})(\forall z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})[\phi(1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})]$ are in $\overline{QBF}_3$. (Note that this is inductive on the number of variables. We are basing membership of ψ in $\overline{QBF}_3$ on membership of sentences with less variables.)

Exercise 3 Show that the definitions of $\overline{QBF}_3$ in Definition 1.4.2 and 1.5 are equivalent. **End of Exercise**

Exercise 4 Show that if $\overline{QBF}_3 \in \Sigma_3^P$ then $\Sigma_3^P = \Pi_3^P$. **End of Exercise**

Lemma 1.6 *If $\Pi_3^{P,SPARSE} \subseteq \Pi_1^{P,SPARSE}$ then $\Sigma_3^P = \Pi_3^P$.*

Proof:

To show that $\Sigma_3^P = \Pi_3^P$ we show that $\overline{QBF}_3 \in \Sigma_3^P$ and use Exercise above. Clearly $\overline{QBF}_3 \in \Pi_3^P \subseteq \Pi_3^{P,SPARSE}$. Hence by hypothesis $\overline{QBF}_3 \in \Pi_1^{P,SPARSE}$. So there exists a POTM M^0 and a sparse set S such that

$$\overline{QBF}_3 = \{\psi \mid (\forall^p y)[M^S(\psi, y)]\}$$

Let N be the PTM obtained by applying Lemma 1.2 to M^0 .

We are going to look at the set of sets of strings u that make $N(\psi, y; u) = M^S(\psi, y)$ for formulas of length $\leq n$ and y of the appropriate length.

$$ADV = \{(u, n) \mid (\forall \psi, |\psi| \leq n)[\psi \in \overline{QBF}_3 \text{ iff } (\forall^p y)[N(\psi, y; u) = 1]]\}.$$

We assume that $N(;;)$ always outputs 0 or 1.

We show that we can express the set ADV in terms of quantifiers. We use the recursive definition of $\overline{QBF}_3$ (Definition 1.5).

$(u, n) \in ADV$ iff for all ψ , $|\psi| \leq n$, the following hold. (The polynomial bounded quantifiers are bounded by a polynomial in n .)

1. Case 1: $\psi = (\forall x)[\phi(x)]$. (x is a single Boolean variable)

$$(\phi(0) \wedge \phi(1)) \rightarrow (\forall^p y)[N(\psi, y; u) = 1].$$

$\wedge$

$$(\neg\phi(0) \vee \neg\phi(1)) \rightarrow (\exists^p y)[N(\psi, y; u) = 0].$$

2. Case 2: $\psi = (\exists x)[\phi(x)]$. (x is a single boolean variable.)

$$(\phi(0) \vee \phi(1)) \rightarrow (\forall^p y)[N(\psi, y; u) = 1]$$

$\wedge$

$$(\neg\phi(0) \wedge \neg\phi(1)) \rightarrow (\exists^p y)[N(\psi, y; u) = 0].$$

3. Case 3: $\psi = (\exists x_1, \dots, x_{n_1})(\forall y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})[\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})]$.

(This includes the case of $n_2 = 0$.)

Let

$$\psi_0 = (\exists x_2, \dots, x_{n_1})(\forall y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})[\phi(0, x_2, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})]$$

and

$$\psi_1 = (\exists x_2, \dots, x_{n_1})(\forall y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})[\phi(1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})].$$

$$((\forall^p y)[N(\psi_0, y; u) = 1] \vee (\forall^p y)[N(\psi_1, y; u) = 1]) \rightarrow (\forall^p y)[N(\phi, y; u) = 1].$$

$\wedge$

$$((\exists^p y)[N(\psi_0, y; u) = 0] \wedge (\exists^p y)[N(\psi_1, y; u) = 0]) \rightarrow (\exists^p y)[M^U(\psi, y) = 0].$$

4. Case 4:

$$\psi = (\forall x_1, \dots, x_{n_1})(\exists y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})(\forall z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})[\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})]$$

(This includes the case of $n_3 = 0$.)

Let

$$\psi_0 = (\forall x_2, \dots, x_{n_1})(\exists y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})(\forall z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})[\phi(0, x_2, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})]$$

and

$$\psi_1 = (\forall x_2, \dots, x_{n_1})(\exists y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})(\forall z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})[\phi(1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n_3})].$$

The statement is:

$$(\forall^p y)[N(\psi_0, y; u) = 1] \wedge (\forall^p y)[N(\psi_1, y; u) = 1] \rightarrow (\forall^p y)[M^U(\phi, y) = 1].$$

$\wedge$

$$(\exists^p y)[N(\psi_0, y; u) = 0] \vee (\exists^p y)[N(\psi_1, y; u) = 0] \rightarrow (\exists^p y)[N(\phi, y; u) = 0].$$

Exercise 5 Show that the two definitions of ADV given above are equivalent.

End of Exercise

Given the above we can rewrite ADV using two poly predicates B and C as follows:

$$ADV = \{(u, n) \mid (\forall \psi)[(\exists^p y)[B(\psi, y)] \wedge (\forall^p z)[C(\psi, z)]]\}$$

This can easily be written in Π_2^P form. So the upshot is that $ADV \in \Pi_2^P$.

Recall that

$$\overline{Q}BF_3 = \{\psi \mid (\forall^p y)[M^S(\psi, y)]\}$$

We rewrite this in terms of saying that there exists a string in ADV that will help us.

$$\overline{Q}BF_3 = \{\psi \mid (\exists^p u)[u \in ADV \wedge (\forall^p y)[N(\psi, y; u)]]\}$$

Since $ADV \in \Pi_2^P$ and the other part of the internal statement is Π_1^P we have that $\overline{Q}BF_3 \in \Sigma_3^P$. ■

Theorem 1.7

1. If $SAT \in \Pi_1^{P, SPARSE}$ then $\Sigma_3^P = \Pi_3^P$.
2. If $TAUT \in \Sigma_1^{P, SPARSE}$ then $\Sigma_3^P = \Pi_3^P$.

Proof:

1)

If $SAT \in \Pi_1^{P, SPARSE}$ then, since SAT is NP-complete, $\Sigma_1^P \subseteq \Pi_1^{P, SPARSE}$.

By Exercise 2.1 $\Pi_1^P \subseteq \Sigma_1^{P, SPARSE}$.

By Lemma 1.3 $\Sigma_2^{P, SPARSE} \subseteq \Sigma_1^{P, SPARSE}$.

By Exercise 2.3 $\Sigma_3^{P, SPARSE} \subseteq \Sigma_1^{P, SPARSE}$.

By Exercise 2.5 $\Pi_3^{P, SPARSE} \subseteq \Pi_1^{P, SPARSE}$.

By Lemma 1.6 $\Sigma_3^P = \Pi_3^P$.

2)

If $TAUT \in \Sigma_1^{P, SPARSE}$ then by Exercise 2.6 $SAT \in \Pi_1^{P, SPARSE}$. By part 1 we have $\Sigma_3^P = \Pi_3^P$. ■

2 A Different View of Sparseness

Def 2.1 A set A is in P/poly if there exists a polynomial p , a function $ADV : 0^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$, and a polynomial predicate B such that the following hold.

1. For all n , $ADV(0^n) \in \{0, 1\}^{p(n)}$.

2. For all n

$$A \cap \{0, 1\}^{\leq n} = \{x \mid B(x, \text{ADV}(0^n))\}.$$

We think of the string $\text{ADV}(0^n)$ as giving advice for all strings of length $\leq n$. The class P/poly is often referred to as ‘poly time with advice’.

We leave the following as an exercise.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $A \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$. The following are equivalent.*

1. $A \leq_T^P S$ where S is sparse set.
2. $A \in \text{P/poly}$.

We can also look at Σ_i^P with advice.

Def 2.3 We assume that i is odd. For i even a similar definition holds. A set A is in Σ_i^P/poly if there exists a polynomial p , a function $\text{ADV} : 0^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$, and a polynomial predicate B such that the following hold.

1. For all n , $\text{ADV}(0^n) \in \{0, 1\}^{p(n)}$.
2. For all n

$$A \cap \{0, 1\}^n = \{x \mid (\exists y_1)(\forall y_2) \cdots (\exists y_i)[B(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_i, \text{ADV}(0^n))]\}.$$

Note 2.4 Σ_1^P/poly we refer to as NP/poly.

We leave the following as an exercise.

Lemma 2.5 *Let $A \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$. The following are equivalent.*

1. $A \in \Sigma_i^{P, \text{SPARSE}}$.
2. $A \in \Sigma_i^P/\text{poly}$.

We also need the following which we leave as an exercise.

Notation 2.6 If X and Y are sets then $X \Delta Y$ is $(X - Y) \cup (Y - X)$. Note that $X \Delta Y$ is the set of elements where X and Y differ.

Lemma 2.7 *Let $A, A' \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$. If there exists a polynomial s such that, for all n ,*

$$|(A \cap \{0, 1\}^{\leq n}) \Delta (A' \cap \{0, 1\}^{\leq n})| \leq s(n)$$

then $A \in \Sigma_i^{\text{P}, \text{SPARSE}}$ iff $A' \in \Sigma_i^{\text{P}, \text{SPARSE}}$. (We are saying that if A and A' only differ by a polynomial amount on each length n , then A and A' are similar enough that they are either both in $\Sigma_i^{\text{P}, \text{SPARSE}}$ or both not in $\Sigma_i^{\text{P}, \text{SPARSE}}$.)

We restate The Karp Lipton Theorem and Yaps theorem for the contrast:

Karp Lipton Theorem:

If $SAT \in \text{P/poly}$ **then** $\text{PH} = \Sigma_2^{\text{P}} = \Pi_2^{\text{P}}$

Yap's Theorem:

If $SAT \in \text{co-NP/poly}$ **then** $\text{PH} = \Sigma_3^{\text{P}} = \Pi_3^{\text{P}}$