# **Duplicator Spoiler Games**

*a* < *b*.

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへで

$$a < b.$$
  
$$L_a = \{1 < 2 < \dots < a\}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} {a < b}. \\ {L_a = \{ {1 < 2 < \cdots < a} \}} \\ {L_b = \{ {1 < 2 < \cdots < b} \}} \end{array}$$

a < b.  $L_a = \{1 < 2 < \dots < a\}$   $L_b = \{1 < 2 < \dots < b\}$ DUP is cra-cra! He thinks  $L_a$  and  $L_b$  are the same!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ - つくぐ

$$a < b.$$

$$L_a = \{1 < 2 < \dots < a\}$$

$$L_b = \{1 < 2 < \dots < b\}$$
DUP is cra-cra! He thinks  $L_a$  and  $L_b$  are the same!

1. SPOIL wants to convince DUP that  $L_a \neq L_b$ .

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

$$\begin{array}{l} a < b. \\ L_a = \{1 < 2 < \cdots < a\} \\ L_b = \{1 < 2 < \cdots < b\} \\ \text{DUP is } \textbf{cra-cra}! \text{ He thinks } L_a \text{ and } L_b \text{ are the same!} \end{array}$$

1. SPOIL wants to convince DUP that  $L_a \neq L_b$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

2. DUP wants to resist the attempt.

a < b.  $L_a = \{1 < 2 < \dots < a\}$   $L_b = \{1 < 2 < \dots < b\}$ DUP is cra-cra! He thinks  $L_a$  and  $L_b$  are the same!
1. SPOIL wants to convince DUP that  $L_a \neq L_b$ .
2. DUP wants to resist the attempt.

We will call SPOIL S and DUP D to fit on slides.

ション ふぼう メリン メリン しょうくしゃ

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

**Parameter** *k* The number of rounds.

**Parameter** *k* The number of rounds.

1. **S** pick number in one orderings.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

**Parameter** *k* The number of rounds.

- 1. **S** pick number in one orderings.
- 2. **D** pick number in OTHER ORDERING. D will try to pick a point that most **looks like** the other point.

**Parameter** *k* The number of rounds.

- 1. S pick number in one orderings.
- 2. **D** pick number in OTHER ORDERING. D will try to pick a point that most **looks like** the other point.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

3. Repeat for k rounds.

**Parameter** *k* The number of rounds.

- 1. S pick number in one orderings.
- 2. **D** pick number in OTHER ORDERING. D will try to pick a point that most **looks like** the other point.
- 3. Repeat for k rounds.
- This process creates a map between k points of L<sub>a</sub> and k points of L<sub>b</sub>.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

**Parameter** *k* The number of rounds.

- 1. S pick number in one orderings.
- 2. **D** pick number in OTHER ORDERING. D will try to pick a point that most **looks like** the other point.
- 3. Repeat for k rounds.
- This process creates a map between k points of L<sub>a</sub> and k points of L<sub>b</sub>.

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

5. If this map is order preserving D wins, else S wins.

**Parameter** *k* The number of rounds.

- 1. S pick number in one orderings.
- 2. **D** pick number in OTHER ORDERING. D will try to pick a point that most **looks like** the other point.
- 3. Repeat for k rounds.
- This process creates a map between k points of L<sub>a</sub> and k points of L<sub>b</sub>.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

5. If this map is order preserving D wins, else S wins.

**Bill plays a student**  $(L_3, L_4, 2)$ ,  $(L_3, L_4, 3)$ 

Since  $L_a \neq L_b$ , S will win if k is large enough.

(ロト (個) (E) (E) (E) (E) のへの

Since  $L_a \neq L_b$ , S will win if k is large enough. We want to know the smallest k.

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・日・ つへぐ

Since  $L_a \neq L_b$ , S will win if k is large enough. We want to know the smallest k. We assume both players play perfectly.

Since  $L_a \neq L_b$ , S will win if k is large enough. We want to know the smallest k. We assume both players play perfectly. We want k such that

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Since  $L_a \neq L_b$ , S will win if k is large enough. We want to know the smallest k. We assume both players play perfectly. We want k such that

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

1. S beats D in the  $(L_a, L_b, k)$  game.

Since  $L_a \neq L_b$ , S will win if k is large enough. We want to know the smallest k. We assume both players play perfectly. We want k such that

- 1. S beats D in the  $(L_a, L_b, k)$  game.
- 2. D beats S in the  $(L_a, L_b, k-1)$  game.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Try to determine:

Try to determine:

1. Who wins  $(L_3, L_4, 2)$ ? (2 moves).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 二目 - のへで

Try to determine:

- 1. Who wins  $(L_3, L_4, 2)$ ? (2 moves).
- 2. Who wins  $(L_8, L_{10}, 3)$ ? (3 moves)

Try to determine:

- 1. Who wins  $(L_3, L_4, 2)$ ? (2 moves).
- 2. Who wins  $(L_8, L_{10}, 3)$ ? (3 moves)
- **3**. GENERALLY: Who wins  $(L_a, L_b, k)$ .

Can use any orderings L, L'



Can use any orderings L, L'

1.  $\mathbb N$  and  $\mathbb Q$  are the usual orderings.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Can use any orderings L, L'

- 1.  $\mathbb N$  and  $\mathbb Q$  are the usual orderings.
- 2.  $\mathbb{N}^*$  is the ordering  $\cdots < 2 < 1 < 0$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへで

Can use any orderings L, L'

- 1.  $\mathbb N$  and  $\mathbb Q$  are the usual orderings.
- 2.  $\mathbb{N}^*$  is the ordering  $\cdots < 2 < 1 < 0$ .
- 3. If L is an ordering then  $L^*$  is that ordering backwards.

Can use any orderings L, L'

- 1.  $\mathbb N$  and  $\mathbb Q$  are the usual orderings.
- 2.  $\mathbb{N}^*$  is the ordering  $\cdots < 2 < 1 < 0$ .
- 3. If L is an ordering then  $L^*$  is that ordering backwards.

Play a student  $\mathbb N$  and  $\mathbb Z$  with 1 move, 2 moves

In all problems we want a k such that condition holds.

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・日・ つへぐ

In all problems we want a k such that condition holds.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

1. D wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, k-1)$ , S wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, k)$ .

In all problems we want a k such that condition holds.

- 1. D wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, k-1)$ , S wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, k)$ .
- 2. D wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}, k-1)$ , S wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}, k)$ .

In all problems we want a k such that condition holds.

- 1. D wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, k-1)$ , S wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, k)$ .
- 2. D wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}, k-1)$ , S wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}, k)$ .
- 3. D wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, k-1)$ , S wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, k)$ .

In all problems we want a k such that condition holds.

- 1. D wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, k-1)$ , S wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, k)$ .
- 2. D wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}, k-1)$ , S wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}, k)$ .
- 3. D wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, k-1)$ , S wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, k)$ .
- 4. D wins  $(L_{10}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{N}^*, k 1)$ , S wins  $(L_{10}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{N}^*, k)$ .

In all problems we want a k such that condition holds.

- 1. D wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, k-1)$ , S wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, k)$ .
- 2. D wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}, k-1)$ , S wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}, k)$ .
- 3. D wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, k-1)$ , S wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, k)$ .
- 4. D wins  $(L_{10}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{N}^*, k 1)$ , S wins  $(L_{10}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{N}^*, k)$ .

5. D wins  $(\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}, k - 1)$ , S wins  $(\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}, k)$ .
## A Notion of L, L' being Similar

Let L and L' be two linear orderings.



## A Notion of L, L' being Similar

Let *L* and *L'* be two linear orderings. **Def** If D wins the *k*-round DS-game on *L*, *L'* then *L*, *L'* are *k*-game equivalent (denoted  $L \equiv_k^G L'$ ).

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

## What is Truth?

All sentences use the usual logic symbols and <.

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 のへで

All sentences use the usual logic symbols and <. **Def** If *L* is a linear ordering and  $\phi$  is a sentence then  $L \models \phi$  means that  $\phi$  is true in *L*.

(ロト (個) (E) (E) (E) (E) のへの

All sentences use the usual logic symbols and <.

**Def** If *L* is a linear ordering and  $\phi$  is a sentence then  $L \models \phi$  means that  $\phi$  is true in *L*.

example Let  $\phi = (\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists z)[x < y \implies x < z < y]$ 

All sentences use the usual logic symbols and <.

**Def** If *L* is a linear ordering and  $\phi$  is a sentence then  $L \models \phi$  means that  $\phi$  is true in *L*.

(ロト (個) (E) (E) (E) (E) のへの

**example** Let 
$$\phi = (\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists z)[x < y \implies x < z < y]$$
  
1.  $\mathbb{Q} \models \phi$ 

All sentences use the usual logic symbols and <.

**Def** If *L* is a linear ordering and  $\phi$  is a sentence then  $L \models \phi$  means that  $\phi$  is true in *L*.

example Let  $\phi = (\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists z)[x < y \implies x < z < y]$ 1.  $\mathbb{Q} \models \phi$ 2.  $\mathbb{N} \models \neg \phi$ 

If  $\phi(\vec{x})$  has 0 quantifiers then  $qd(\phi(\vec{x})) = 0$ .

\*ロト \*昼 \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ミ \* のへぐ

If  $\phi(\vec{x})$  has 0 quantifiers then  $qd(\phi(\vec{x})) = 0$ . If  $\alpha \in \{\land, \lor, \rightarrow\}$  then

・ロト・日本・モト・モト・モー うへぐ

If  $\phi(\vec{x})$  has 0 quantifiers then  $qd(\phi(\vec{x})) = 0$ . If  $\alpha \in \{\land, \lor, \rightarrow\}$  then

 $\operatorname{qd}(\phi_1(\vec{x}) \ \alpha \ \phi_2(\vec{x})) = \max\{\operatorname{qd}(\phi_1(\vec{x}), \operatorname{qd}(\phi_2(\vec{x})))\}.$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

If  $\phi(\vec{x})$  has 0 quantifiers then  $qd(\phi(\vec{x})) = 0$ . If  $\alpha \in \{\land, \lor, \rightarrow\}$  then

 $\operatorname{qd}(\phi_1(\vec{x}) \ \alpha \ \phi_2(\vec{x})) = \max\{\operatorname{qd}(\phi_1(\vec{x}), \operatorname{qd}(\phi_2(\vec{x})))\}.$ 

$$\operatorname{qd}(\neg \phi(\vec{x})) = \operatorname{qd}(\phi(\vec{x})).$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

If  $\phi(\vec{x})$  has 0 quantifiers then  $qd(\phi(\vec{x})) = 0$ . If  $\alpha \in \{\land, \lor, \rightarrow\}$  then

 $\operatorname{qd}(\phi_1(\vec{x}) \ \alpha \ \phi_2(\vec{x})) = \max\{\operatorname{qd}(\phi_1(\vec{x}), \operatorname{qd}(\phi_2(\vec{x})))\}.$ 

$$\operatorname{qd}(\neg \phi(\vec{x})) = \operatorname{qd}(\phi(\vec{x})).$$

If  $Q \in \{\exists, \forall\}$  then

$$\operatorname{qd}((Qx_1)[\phi(x_1,\ldots,x_n)] = \operatorname{qd}(\phi_1(x_1,\ldots,x_n)) + 1.$$

#### $(\forall x)(\forall z)[x < z \rightarrow (\exists y)[x < y < z]]$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

$$(\forall x)(\forall z)[x < z \rightarrow (\exists y)[x < y < z]]$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Lets take it apart

$$(\forall x)(\forall z)[x < z \rightarrow (\exists y)[x < y < z]]$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Lets take it apart  $qd((\exists y)[x < y < z]) = 1 + 0 = 1.$ 

$$(\forall x)(\forall z)[x < z \rightarrow (\exists y)[x < y < z]]$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → ヨ → の Q @

Lets take it apart  $qd((\exists y)[x < y < z]) = 1 + 0 = 1.$  $qd(x < z \rightarrow (\exists y)[x < y < z]) = max\{0, 1\} = 1.$ 

$$(\forall x)(\forall z)[x < z \rightarrow (\exists y)[x < y < z]]$$

Lets take it apart  

$$qd((\exists y)[x < y < z]) = 1 + 0 = 1.$$
  
 $qd(x < z \rightarrow (\exists y)[x < y < z]) = max\{0, 1\} = 1.$ 

$$\operatorname{qd}((\forall x)(\forall z)[x < z \to (\exists y)[x < y < z]]) = 2 + 1 = 3$$

## **Another Notion of** *L*, *L*' **Similar**

Let L and L' be two linear orderings.

## **Another Notion of** *L*, *L*' **Similar**

Let *L* and *L'* be two linear orderings. **Def** *L* and *L'* are *k*-truth-equiv  $(L \equiv_k^T L')$ 

$$(\forall \phi, qd(\phi) \leq k)[L \models \phi \text{ iff } L' \models \phi.]$$

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

**Thm** Let L, L' be any linear ordering and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

\*ロト \*昼 \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ミ \* のへぐ

**Thm** Let L, L' be any linear ordering and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following are equivalent.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

**Thm** Let L, L' be any linear ordering and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following are equivalent.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

1. 
$$L \equiv_k^T L'$$

**Thm** Let L, L' be any linear ordering and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following are equivalent.

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

1.  $L \equiv_k^T L'$ 2.  $L \equiv_k^G L'$ 

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≧▶▲≧▶ ≧ りへぐ

 Density *cannot* be expressed with qd 2. (Proof: Z≡<sup>G</sup><sub>2</sub>Q so Z≡<sup>T</sup><sub>2</sub>Q).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 二目 - のへで

- 1. Density *cannot* be expressed with qd 2. (Proof:  $\mathbb{Z} \equiv_2^G \mathbb{Q}$  so  $\mathbb{Z} \equiv_2^T \mathbb{Q}$ ).
- Well foundedness cannot be expressed in 1st order at all! (Proof: (∀n)[N + Z≡<sup>G</sup><sub>n</sub>N]).
   WILL DO ON WHITE BOARD.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

- 1. Density *cannot* be expressed with qd 2. (Proof:  $\mathbb{Z} \equiv_2^G \mathbb{Q}$  so  $\mathbb{Z} \equiv_2^T \mathbb{Q}$ ).
- Well foundedness cannot be expressed in 1st order at all! (Proof: (∀n)[N + Z≡<sup>G</sup><sub>n</sub>N]).
   WILL DO ON WHITE BOARD.
- 3. Upshot: Questions about expressability become questions about games.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

- 1. Density *cannot* be expressed with qd 2. (Proof:  $\mathbb{Z} \equiv_2^G \mathbb{Q}$  so  $\mathbb{Z} \equiv_2^T \mathbb{Q}$ ).
- Well foundedness cannot be expressed in 1st order at all! (Proof: (∀n)[N + Z≡<sup>G</sup><sub>n</sub>N]).
   WILL DO ON WHITE BOARD.
- 3. Upshot: Questions about expressability become questions about games.
- 4. Complexity: As Computer Scientists we think of complexity in terms of time or space (e.g., sorting *n* elements can be done in roughly *n* log *n* comparisons). But how do you measure complexity for concepts where time and space do not apply? One measure is quantifier depth. These games help us prove LOWER BOUNDS on quantifier depth!

Proving DUP Wins Rigorously

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへで

#### Notation

The game where the orders are L and L', and its for n moves, will be denoted

(L, L'; n)



**Thm** For all *n*, if  $a, b \ge 2^n$  then DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n)$ .

**Thm** For all *n*, if  $a, b \ge 2^n$  then DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(L_a, L_b; 1)$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへで

Thm For all *n*, if  $a, b \ge 2^n$  then DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(L_a, L_b; 1)$ . **IH** For all  $a, b \ge 2^{n-1}$ , DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n - 1)$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ - つくぐ

Thm For all *n*, if  $a, b \ge 2^n$  then DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(L_a, L_b; 1)$ . **IH** For all  $a, b \ge 2^{n-1}$ , DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n - 1)$ . **IS** We do 1 case: SP makes move  $x \le 2^{n-1}$  in  $L_a$ . DUP respond with x in  $L_b$ . DUP views game as 2 GAMES:

Thm For all *n*, if  $a, b \ge 2^n$  then DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(L_a, L_b; 1)$ . **IH** For all  $a, b \ge 2^{n-1}$ , DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n-1)$ . **IS** We do 1 case: SP makes move  $x \le 2^{n-1}$  in  $L_a$ . DUP respond with x in  $L_b$ . DUP views game as 2 GAMES: **Key** The game is now 2 games.

Thm For all *n*, if  $a, b \ge 2^n$  then DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(L_a, L_b; 1)$ . **IH** For all  $a, b \ge 2^{n-1}$ , DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n - 1)$ . **IS** We do 1 case: SP makes move  $x \le 2^{n-1}$  in  $L_a$ . DUP respond with x in  $L_b$ . DUP views game as 2 GAMES: **Key** The game is now 2 games.

► < x in both orders:  $(L_{x-1}, L_{x-1}; n-1)$ . SP will never play here.
## $L_a$ and $L_b$

Thm For all *n*, if  $a, b \ge 2^n$  then DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(L_a, L_b; 1)$ . **IH** For all  $a, b \ge 2^{n-1}$ , DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n - 1)$ . **IS** We do 1 case: SP makes move  $x \le 2^{n-1}$  in  $L_a$ . DUP respond with x in  $L_b$ . DUP views game as 2 GAMES: **Key** The game is now 2 games.

► < x in both orders:  $(L_{x-1}, L_{x-1}; n-1)$ . SP will never play here.

► > x in both orders:  $(L_{a-x}, L_{b-x}; n-1)$ .

### $L_a$ and $L_b$

Thm For all *n*, if  $a, b \ge 2^n$  then DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(L_a, L_b; 1)$ . **IH** For all  $a, b \ge 2^{n-1}$ , DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n-1)$ . **IS** We do 1 case: SP makes move  $x \le 2^{n-1}$  in  $L_a$ . DUP respond with x in  $L_b$ . DUP views game as 2 GAMES: **Key** The game is now 2 games.

► < x in both orders:  $(L_{x-1}, L_{x-1}; n-1)$ . SP will never play here.

▶ > x in both orders:  $(L_{a-x}, L_{b-x}; n-1)$ . Since  $x \le 2^{n-1}$  and  $a, b \ge 2^n$ ,  $a-x-1 \ge 2^{n-1}$  and  $b-x-1 \ge 2^{n-1}$ .

### $L_a$ and $L_b$

Thm For all *n*, if  $a, b \ge 2^n$  then DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(L_a, L_b; 1)$ . **IH** For all  $a, b \ge 2^{n-1}$ , DUP wins  $(L_a, L_b; n - 1)$ . **IS** We do 1 case: SP makes move  $x \le 2^{n-1}$  in  $L_a$ . DUP respond with x in  $L_b$ . DUP views game as 2 GAMES: **Key** The game is now 2 games.

► < x in both orders:  $(L_{x-1}, L_{x-1}; n-1)$ . SP will never play here.

▶ > x in both orders:  $(L_{a-x}, L_{b-x}; n-1)$ . Since  $x \le 2^{n-1}$  and  $a, b \ge 2^n$ ,  $a - x - 1 \ge 2^{n-1}$  and  $b - x - 1 \ge 2^{n-1}$ . By IH DUP wins  $(L_{a-x}, L_{b-x}; n-1)$ .

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 - 約९.0

1. After the 1st move x in in L and the counter-move x' in L', the game is now two boards,

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

After the 1st move x in in L and the counter-move x' in L', the game is now two boards,
1.1 L<sup><x</sup> and L'<sup><x'</sup>.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三日 - のへで

After the 1st move x in in L and the counter-move x' in L', the game is now two boards,
1.1 L<sup><x</sup> and L'<sup><x'</sup>.

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

1.1 L and L . 1.2  $L^{>x}$  and  $L'^{>x'}$ .

After the 1st move x in in L and the counter-move x' in L', the game is now two boards,
1.1 L<sup><x</sup> and L'<sup><x'</sup>.

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

- 1.2  $L^{>x}$  and  $L'^{>x'}$ .
- 2. We might use induction on those smaller boards.

 After the 1st move x in in L and the counter-move x' in L', the game is now two boards,

1.1  $L^{<x}$  and  $L'^{<x'}$ . 1.2  $L^{>x}$  and  $L'^{>x'}$ .

- 2. We might use induction on those smaller boards.
- 3. Might not need induction on the smaller boards if they are orderings we already proved things about.

### $\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{N}^*$ and $L_a$

#### **Thm** For all *n*, if $a \ge 2^n$ , DUP wins $(\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{N}^*, L_a; n)$ .

・ロト・母ト・ヨト・ヨト・ヨー つへぐ

### $\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{N}^*$ and $L_a$

#### **Thm** For all *n*, if $a \ge 2^n$ , DUP wins $(\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{N}^*, L_a; n)$ . Might make this a HW.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

**Thm** For all *n*, DUP wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; n)$ .

**Thm** For all *n*, DUP wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; 1)$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

### $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}$

Thm For all *n*, DUP wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; 1)$ . **IH** DUP wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; n - 1)$ .

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

Thm For all *n*, DUP wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; 1)$ . **IH** DUP wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; n - 1)$ . **1)** SP plays *x* in either  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{N}$ -part of  $\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}$  then DUP counters with the same *x* in the other part. The 2 games are

### $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}$

Thm For all *n*, DUP wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; 1)$ . **IH** DUP wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; n - 1)$ . **1)** SP plays *x* in either  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{N}$ -part of  $\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}$  then DUP counters with the same *x* in the other part. The 2 games are  $(L_x, L_x; n - 1)$  and  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; n - 1)$ . SP won't play on 1st board.

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

The 2nd board *DUP* wins by IH.

Thm For all *n*, DUP wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; 1)$ . **IH** DUP wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; n - 1)$ . **1)** SP plays *x* in either  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{N}$ -part of  $\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}$  then DUP counters with the same *x* in the other part. The 2 games are  $(L_x, L_x; n - 1)$  and  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; n - 1)$ . SP won't play on 1st board. The 2nd board *DUP* wins by IH.

2) SP plays x in  $\mathbb{Z}$  part of  $\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}$  then DUP plays  $2^n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . The 2 games are

Thm For all *n*, DUP wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; 1)$ . **IH** DUP wins  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; n - 1)$ . **1)** SP plays *x* in either  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{N}$ -part of  $\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}$  then DUP counters with the same *x* in the other part. The 2 games are  $(L_x, L_x; n - 1)$  and  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}; n - 1)$ . SP won't play on 1st board. The 2nd board *DUP* wins by IH.

2) SP plays x in  $\mathbb{Z}$  part of  $\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Z}$  then DUP plays  $2^n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . The 2 games are

 $(\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{N}^*, L_{2^n}; n-1)$  and  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}; n-1)$ . SP won't play on 2nd board. DUP wins 1st board by prior thm.



#### **Thm** For all *n*, DUP wins $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}; n)$ .

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 のへで

**Thm** For all *n*, DUP wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}; 1)$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Thm For all *n*, DUP wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}; 1)$ . **IH** DUP wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}; n - 1)$ .

・ロト・日本・モト・モト・モー うへぐ

Thm For all *n*, DUP wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}; 1)$ . **IH** DUP wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}; n - 1)$ . SP 1st move is *x* is  $\mathbb{Z}$ . DUP picks *x* in first copy of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$ .

Thm For all *n*, DUP wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}; 1)$ . **IH** DUP wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}; n - 1)$ . SP 1st move is *x* is  $\mathbb{Z}$ . DUP picks *x* in first copy of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$ .

LEAVE THE REST TO YOU.

Thm For all *n*, DUP wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}; n)$ . **IB** n = 1. DUP clearly wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}; 1)$ . **IH** DUP wins  $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}; n - 1)$ . SP 1st move is *x* is  $\mathbb{Z}$ . DUP picks *x* in first copy of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$ .

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくしゃ

LEAVE THE REST TO YOU.

You only have to do the cases that SP picks  $x \in Z$ .