## BILL, RECORD LECTURE!!!!

#### BILL RECORD LECTURE!!!



# History of Upper Bounds On: VDW Numbers

# Exposition by William Gasarch

January 23, 2025

#### **Recall VDW's Theorem**

Thm  $(\forall k, c \in \mathbb{N})(\exists W = W(k, c))(\forall COL: W(k, c) \rightarrow [c])$ there exists a, d such that

 $\operatorname{COL}(a) = \operatorname{COL}(a+d) = \operatorname{COL}(a+2d) = \cdots = \operatorname{COL}(a+(k-1)d)$ 

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

#### **Recall VDW's Theorem**

Thm  $(\forall k, c \in \mathbb{N})(\exists W = W(k, c))(\forall COL: W(k, c) \rightarrow [c])$ there exists a, d such that

 $\operatorname{COL}(a) = \operatorname{COL}(a+d) = \operatorname{COL}(a+2d) = \cdots = \operatorname{COL}(a+(k-1)d)$ 

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

VDW's proof gave bounds on W(k, c) that were not prim. rec.

#### **Recall VDW's Theorem**

Thm  $(\forall k, c \in \mathbb{N})(\exists W = W(k, c))(\forall COL: W(k, c) \rightarrow [c])$ there exists a, d such that

 $\operatorname{COL}(a) = \operatorname{COL}(a+d) = \operatorname{COL}(a+2d) = \cdots = \operatorname{COL}(a+(k-1)d)$ 

VDW's proof gave bounds on W(k, c) that were not prim. rec.

Erdös and Turan had an idea!

**Speculation** 



**Speculation** If  $A \ge W(k, c)$  and COL:  $[A] \rightarrow [c]$ , and **R** is the most common color then we suspect there is a **R** *k*-AP.

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

**Speculation** If  $A \ge W(k, c)$  and COL:  $[A] \to [c]$ , and **R** is the most common color then we suspect there is a **R** *k*-AP. **Skip The Coloring** 

\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* ミ \* ミ \* ・ ミ \* の < や

**Speculation** If  $A \ge W(k, c)$  and COL:  $[A] \rightarrow [c]$ , and **R** is the most common color then we suspect there is a **R** *k*-AP.

**Skip The Coloring** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and is **"dense enough"** then we suspect that A has a k-AP.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくしゃ

**Speculation** If  $A \ge W(k, c)$  and COL:  $[A] \rightarrow [c]$ , and **R** is the most common color then we suspect there is a **R** *k*-AP.

**Skip The Coloring** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and is **"dense enough"** then we suspect that A has a k-AP.

**Def** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  then the **density** of A is |A|/N.



**Speculation** If  $A \ge W(k, c)$  and COL:  $[A] \rightarrow [c]$ , and **R** is the most common color then we suspect there is a **R** *k*-AP.

**Skip The Coloring** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and is **"dense enough"** then we suspect that A has a k-AP.

**Def** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  then the **density** of A is |A|/N.

**Erdös-Turan Conjecture** For all  $\delta > 0$ , for all k, there exists  $N = N(\delta, k)$  such that the following holds:

**Speculation** If  $A \ge W(k, c)$  and COL:  $[A] \rightarrow [c]$ , and **R** is the most common color then we suspect there is a **R** *k*-AP.

**Skip The Coloring** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and is **"dense enough"** then we suspect that A has a k-AP.

**Def** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  then the **density** of A is |A|/N.

**Erdös-Turan Conjecture** For all  $\delta > 0$ , for all k, there exists  $N = N(\delta, k)$  such that the following holds:

If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and A has density  $\geq \delta$  then A has a k-AP.

**Speculation** If  $A \ge W(k, c)$  and COL:  $[A] \rightarrow [c]$ , and **R** is the most common color then we suspect there is a **R** *k*-AP.

**Skip The Coloring** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and is **"dense enough"** then we suspect that A has a k-AP.

**Def** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  then the **density** of A is |A|/N.

**Erdös-Turan Conjecture** For all  $\delta > 0$ , for all k, there exists  $N = N(\delta, k)$  such that the following holds:

If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and A has density  $\geq \delta$  then A has a k-AP.

Easy ET-conj implies VDW's Theorem.

**Speculation** If  $A \ge W(k, c)$  and COL:  $[A] \rightarrow [c]$ , and **R** is the most common color then we suspect there is a **R** *k*-AP.

**Skip The Coloring** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and is **"dense enough"** then we suspect that A has a k-AP.

**Def** If  $A \subseteq [N]$  then the **density** of A is |A|/N.

**Erdös-Turan Conjecture** For all  $\delta > 0$ , for all k, there exists  $N = N(\delta, k)$  such that the following holds:

If  $A \subseteq [N]$  and A has density  $\geq \delta$  then A has a k-AP.

Easy ET-conj implies VDW's Theorem.

**Hope** The proof of the ET-conj will be a **diff** proof of VDW's theorem that gives better bounds on the VDW numbers.

<ロト < 課 > < 注 > < 注 > 注 の < で</p>

1) 1927: VDW's Proof. Bounds are not prim. rec.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - のへで

1) 1927: VDW's Proof. Bounds are not prim. rec.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

2) 1936: Erdös-Turan make their conjecture.

- 1) 1927: VDW's Proof. Bounds are not prim. rec.
- 2) 1936: Erdös-Turan make their conjecture.
- 3) 1953: Roth proves ET-conj for k = 3. Used Fourier Analysis.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ → 目 → の Q @

- 1) 1927: VDW's Proof. Bounds are not prim. rec.
- 2) 1936: Erdös-Turan make their conjecture.
- 3) 1953: Roth proves ET-conj for k = 3. Used Fourier Analysis. We will prove this later in the course.

<ロト < 置 > < 置 > < 置 > < 置 > の < @</p>

4) 1974: Szemerédi proves ET-conj for k = 4. Proof is combinatorial but difficult. Uses VDW theorem so does not give better bounds on W(4, c).

4) 1974: Szemerédi proves ET-conj for k = 4. Proof is combinatorial but difficult. Uses VDW theorem so does not give better bounds on W(4, c).

5) 1975: Szemerédi proves ET-conj. Proof is combinatorial but difficult. Uses VDW's theorem so does not give better bounds on W(k, c). The ET-Conj is now called Szemerédi's Theorem.

4) 1974: Szemerédi proves ET-conj for k = 4. Proof is combinatorial but difficult. Uses VDW theorem so does not give better bounds on W(4, c).

5) 1975: Szemerédi proves ET-conj. Proof is combinatorial but difficult. Uses VDW's theorem so does not give better bounds on W(k, c). The ET-Conj is now called Szemerédi's Theorem.

6) 1977: Furstenberg proves ET-conj using Ergodic methods. Proof does not gives bounds on W(k, c). Later proof theorists extract out bounds from the proof. They are worse than VDW's bounds.

4) 1974: Szemerédi proves ET-conj for k = 4. Proof is combinatorial but difficult. Uses VDW theorem so does not give better bounds on W(4, c).

5) 1975: Szemerédi proves ET-conj. Proof is combinatorial but difficult. Uses VDW's theorem so does not give better bounds on W(k, c). The ET-Conj is now called Szemerédi's Theorem.

6) 1977: Furstenberg proves ET-conj using Ergodic methods. Proof does not gives bounds on W(k, c). Later proof theorists extract out bounds from the proof. They are worse than VDW's bounds.

7) 1988: The Hales-Jewitt Thm implies VDW's Theorem. Shelah gives a new proof of the HJ Thm which gives primitive recursive (though still quite large) bounds on the VDW numbers. The proof is elementary and does not use any of the ET stuff.

▲ロト ▲圖 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○日 - のへぐ

8) 2001: Gowers proves Szemerédi's Thm a new way using combinatorics and Fourier Analysis to obtain the following:

8) 2001: Gowers proves Szemerédi's Thm a new way using combinatorics and Fourier Analysis to obtain the following:

$$W(k,c) \le 2^{2^{c^{2^{k+9}}}}$$

٠