A Serious Ramsey Theorist Believed My Satire

William Gasarch-U of MD

(ロト (個) (E) (E) (E) (E) のへの

This is a very short play based on a real series of emails.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

This is a very short play based on a real series of emails.

They were with a serious Ramsey Theorist; however, as a courtesy I won't say who it was.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

This is a very short play based on a real series of emails.

They were with a serious Ramsey Theorist; however, as a courtesy I won't say who it was.

I will call him **Grados** which is a combination of Ronald Graham and Paul Erdos.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

This is a very short play based on a real series of emails.

They were with a serious Ramsey Theorist; however, as a courtesy I won't say who it was.

I will call him **Grados** which is a combination of Ronald Graham and Paul Erdos.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Its not one of those- they are both deceased.

This is a very short play based on a real series of emails.

They were with a serious Ramsey Theorist; however, as a courtesy I won't say who it was.

I will call him **Grados** which is a combination of Ronald Graham and Paul Erdos.

Its not one of those- they are both deceased.

Title of Play: | Believe!

This is a very short play based on a real series of emails.

They were with a serious Ramsey Theorist; however, as a courtesy I won't say who it was.

I will call him **Grados** which is a combination of Ronald Graham and Paul Erdos.

Its not one of those- they are both deceased.

Title of Play: | Believe!

Actors:

This is a very short play based on a real series of emails.

They were with a serious Ramsey Theorist; however, as a courtesy I won't say who it was.

I will call him **Grados** which is a combination of Ronald Graham and Paul Erdos.

Its not one of those- they are both deceased.

Title of Play: | Believe!

Actors:

Actor playing Bill Gasarch: Bill Gasarch

This is a very short play based on a real series of emails.

They were with a serious Ramsey Theorist; however, as a courtesy I won't say who it was.

I will call him **Grados** which is a combination of Ronald Graham and Paul Erdos.

Its not one of those- they are both deceased.

Title of Play: | Believe!

Actors:

Actor playing Bill Gasarch: **Bill Gasarch** His second time playing Bill Gasarch so he is in danger of being type-cast.

This is a very short play based on a real series of emails.

They were with a serious Ramsey Theorist; however, as a courtesy I won't say who it was.

I will call him **Grados** which is a combination of Ronald Graham and Paul Erdos.

Its not one of those- they are both deceased.

Title of Play: | Believe!

Actors:

Actor playing Bill Gasarch: **Bill Gasarch** His second time playing Bill Gasarch so he is in danger of being type-cast.

Actor Playing Grados: Danesh!

This is a very short play based on a real series of emails.

They were with a serious Ramsey Theorist; however, as a courtesy I won't say who it was.

I will call him **Grados** which is a combination of Ronald Graham and Paul Erdos.

Its not one of those- they are both deceased.

Title of Play: | Believe!

Actors:

Actor playing Bill Gasarch: **Bill Gasarch** His second time playing Bill Gasarch so he is in danger of being type-cast.

Actor Playing Grados: **Danesh!**

GRADOS: A few days ago **Veso Jungíc** forwarded to me your joint review of four books on Ramsey theory, which I liked. I was led to your book **Problems with a Point**, which I also liked.

GRADOS: A few days ago **Veso Jungíc** forwarded to me your joint review of four books on Ramsey theory, which I liked. I was led to your book **Problems with a Point**, which I also liked. On page 94 of your book I saw that apparently R(5,5) = 49.

GRADOS: A few days ago **Veso Jungíc** forwarded to me your joint review of four books on Ramsey theory, which I liked. I was led to your book **Problems with a Point**, which I also liked. On page 94 of your book I saw that apparently R(5,5) = 49. My first thought was **Why haven't I heard of this!?"**.

GRADOS: A few days ago **Veso Jungíc** forwarded to me your joint review of four books on Ramsey theory, which I liked. I was led to your book **Problems with a Point**, which I also liked. On page 94 of your book I saw that apparently R(5,5) = 49. My first thought was **Why haven't I heard of this!?"**. I looked up the **Steele Prize for Exposition**, and the **Pulitzer Prize for History**, around 2015, which are mentioned on your page 94.

GRADOS: A few days ago **Veso Jungíc** forwarded to me your joint review of four books on Ramsey theory, which I liked. I was led to your book **Problems with a Point**, which I also liked. On page 94 of your book I saw that apparently R(5,5) = 49. My first thought was **Why haven't I heard of this!?"**. I looked up the **Steele Prize for Exposition**, and the **Pulitzer Prize for History**, around 2015, which are mentioned on your page 94.

Apparently Grand and Kneading didn't get either one!

GRADOS: A few days ago **Veso Jungíc** forwarded to me your joint review of four books on Ramsey theory, which I liked. I was led to your book **Problems with a Point**, which I also liked. On page 94 of your book I saw that apparently R(5,5) = 49. My first thought was **Why haven't I heard of this!?"**. I looked up the **Steele Prize for Exposition**, and the **Pulitzer Prize for History**, around 2015, which are mentioned on your page 94.

Apparently Grand and Kneading didn't get either one!

Then I googled R(5, 5) and saw the paper $R(5,5) \le 46$ hence I know that $R(5,5) \ne 49$.

GRADOS: A few days ago **Veso Jungíc** forwarded to me your joint review of four books on Ramsey theory, which I liked. I was led to your book **Problems with a Point**, which I also liked. On page 94 of your book I saw that apparently R(5,5) = 49. My first thought was **Why haven't I heard of this!?"**. I looked up the **Steele Prize for Exposition**, and the **Pulitzer Prize for History**, around 2015, which are mentioned on your page 94.

Apparently Grand and Kneading didn't get either one!

Then I googled R(5, 5) and saw the paper $R(5,5) \le 46$ hence I know that $R(5,5) \ne 49$.

Am I missing something?

Bill Confesses its a Hoax

<ロト (個) (目) (目) (日) (の)</p>

Bill Confesses its a Hoax

BILL: Uh, you read the chapter on R(5) being discovered by a study of History. Read the next chapter in my book which reveals that the R(5)-History paper is a hoax.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Bill Confesses its a Hoax

BILL: Uh, you read the chapter on R(5) being discovered by a study of History. Read the next chapter in my book which reveals that the R(5)-History paper is a hoax.

Sorry for the confusion.

<ロト < 課 > < 注 > < 注 > 注 の < で</p>

GRADOS: Oh.

▲□▶▲□▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 の�?

GRADOS: Oh. I did wonder how **Fifty Shades of Gray** could be relevant to Ramsey Theory.



GRADOS: Oh.

I did wonder how **Fifty Shades of Gray** could be relevant to Ramsey Theory.

And also why **bipartite Ramsey** (which I've written papers on!) are relevant if the two Lords are on opposite sides of a river.

GRADOS: Oh.

I did wonder how **Fifty Shades of Gray** could be relevant to Ramsey Theory.

And also why **bipartite Ramsey** (which I've written papers on!) are relevant if the two Lords are on opposite sides of a river.

And **H.K. Donnut** is an odd name. So is Kneading. And both are about bread.

GRADOS: Oh.

I did wonder how **Fifty Shades of Gray** could be relevant to Ramsey Theory.

And also why **bipartite Ramsey** (which I've written papers on!) are relevant if the two Lords are on opposite sides of a river.

And **H.K. Donnut** is an odd name. So is Kneading. And both are about bread.

When did you write it and who else has fallen for it?

BILL: It was originally an April fools day joke on my blog. I gave it to my graduate Ramsey class and 19 of the 25 fell for it.

GRADOS: Oh.

I did wonder how **Fifty Shades of Gray** could be relevant to Ramsey Theory.

And also why **bipartite Ramsey** (which I've written papers on!) are relevant if the two Lords are on opposite sides of a river.

And **H.K. Donnut** is an odd name. So is Kneading. And both are about bread.

When did you write it and who else has fallen for it?

BILL: It was originally an April fools day joke on my blog. I gave it to my graduate Ramsey class and 19 of the 25 fell for it.

GRADOS: Some of your students are smarter than me! But I am glad I am in the majority.

Who Else Fell For it

・ロト・日本・王子・王子・日本

Who Else Fell For it

GRADOS: Who else have fallen for it.



BILL: I also know of one High School paper and one college paper that refers to it seriously.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ - 目 - のへで

BILL: I also know of one High School paper and one college paper that refers to it seriously.

OH- another high school studdent wanted to work with me on the topic of History and Ramsey theory as he was interested in both.

BILL: I also know of one High School paper and one college paper that refers to it seriously.

OH- another high school studdent wanted to work with me on the topic of History and Ramsey theory as he was interested in both.

GRADOS: Did you take him up on it?

BILL: I also know of one High School paper and one college paper that refers to it seriously.

OH- another high school studdent wanted to work with me on the topic of History and Ramsey theory as he was interested in both.

GRADOS: Did you take him up on it?

BILL: Tempting, but I didn't.

Awful or Awesome?

<ロト < 個 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の < @</p>

Awful or Awesome?

BILL: There are still people out there who think its true. My wife thinks this is **awful**. I think this is **awesome**. How do you vote?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

Awful or Awesome?

BILL: There are still people out there who think its true. My wife thinks this is **awful**. I think this is **awesome**. How do you vote? **GRADOS:** I enjoyed it! I think it is **awesome**.

<ロト < @ ト < 差 ト < 差 ト 差 の < @</p>

GRADOS: How did you come up with the names?

▲□▶▲□▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 の�?

GRADOS: How did you come up with the names? **BILL:** Anagrams of math people and historians:

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・ヨー つへぐ

GRADOS: How did you come up with the names?

BILL: Anagrams of	of math	people	and	historians:
-------------------	---------	--------	-----	-------------

Fake Name	Anagram of	Who
Sir Woodson Kneading	Doris Kearns Goodwin	Historian
H.K. Donnut	Don Knuth	CS Theorist
Moss Chill Beaches	Michael Beschloss	Historian
Tim Andrer Grant	Martin Gardner	Rec. Math
Alma Rho Grand	Ronald Graham	Combinatorist
D.H.J. Polymath	None	DJH paper
Ana Writset	Ian Stewart	Rec. Math
Tee A. Cornet	Terrence Tao	Math

(ロト (個) (E) (E) (E) (E) のへの

GRADOS: How did you come up with the names?

BILL: Anagrams of matl	n people and historians:
------------------------	--------------------------

Fake Name	Anagram of	Who
Sir Woodson Kneading	Doris Kearns Goodwin	Historian
H.K. Donnut	Don Knuth	CS Theorist
Moss Chill Beaches	Michael Beschloss	Historian
Tim Andrer Grant	Martin Gardner	Rec. Math
Alma Rho Grand	Ronald Graham	Combinatorist
D.H.J. Polymath	None	DJH paper
Ana Writset	Ian Stewart	Rec. Math
Tee A. Cornet	Terrence Tao	Math

GRADOS: If you spend as much time on Ramsey Theory as you did on this paper then you would have have proven better bounds on the poly VDW numbers by now.

GRADOS: How did you come up with the names?

BILL: Anagrams of math	people and historians:
------------------------	------------------------

Fake Name	Anagram of	Who
Sir Woodson Kneading	Doris Kearns Goodwin	Historian
H.K. Donnut	Don Knuth	CS Theorist
Moss Chill Beaches	Michael Beschloss	Historian
Tim Andrer Grant	Martin Gardner	Rec. Math
Alma Rho Grand	Ronald Graham	Combinatorist
D.H.J. Polymath	None	DJH paper
Ana Writset	Ian Stewart	Rec. Math
Tee A. Cornet	Terrence Tao	Math

GRADOS: If you spend as much time on Ramsey Theory as you did on this paper then you would have have proven better bounds on the poly VDW numbers by now.

The End