

**Ramsey's Theorem**  
**for the Infinite Complete Graph and**  
**the Infinite Complete Hypergraph**  
Exposition by William Gasarch

## 1 Introduction

In this document we define notation for graphs and hypergraphs that we use for the course and then look at Ramsey's theorem and the Canonical Ramsey theory on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Why start with  $\mathbb{N}$ ? Because Joel Spencer said

**Infinite Ramsey Theory is easier than Finite Ramsey Theory**  
**because all of the messy constants go away.**

## 2 Notation

Recall that a graph is a set of vertices and a set of edges which are *unordered pairs* of vertices. Why pairs? We will generalize this by allowing edges to be sets of size 1, 2 (the usual case), 3, general  $a$  and not have any restriction on size.

### Notation 2.1

1. If  $n \geq 1$  then  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .
2. If  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $A$  is a set then  $\binom{A}{a}$  is the set of all subsets of  $A$  of size  $a$ . We commonly use  $\binom{[n]}{a}$  and  $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{a}$ .

**Def 2.2** Let  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  (note that  $a = 0$  is allowed). A  *$a$ -hypergraph* is a set of vertices  $V$  and a set of edges which is a subset of  $\binom{V}{a}$ .

### Examples

1. A 0-hypergraph is just a set of vertices. This is just stupid but we'll keep it around in case we need some edge case.
2. A 1-hypergraph is a set of vertices together with edges which are also vertices. So its just a set of vertices but some are also called edges.

3. A 2-hypergraph is the usual graphs you know and love.
4. A 3-hypergraph. Edges are sets of 3 vertices.  $V = \mathbf{N}$  and the edges are all  $(a, b, c)$  such that  $a + b + c \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ . I *could not* have said  $a + 2b + 3c \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$  since then the order would matter. We are dealing with unordered hypergraphs. I could have said all  $(a, b, c)$  with  $a < b < c$  such that  $a + 2b + 3c \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ .
5. Another example of a 3-hypergraph: let  $V$  be some set of points in the plane. Let the edges be all 3-sets of points that form non-degenerate triangles.

**Def 2.3** A *hypergraph* (notice the lack of a parameter) is a set of vertices  $V$  together with edges which are subsets of  $V$ .

**Example**

1.  $V = \mathbf{N}$  and we take the set of all finite subsets of  $\mathbf{N}$  whose sum is  $\equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ . Note that the empty set would be an edge.
2.  $V$  is a set of points in the plane. The edges are all of the lines in the plane.
3. Any  $a$ -hypergraph is also a hypergraph.

We are all familiar with the complete graph on  $\mathbf{N}$ :

**Notation 2.4**  $K_{\mathbf{N}}$  is the graph  $(V, E)$  where

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \mathbf{N} \\ E &= \binom{\mathbf{N}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Here is the complete  $a$ -hypergraph on  $\mathbf{N}$ :

**Notation 2.5**  $K_{\mathbf{N}}^a$  is the hypergraph  $(V, E)$  where

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \mathbf{N} \\ E &= \binom{\mathbf{N}}{a} \end{aligned}$$

**Convention 2.6** In this course unless otherwise noted (1) a *coloring of a graph* is a coloring of the edges of the graph. and (2) a *coloring of a hypergraph* is a coloring of the edges of the hypergraph.

### 3 Ramsey Theory on the Complete 1-Hypergraph on $\mathbb{N}$

The following theorem is too obvious to prove but I want to state it:

**Theorem 3.1** *For every 2-coloring of  $\mathbb{N}$  there is an infinite  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  that is the same color.*

Even though this is an easy theorem here are some questions:

1. Is there a finite version of this theorem?
2. If you are given a program that computes the coloring can you determine which color (or perhaps both) appears infinitely often?
3. What if you are given a simple computational device (e.g., a DFA with output). Then can you determine which color? What is the complexity of the problem?

What if I allow an infinite number of colors?

**Theorem 3.2** *For every coloring of  $\mathbb{N}$  there is either (1) an infinite  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  that is the same color, or (2) an infinite  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  that all have different colors (called a rainbow set).*

**Proof:** Let  $COL$  be a coloring of  $\mathbb{N}$ . We define an infinite sequence of vertices,

$$x_1, x_2, \dots,$$

and an infinite sequence of sets of vertices,

$$V_0, V_1, V_2, \dots,$$

that are based on  $COL$ .

Here is the intuition: Either  $COL(1)$  appears infinitely often (so we are done) or not. If not then we get rid of the finite number of vertices colored  $COL(1)$  except 1. We then do the same for  $COL(2)$ . We will either find some color that appears infinitely often or create a sequence of all different colors.

We now describe it formally.

$$V_0 = \mathbf{N}$$

$$x_1 = 1$$

$$c_1 = \text{DONE if } |\{v \in V_0 \mid COL(v) = COL(x_1)\}| \text{ is infinite. And you are DONE! STOP}$$

$$= COL(x_1) \text{ otherwise}$$

$$V_1 = \{v \in V_0 \mid COL(v) \neq c_1\} \text{ (note that } |V_1| \text{ is infinite)}$$

Let  $i \geq 2$ , and assume that  $V_{i-1}$  is defined. We define  $x_i$ ,  $c_i$ , and  $V_i$ :

$$x_i = \text{the least number in } V_{i-1}$$

$$c_i = \text{DONE if } |\{v \in V_{i-1} \mid COL(v) = COL(x_i)\}| \text{ is infinite. And you are DONE! STOP}$$

$$= COL(x_i) \text{ otherwise}$$

$$V_i = \{v \in V_{i-1} \mid COL(v) \neq c_i\} \text{ (note that } |V_i| \text{ is infinite)}$$

How long can this sequence go on for? If ever it stops then we are done as we have found a color appearing infinitely often. If not then the sequence

$$x_1, x_2, \dots,$$

is infinite and each number in it is a different color, so we have found a rainbow set. ■

1. Is there a finite version of this theorem?
2. If you are given a program that computes the coloring can you determine which color (if any) appears infinitely often?
3. What if you are given a simple computational device (e.g., a DFA with output). Then can you determine which color? What is the complexity of the problem?

## 4 A Bit More Notation

For the case of the 1-hypergraph we didn't need notions of complete graphs or homog sets, though that is what we were talking about. For  $a$ -hypergraphs we will.

**Def 4.1** Let  $COL : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow [2]$ . Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . The set  $V$  is *homog* if there exists a color  $c$  such that every elements of  $\binom{V}{2}$  is colored  $c$ .

**Def 4.2** Let  $COL : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{k} \rightarrow [c]$ . Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . The set  $V$  is *homog* if there exists a color  $c$  such that every elements of  $\binom{V}{k}$  is colored  $c$ .

## 5 Ramsey's Theorem for the Infinite Complete Graphs

The following is Ramsey's Theorem for  $K_{\mathbb{N}}$ .

**Theorem 5.1** *For every 2-coloring of the edges of  $K_{\mathbb{N}}$  there is an infinite homog set.*

**Proof:** Let  $COL$  be a 2-coloring of  $K_{\mathbb{N}}$ . We define an infinite sequence of vertices,

$$x_1, x_2, \dots,$$

and an infinite sequence of sets of vertices,

$$V_0, V_1, V_2, \dots,$$

that are based on  $COL$ .

Here is the intuition: Vertex  $x_1 = 1$  has an infinite number of edges coming out of it. Some are RED, and some are BLUE. Hence there are an infinite number of RED edges coming out of  $x_1$ , or there are an infinite number of BLUE edges coming out of  $x_1$  (or both). Let  $c_1$  be a color such that  $x_1$  has an infinite number of edges coming out of it that are colored  $c_1$ . Let  $V_1$  be the set of vertices  $v$  such that  $COL(v, x_1) = c_1$ . Then keep iterating this process.

We now describe it formally.

$$V_0 = \mathbb{N}$$

$$x_1 = 1$$

$$c_1 = \begin{array}{l} \text{RED} \text{ if } |\{v \in V_0 \mid COL(v, x_1) = \text{RED}\}| \text{ is infinite} \\ \text{BLUE} \text{ otherwise} \end{array}$$

$$V_1 = \{v \in V_0 \mid COL(v, x_1) = c_1\} \text{ (note that } |V_1| \text{ is infinite)}$$

Let  $i \geq 2$ , and assume that  $V_{i-1}$  is defined. We define  $x_i$ ,  $c_i$ , and  $V_i$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= \text{the least number in } V_{i-1} \\ c_i &= \text{RED if } |\{v \in V_{i-1} \mid COL(v, x_i) = \text{RED}\}| \text{ is infinite} \\ &= \text{BLUE otherwise} \\ V_i &= \{v \in V_{i-1} \mid COL(v, x_i) = c_i\} \text{ (note that } |V_i| \text{ is infinite)} \end{aligned}$$

(NOTE- look at the step where we define  $c_i$ . We are using the fact that if you 2-color  $\mathbb{N}$  you are guaranteed some color appears infinitely often; we are using the 1-hypergraph Ramsey Theorem. Later when we prove Ramsey on 3-hypergraphs we will use Ramsey on 2-hypergraphs.)

How long can this sequence go on for? Well,  $x_i$  can be defined if  $V_{i-1}$  is nonempty. We can show by induction that, for every  $i$ ,  $V_i$  is infinite. Hence the sequence

$$x_1, x_2, \dots$$

is infinite.

Consider the infinite sequence

$$c_1, c_2, \dots$$

Each of the colors in this sequence is either RED or BLUE. Hence there must be an infinite sequence  $i_1, i_2, \dots$  such that  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  and

$$c_{i_1} = c_{i_2} = \dots$$

Denote this color by  $c$ , and consider the vertices

$$H = \{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots\}$$

We leave it to the reader to show that  $H$  is homog. ■

**Exercise 1** Show that, for all  $c \geq 3$ , for every  $c$ -coloring of the edges of  $K_{\mathbb{N}}$ , there is a an infinite homog set.

Questions to ponder:

1. Is there a finite version?
2. What if we allow an infinite number of colors?
3. Computational and Complexity issues.

## 6 First “Application”

We will prove a theorem that is well known; however, this proof is by Gasarch from January 2017.

**Theorem 6.1** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d \geq 1$ . If  $p_1, p_2, \dots$  is an infinite set of points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . There exists a subsequence  $q_1, q_2, \dots$  such that, restricted to any coordinate, the sequence will be either strictly increasing, strictly decreasing, or constant.*

**Proof:** We do the proof in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  but all of the ideas are the same for  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

We define the following 9-coloring of pairs of points: Let  $p_i = (x_i, y_i)$ . We assume  $i < j$ . Then

$$COL(p_i, p_j) = \begin{cases} (DEC, DEC) & \text{if } x_i > x_j \text{ and } y_i > y_j \\ (DEC, CON) & \text{if } x_i > x_j \text{ and } y_i = y_j \\ (DEC, INC) & \text{if } x_i > x_j \text{ and } y_i < y_j \\ (CON, DEC) & \text{if } x_i = x_j \text{ and } y_i > y_j \\ (CON, CON) & \text{if } x_i = x_j \text{ and } y_i = y_j \\ (CON, INC) & \text{if } x_i = x_j \text{ and } y_i < y_j \\ (INC, DEC) & \text{if } x_i < x_j \text{ and } y_i > y_j \\ (INC, CON) & \text{if } x_i < x_j \text{ and } y_i = y_j \\ (INC, INC) & \text{if } x_i < x_j \text{ and } y_i < y_j \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Take the homog set. Clearly it will be, in each coordinate, decreasing, constant, or increasing.

For  $\mathbb{R}^d$  you would use the  $3^d$ -coloring. ■

Here is what is probably the classical proof (though I never saw the theorem until I proved it myself).

First prove the theorem for  $d = 1$  then do an induction on  $d$ . The induction step is easy; however how do do the  $d = 1$  case? Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots$  be a sequence of reals.

1) First Alternative Proof: Use Ramsey’s theorem on pairs of numbers, the proof above but for  $d = 1$ . The good news- we only need to use Ramsey for 3-colors. The bad news- we are looking for non-ramsey proofs.

2) Second Alternative Proof:

There are some very easy cases whose proofs we omit and then one hard case:

1. The function  $f(i) = \max\{p_1, \dots, p_i\}$  goes to infinity. This case is easy and we leave it to you.
2. The function  $f(i) = \max\{p_1, \dots, p_i\}$  goes to negative infinity, This case is easy and we leave it to you.
3. Neither (1) nor (2) happens. Hence there exists reals  $a < b$  such that  $p_1, p_2, \dots \in [a, b]$ . This case we do below.

By the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem every sequence of reals in a closed interval has a limit point (there may many limit points but we just need 1). Let  $p$  be a limit point of  $p_1, p_2, \dots$ . There are three cases:

1.  $(\forall n)(\exists i \geq n)[p_i = p]$ . The sequence has a constant subsequence. Hence there is a constant subsequence and you are done.
2.  $(\forall n)(\exists i \geq n)[0 < p - p_i < \frac{1}{n}]$  Hence there is an increasing subsequence and you are done.
3.  $(\forall n)(\exists i \geq n)[0 < p_i - p < \frac{1}{n}]$  Hence there is a decreasing subsequence and you are done.

The proof above uses Ramsey's theorem a little bit and perhaps a lot. The splitting into three cases can be regraded as using Ramsey on 1-hypergraphs. This is minor- its just the Pigeon hole principle really, and nobody in math every says *Hey! I'm using Ramsey Theory!* if they are just using that principle. More seriously- look at the proof of the BW theorem – some say it is Ramsey-like.

Also, see

[www.youtube.com/watch?v=df018klwKHget](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=df018klwKHget)

for a rap song about the BW theorem. Really!

## 7 Ramsey's Theorem for 3-Hypergraphs: First Proof

**Theorem 7.1** *For all  $COL : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{3} \rightarrow [2]$  there exists an infinite 3-homog set.*



**Proof:**

**CONSTRUCTION**

**PART ONE**

$$V_0 = \mathbb{N}$$

$$x_0 = 1.$$

Assume  $x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}$  defined,  $V_{i-1}$  defined and infinite.

$$x_i = \text{the least number in } V_{i-1}$$

$$V_i = V_{i-1} - \{x_i\} \text{ (Will change later without changing name.)}$$

$$COL^*(x, y) = COL(x_i, x, y) \text{ for all } \{x, y\} \in \binom{V_i}{2}$$

$$V_i = \text{an infinite 2-homogeneous set for } COL^*$$

$$c_i = \text{the color of } V_i$$

KEY: for all  $y, z \in V_i$ ,  $COL(x_i, y, z) = c_i$ .

**END OF PART ONE**

**PART TWO**

We have vertices

$$x_1, x_2, \dots,$$

and associated colors

$$c_1, c_2, \dots, \dots$$

There are only two colors, hence, by the 1-homog Ramsey Theorem there exists  $i_1, i_2, \dots$ , such that  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  and

$$c_{i_1} = c_{i_2} = \dots$$

We take this color to be RED. Let

$$H = \{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots\}.$$

We leave it to the reader to show that  $H$  is homog.

**END OF PART TWO**

**END OF CONSTRUCTION**

■

**Exercise 2**

1. Show that, for all  $c$ , for all  $c$ -coloring of  $K_{\mathbb{N}}^3$  there exists an infinite 3-homog set.
2. State and prove a theorem about  $c$ -coloring  $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{a}$ .
3. What if we allow an infinite number of colors?

## 8 Ramsey's Theorem for 3-Hypergraphs: Second Proof

In the last section the proof went as follows:

- Color a *node* by using 2-hypergraph Ramsey. This is done  $\omega$  times.
- After the nodes are colored we use 1-hypergraph. This is done once.

We given an alternative proof where:

- Color an *edge* by using 1-hypergraph Ramsey This is done  $\omega$  times.
- After *all* the edges of an infinite complete graph are colored we use 2-hypergraph Ramsey. This is done once.

We now proceed formally.

**Theorem 8.1** *For all  $COL : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{3} \rightarrow [2]$  there exists an infinite 3-homog set.*

**Proof:**

Let  $COL$  be a 2-coloring of  $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{3}$ . We define a sequence of vertices,

$$x_1, x_2, \dots,$$

Here is the intuition: Let  $x_1 = 1$  and  $x_2 = 2$ . The vertices  $x_1, x_2$  induces the following coloring of  $\{3, 4, \dots\}$ .

$$COL^*(y) = COL(x_1, x_2, y).$$

Let  $V_1$  be an infinite 1-homogeneous for  $COL^*$ . Let  $COL^{**}(x_1, x_2)$  be the color of  $V_1$ . Let  $x_3$  be the least vertex left (bigger than  $x_2$ ).

The number  $x_3$  induces *two* colorings of  $V_1 - \{x_3\}$ :

$$(\forall y \in V_1 - \{x_3\})[COL_1^*(y) = COL(x_1, x_3, y)]$$

$$(\forall y \in V_1 - \{x_3\})[COL_2^*(y) = COL(x_2, x_3, y)]$$

Let  $V_2$  be an infinite 1-homogeneous for  $COL_1^*$ . Let  $COL^{**}(x_1, x_3)$  be the color of  $V_2$ . Restrict  $COL_2^*$  to elements of  $V_2$ , though still call it  $COL_2^*$ . We reuse the variable name  $V_2$  to be an infinite 1-homogeneous for  $COL_2^*$ . Let  $COL^{**}(x_1, x_3)$  be the color of  $V_2$ . Let  $x_4$  be the least element of  $V_2$ . Repeat the process.

We describe the construction formally.

## CONSTRUCTION PART ONE:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 1 \\ V_1 &= \mathbf{N} - \{x_1\} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $i \geq 2$ . Assume that  $x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, V_{i-1}$ , and  $COL^{**} : \binom{\{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}\}}{2} \rightarrow \{\text{RED}, \text{BLUE}\}$  are defined.

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= \text{the least element of } V_{i-1} \\ V_i &= V_{i-1} - \{x_i\} \text{ (We will change this set without changing its name).} \end{aligned}$$

We define  $COL^{**}(x_1, x_i), COL^{**}(x_2, x_i), \dots, COL^{**}(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ . We will also define smaller and smaller sets  $V_i$  (not smaller by size – they are all infinite – but smaller by being subsets). We will keep the variable name  $V_i$  throughout.

For  $j = 1$  to  $i - 1$

1.  $COL_j^* : V_i \rightarrow \{\text{RED}, \text{BLUE}\}$  is defined by  $COL_j^*(y) = COL(x_j, x_i, y)$ .
2. Let  $V_i$  be redefined as an infinite 1-homogeneous set for  $COL^*$ . Note that  $V_i$  is still infinite.
3.  $COL^{**}(x_j, x_i)$  is the color of  $V_i$ .

**END OF PART ONE**

**PART TWO:**

From PART ONE we have a set of vertices  $X$

$$X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$$

and a 2-coloring  $COL^{**}$  of  $\binom{X}{2}$ . By the 2-hypergraph Ramsey Theorem there exists an infinite homog (with respect to  $COL^{**}$ ) set

$$H = \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$$

Assume that the homog color is  $R$ . Then for  $i < j < k$

$$COL(y_i, y_j, y_k) = COL^{**}(y_i, y_j) = R$$

So  $H$  is homog for  $COL$ . **END OF PART TWO**  
**END OF CONSTRUCTION ■**